

April 14, 2022

Walt Bruce
UNFI
1990 Piccoli Rd
Stockton, CA 95215

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct
Facility Number: N-10119
Project Number: N-1220198

Dear Mr. Bruce:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of UNFI's application for an Authority to Construct for a 1,474 bhp (Tier 2 Certified) diesel-fired internal combustion engine powering an electrical generator, at 2080 Wilcox Rd, Stockton.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project has been posted on the District's website (www.valleyair.org). After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Matthew Robinson of Permit Services at (209) 557-6454.

Sincerely,



Brian Clements
Director of Permit Services

BC:mr

Enclosures

cc: Courtney Graham, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email

Samir Sheikh
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: (661) 392-5500 FAX: (661) 392-5585

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

Authority to Construct

Application Review

Diesel-Fired Emergency Standby Internal Combustion Engine

Facility Name: UNFI Date: March 28, 2022
Mailing Address: 1990 Piccoli Rd Engineer: Matthew Robinson
Stockton, CA 95215 Lead Engineer: James Harader
Contact Person: Walt Bruce
Telephone: (209) 367 4858
E-mail: wbruce@dlpayne.com
Application #: N-10119-1-0
Project #: N-1220198
Deemed Complete: March 14, 2022

I. Proposal

UNFI is proposing to install a 1,474 bhp Caterpillar model C32 diesel-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electrical generator. The generator provides electrical power in the event of grid outages.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (8/15/19)
Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (8/15/19)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1 (8/21/03)
Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines (8/19/21)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice
Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387: CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location

The equipment will be located at 2080 Wilcox Rd in Stockton, CA 95215.

The District has verified that the equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The emergency standby engine powers an electrical generator. Other than emergency operation, the engine may be operated up to 50 hours per year.

V. Equipment Listing

N-10119-1-0: 1,474 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL C32 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR.

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The applicant has proposed to install a Tier 2 Certified diesel-fired IC engine.

The proposed engines meet the latest available Tier Certification requirements for emergency standby engines; therefore, the engine meets the latest available ARB/EPA emissions standards for diesel particulate matter, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide (see Appendix C for a copy of the emissions data sheet and/or the ARB/EPA executive order).

The use of CARB certified diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SO_x emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

Emergency operating schedule:	24 hours/day
Non-emergency operating schedule:	up to 50 hours/year
Density of diesel fuel:	7.1 lb/gal
EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F):	9,051 dscf/MMBtu
Fuel heating value:	137,000 Btu/gal
BHP to Btu/hr conversion:	2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr
Thermal efficiency of engine:	commonly ≈ 35%
PM ₁₀ fraction of diesel exhaust:	0.96 (CARB, 1988)
Conversion factor:	1.34 bhp/kw

B. Emission Factors

Pollutant	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Source
NO _x	3.74	EPA Certification for engine family
SO _x	0.0051	Mass Balance Equation Below
PM ₁₀	0.04	EPA Certification for engine family
CO	0.52	EPA Certification for engine family
VOC	0.07	EPA Certification for engine family

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} - S}{\text{lb} - \text{fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb} - \text{fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \times \frac{2 \text{ lb} - SO_2}{1 \text{ lb} - S} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{137,000 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ bhp input}}{0.35 \text{ bhp out}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{\text{bhp} - \text{hr}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{\text{lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{\text{g} - SO_x}{\text{bhp} - \text{hr}}$$

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)

Since the proposed engine is a new emissions unit, PE1 is zero for each pollutant.

2. Post-Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

The daily and annual PE2 are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Daily PE2 (lb-pollutant/day)} = \text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/day)} / 453.6 \text{ g/lb}$$

$$\text{Annual PE2 (lb-pollutant/yr)} = \text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/yr)} / 453.6 \text{ g/lb}$$

Post-project potential emission calculations are summarized in the table below.

Post Project Emissions (PE2)						
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/year)	Daily PE2 (lb/day)	Annual PE2 (lb/yr)
NO _x	3.74	1,474	24	50	291.7	608
SO _x	0.0051	1,474	24	50	0.4	1
PM ₁₀	0.04	1,474	24	50	3.1	6
CO	0.52	1,474	24	50	40.6	84
VOC	0.07	1,474	24	50	5.5	11

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATCs) or Permits to Operate (PTOs) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

There are no prior permits at this facility, thus SSPE1 is equal to zero for all emission categories.

SSPE1 (lb/year)					
	NO_x	SO_x	PM₁₀	CO	VOC
SSPE1	0	0	0	0	0

4. Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCs which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

For this project the change in emissions for the facility is due to the installation of the new emergency standby IC engines. Thus:

SSPE2 (lb/year)					
Permit Unit	NO_x	SO_x	PM₁₀	CO	VOC
SSPE1	0	0	0	0	0
N-10119-1-0	608	1	6	84	11
SSPE2	608	1	6	84	11

5. Major Source Determination

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with a SSPE2 equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. For the purposes of determining major source status the following shall not be included:

- any ERCs associated with the stationary source
- Emissions from non-road IC engines (i.e. IC engines at a particular site at the facility for less than 12 months)
- Fugitive emissions, except for the specific source categories specified in 40 CFR 51.165

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination (lb/year)						
	NO_x	SO_x	PM₁₀	*PM_{2.5}	CO	VOC
SSPE1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSPE2	608	1	6	6	84	11
Major Source Threshold	20,000	140,000	140,000	140,000	200,000	20,000
Major Source?	No	No	No	No	No	No

As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and is not becoming a Major Source as a result of this project. PM_{2.5} is assumed to be equal to PM₁₀; specific PM_{2.5} calculations are only performed if AAQA is required.

Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(iii). Therefore the PSD Major Source threshold is 250 tpy for any regulated NSR pollutant.

PSD Major Source Determination (tons/year)						
	NO₂	VOC	SO₂	CO	PM	PM₁₀
Estimated Facility PE before Project Increase	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSD Major Source Thresholds	250	250	250	250	250	250
PSD Major Source?	No	No	No	No	No	No

As shown above, the facility is not an existing PSD major source for any regulated NSR pollutant expected to be emitted at this facility.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre Project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to District Rule 2201

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Per section VII.C.5 above, this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutant addressed in this project. Thus, this project does not constitute an SB 288 major modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201 states that a Federal Major Modification is the same as a "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

Per section VII.C.5 above, this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutant. Thus, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification.

9. Rule 2410 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination

The project potential to emit, by itself, will not exceed any PSD major source thresholds. Therefore Rule 2410 is not applicable and no further discussion is required.

10. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix E.

VIII. Compliance

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following¹:

- a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

As discussed in Section I, the facility is proposing to install a new emergency standby IC engine. Additionally, as determined in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project does not result in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, respectively. Therefore, BACT can only be triggered if the daily emissions exceed 2.0 lb/day for any pollutant.

The daily emissions from each new engine are compared to the BACT threshold levels in the following table:

¹ Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability				
Pollutant	Daily Emissions for the new unit (lb/day)	BACT Threshold (lb/day)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	BACT Triggered ?
NO _x	291.7	> 2.0	n/a	Yes
SO _x	0.4	> 2.0	n/a	No
PM ₁₀	3.1	> 2.0	n/a	Yes
CO	40.6	> 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr	84	No
VOC	5.5	> 2.0	n/a	Yes

As seen in the table, the proposed engine triggers BACT for NO_x, PM₁₀, and VOC emissions.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.1, which appears in Appendix B of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines.

3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, “A top down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District’s NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis.”

Pursuant to the attached top down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix B of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

- NO_x: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower
- PM₁₀: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower
- VOC: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower

The facility has proposed to install 1,474 bhp Tier 2 certified IC engine (with a PM₁₀ emissions rate of 0.04 g/bhp-hr), and using very low sulfur diesel fuel. Therefore, BACT is satisfied for NO_x, PM₁₀, and VOC emissions.

B. Offsets

1. Offset Applicability

Pursuant to Section 4.6.2 of this rule, offsets are not required for emergency IC engines. The engine in this project is an emergency IC engine; therefore, this exemption is applicable to this project.

However, even when there is an applicable exemption, the SSPE2 values are compared to the offset threshold to determine if offsets are triggered. In its PAS database, the District keeps track of facilities where offsets are triggered but an exemption applies. The SSPE2 values are compared to the offset trigger thresholds in the following table:

Offset Determination (lb/year)					
	NOx	SOx	PM₁₀	CO	VOC
SSPE2	608	1	6	84	11
Offset Thresholds	20,000	54,750	29,200	200,000	20,000
Offsets Triggered?	No	No	No	No	No

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, SB288 Major Modifications, and Federal Major Modifications

As shown in Sections VII.C.5, VII.C.7, and VII.C.8, this facility is not a new Major Source, not an SB 288 Major Modification, and not a Federal Major Modification, respectively. Therefore, public notice is not required under this section.

- b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant

As calculated in Section VII.C.2, daily emissions for NO_x is greater than 100 lb/day. Thus, public notice is required under this section.

- c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 are compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

Offset Thresholds				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/year)	SSPE2 (lb/year)	Offset Threshold	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	0	608	20,000 lb/year	No
SO _x	0	1	54,750 lb/year	No
PM ₁₀	0	6	29,200 lb/year	No
CO	0	84	200,000 lb/year	No
VOC	0	11	20,000 lb/year	No

As detailed above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for offset purposes.

- d. Any project with a Stationary Source Project Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant

For this project, the proposed engine is the only emissions unit that will generate an increase in Potential to Emit. Since the proposed engine emissions are well below 20,000 lb/year for all pollutants (See Section VII.C.2), the SSIPE for this project will be below the public notice threshold.

- e. Any project which results in a Title V significant permit modification

Since this facility does not have a Title V operating permit, this change is not a Title V significant Modification, and therefore public noticing is not required.

2. Public Notice Action

As demonstrated above, this project will require public noticing. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be electronically published on the District's website prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emissions Limits

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Rule 2201 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on each ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 3.74 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 0.52 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.07 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.04 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4702, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with District Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District's Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix D of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO_x, CO, and SO_x. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO_x, CO, or SO_x.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state's PM₁₀ as well as federal and state PM_{2.5} thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}.

Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration

As shown in Section VII.C.9 above, this project does not result in a new PSD major source or PSD major modification. No further discussion is required.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility's potential to emit does not exceed any Major Source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a Major Source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII - Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement Subpart IIII requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE)

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement NESHAP regulations for Area Source requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 – *Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources* specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

An HRA is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (Appendix D), the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an HRA was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project.

RMR Summary

Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required	Special Permit Requirements
1-0	4.04	NA ¹	0.00	3.07E-07	No	Yes
Project Totals	4.04	NA ¹	0.00	3.07E-07		
Facility Totals	>1	0.00	0.00	3.07E-08		

Notes:

1. Acute Hazard Indices were not calculated for Units 1 since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

Discussion of T-BACT

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District’s thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District’s Risk Management Policy is expected.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification not have acute or chronic indices, or a cancer risk greater than the District’s significance levels (i.e. acute and/or chronic indices greater than 1 and a cancer risk greater than 20 in a million). As outlined by the Technical Services Memo in Appendix D of this report, the emissions increases for this project were determined to be less than significant.

The following conditions will be listed on the ATCs as a mechanism to ensure compliance with the RMR:

- {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.04 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Rule 4201 limits particulate matter emissions from any single source operation to 0.1 g/dscf, which, as calculated below, is equivalent to a PM₁₀ emission factor of 0.4 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr.

$$0.1 \frac{\text{grain} - \text{PM}}{\text{dscf}} \times \frac{\text{g}}{15.43 \text{ grain}} \times \frac{1 \text{ Btu}_{in}}{0.35 \text{ Btu}_{out}} \times \frac{9,051 \text{ dscf}}{10^6 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{1 \text{ bhp} - \text{hr}} \times \frac{0.96 \text{ g} - \text{PM}_{10}}{1 \text{ g} - \text{PM}} = 0.4 \frac{\text{g} - \text{PM}_{10}}{\text{bhp} - \text{hr}}$$

The new engines have a PM₁₀ emission factor less than 0.4 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, compliance is expected and the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines. Except as provided in Section 4.0, the provisions of this rule apply to any internal combustion engine, rated greater than 50 bhp, that requires a PTO.

The proposed engine is also subject to District Rule 4702, Internal Combustion Engines. Since emissions limits of District Rule 4702 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4701 requirements for emergency engines, compliance with District Rule 4702 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4701.

Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines.

This rule applies to any internal combustion engine rated at 25 brake horsepower or greater. The proposed engine are rated at or above 25 bhp; therefore, this engine is subject to the requirements of this rule.

Pursuant to Section 4.2, except for the requirements of Sections 5.10 and 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to an “emergency standby engine” (section 3.15) or a “low-use engine” (section 3.26), provided that the engine is operated with an operating non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. The following condition(s) will be included in permits N-10119-1-0:

- This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
- An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]
- This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702]

Section 5.10 requires complying with the following requirements:

- Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.
- Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.
- Install and operate a non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative device.

The following condition(s) will be included in permits N-10119-1-0:

- This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
- During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]

Section 6.2.3 requires that an owner claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and provided to the APCO upon request. The records shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Total hours of operation,
- The type of fuel used,
- The purpose for operating the engine,
- For emergency standby engines, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation shall be reported
- Other support documentation necessary to demonstrate claim to the exemption.

The following condition(s) will be included in permits N-10119-1-0:

- The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Compliance is expected with this rule.

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO₂) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = (n \times R \times T) \div P$$

n = moles SO₂

T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R

$$R (\text{universal gas constant}) = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}}$$

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} - \text{S}}{\text{lb} - \text{fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb}}{\text{gal}} \times \frac{64 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_2}{32 \text{ lb} - \text{S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ MMBtu}}{9,051 \text{ scf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{0.137 \text{ MMBtu}} \times \frac{\text{lb} - \text{mol}}{64 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_2} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} - \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}} \times \frac{520 \text{°R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times 1,000,000 = 1.0 \text{ ppmv}$$

Since 1.0 ppmv is ≤ 2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATCs as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this engine is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

§93115.5 - Fuel and Fuel Additive Requirements for New and In-Use Stationary CI Engines That Have a Rated Brake Horsepower of Greater than 50 (>50 bhp)

This regulation also stipulates that as of January 1, 2006 an owner or operator of a new or in-use stationary diesel-fueled CI emergency standby engine shall fuel the engine with CARB Diesel Fuel.

Since the engine involved in this project is a new stationary diesel-fueled CI emergency standby engine, these fuel requirements are applicable. Therefore, the following

condition(s) (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be included in the permit N-10119-1-0:

- Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

§93115.6 Emergency Standby Diesel-Fueled CI Engine (>50 bhp) Operating Requirements and Emission Standards

Section (a)(3)(A), Emissions Standards and Hours of Operating Requirements

1. New Stationary emergency standby diesel-fueled engines ((>50 bhp) shall:
 - a. meet the applicable emissions standards for all pollutants as specified in Table 1 Emissions Standards for New Stationary Emergency Standby Diesel-Fueled CI Engines, in effect on the date of acquisition or submittal, as defined in section 93115.4, and
 - b. after December 31, 2008, be certified to the new nonroad compression-ignition (CI) engine emission standards for all pollutants for 2007 and later model year engines as specified in 40 CFR, Part 60, Subpart IIII-Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engine; and
 - c. not operate more than 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes, except as provided in 93115.6(a)(3)(A)2. This subsection does not limit engine operation for emergency use and for emission testing to show compliance with 93115.6(a)(3).

Maximum Engine Power	Model year(s)	PM	NMHC+NOx	CO
50 ≤ HP < 75 (37 ≤ kW < 56)	2007	0.15 (0.20)	5.6 (7.5) 3.5 (4.7)	3.7 (5.0)
	2008+			
75 ≤ HP < 100 (56 ≤ kW < 75)	2007	0.15 (0.20)	5.6 (7.5) 3.5 (4.7)	3.7 (5.0)
	2008+			
100 ≤ HP < 175 (75 ≤ kW < 130)	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	3.7 (5.0)
	2008+			
175 ≤ HP < 300 (130 ≤ kW < 225)	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)
	2008+			
300 ≤ HP < 600 (225 ≤ kW < 450)	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)
	2008+			
600 ≤ HP < 750 (450 ≤ kW < 560)	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)
	2008+			
HP > 750 (kW > 560)	2007	0.15 (0.20)	4.8 (6.4)	2.6 (3.5)
	2008+			

1. May be subject to additional emission limitations as specified in current applicable district rules, regulations or policies.

The proposed engine is 2022 year model Tier 2 certified engine >750 bhp. The certified emission rates are 3.81 g/bhp-hr of NO_x + NMHC, 0.04 g/bhp-hr of PM emissions, and 0.52 g/bhp-hr of CO emissions. These emissions rates are less than the required emission standards of 4.8 g/bhp-hr of NO_x+NMHC, 0.15 g/bhp-hr of PM emissions, and 2.6 g/bhp of CO (stated in the above table). Therefore, compliance is expected with item a of Section (a)(3)(A)(1).

40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII-Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engine, Section 60.4205(b), requires that 2007 model year and later emergency stationary CI ICE with a displacement of less than 30 liters per cylinder that are not fire pump engines must comply with the emission standards for new non-road CI engines in §60.4202, for all pollutants, for the same model year and maximum engine power for their 2007 model year and later emergency stationary CI ICE. Furthermore, section 60.4202(a) states Stationary CI internal combustion engine manufacturers must certify their 2007 model year and later emergency stationary CI ICE with a maximum engine power less than or equal to 2,237 KW (3,000 HP) and a displacement of less than 10 liters per cylinder that are not fire pump engines to the emission standards specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (2) of this section.

(1) For engines with a maximum engine power less than 37 KW (50 HP):

- (i) The certification emission standards for new nonroad CI engines for the same model year and maximum engine power in 40 CFR 89.112 and 40 CFR 89.113 for all pollutants for model year 2007 engines, and
- (ii) The certification emission standards for new nonroad CI engines in 40 CFR 1039.104, 40 CFR 1039.105, 40 CFR 1039.107, 40 CFR 1039.115, and table 2 to this subpart, for 2008 model year and later engines.

(2) For engines with a rated power greater than or equal to 37 KW (50 HP), the Tier 2 or Tier 3 emission standards for new nonroad CI engines for the same rated power as described in 40 CFR part 1039, appendix I, for all pollutants and the smoke standards as specified in 40 CFR 1039.105 beginning in model year 2007.

40 CFR Part 1039, Appendix I, Table 2, does not list standard for engines with starting model year 2006 rated greater than 560 kW (>750 hp) engines. Furthermore, section 40 CFR 1039.105 (b) lists smoke emission standards including exhaust opacity not to exceed 20% during acceleration mode, 15% during the lugging mode and 50% during the peaks in either the acceleration or lugging modes.

The proposed engine is an EPA certified engine. Therefore, compliance is expected with item b of (a)(3)(A)(1).

The proposed engine will be permitted to operate up to 50 hours per year for non-emergency (i.e., primarily maintenance and testing) operation. Therefore, compliance is expected with item c of (a)(3)(A)(1).

The following condition(s) will be included in the permit N-10119-1-0:

- {4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 3.74 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 0.52 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.07 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
 - PM₁₀ emission rate shall not exceed 0.04 g/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
2. The District may allow a new stationary emergency standby diesel-fueled CI engine (>50 bhp) to operate up to 100 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes on a site-specific basis, provided the diesel PM emission rate is less than or equal to 0.01 g/bhp-hr.

The District practice is to allow up to 50 hours per year for non-emergency operation (i.e., for testing, maintenance, system readiness, or other similar operation) for emergency standby gen-set engines similar to ones proposed in this project.

Section (a)(3) (B), the District:

1. May establish more stringent diesel PM, NMHC+NO_x, HC, NO_x, and CO emission rate standards; and
2. May establish more stringent limits on hours of maintenance and testing on a site-specific basis; and
3. Shall determine an appropriate limit on the number of hours of operation for demonstrating compliance with other District rules and initial start-up testing.

The emission rates for the proposed engine are established based on the information provided in the application package, and are equal to or stringent than the current District requirements. The proposed engine will be permitted to operate up to 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

§93115.10 – Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Monitoring Requirements

Pursuant to section (f), starting January 1, 2005, each owner or operator of an emergency standby diesel-fueled CI engine shall keep records and prepare a monthly summary that shall list and document the nature of use for each of the following:

- a. Emergency use hours of operation;
- b. Maintenance and testing hours of operation;
- c. Hours of operation for emission testing;
- d. Initial start-up hours; and
- e. If applicable, hours of operation to comply with the testing requirements of NFPA 25

- f. Hours of operation for all uses other than those specified in sections 'a' through 'd' above; and
- g. If applicable, DRP (Demand Response Program) engine hours of operation, and
- h. The fuel used.

The following condition(s) will be included in the permit N-10119-1-0:

- The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, and the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, emergency usage, etc.). For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Compliance is expected with this regulation.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the project qualifies for ministerial approval under the District's Guideline for Expedited Application Review (GEAR). Section 21080 of the Public Resources Code exempts from the application of CEQA those projects over which

a public agency exercises only ministerial approval. Therefore, the District finds that this project is exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project's potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project's potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent's ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

As described above, the project requires only ministerial approval, and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. As such, an Indemnification Agreement or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

IX. Recommendation

Compliance with all applicable rules and regulations is expected. Pending a successful NSR public noticing period, issue Authority to Construct N-10119-1-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft ATC in Appendix A.

X. Billing Information

Billing Schedule			
Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description	Fee Amount
N-10119-1-0	3020-10-F	1,474 bhp IC engine	\$900

Appendixes

- A. Draft ATC
- B. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
- C. Emissions Data Sheet and/or ARB/EPA Certification
- D. RMR Final Memo and AAQA
- E. QNEC Calculations and PAS Emission Profile

Appendix A
Draft ATC

*San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District*

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

DRAFT
ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT

PERMIT NO: N-10119-1-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: UNFI
MAILING ADDRESS: 1990 PICCOLI ROAD
STOCKTON, CA 95215

LOCATION: 2080 WILCOX ROAD
STOCKTON, CA 95215

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
1,474 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL C32 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR.

CONDITIONS

1. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
2. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
3. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
4. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
5. {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]
6. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 3.74 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.52 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.07 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
7. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.04 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
8. {4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-6400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of Issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Samir Sheikh, Executive Director / APCO

DRAFT

Brian Clements, Director of Permit Services

N-10119-1-0 - Mar 29 2022 8:00AM - PCB/MSM - And Inspection NOT Required

Northern Regional Office • 4800 Enterprise Way • Modesto, CA 95356-8718 • (209) 557-6400 • Fax (209) 557-6475

9. {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
10. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
11. {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
12. This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
13. {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
14. {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
15. {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

DRAFT

Appendix B
BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.1
Last Update: 6/13/2019
Emergency Diesel IC Engine

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
CO	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range		
NOX	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range		
PM10	0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)		
SOX	Very low sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less)		
VOC	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range		

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine: NOx and VOC

This application was deemed complete on March 14, 2022. Therefore, BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (June 13, 2019) was in effect at the time the project was deemed complete and will be used for this emergency diesel IC engine. In accordance with the District BACT policy, information from that guideline will be utilized without further analysis.

Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

- *Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*

To determine the latest applicable Tier level, the following steps were taken:

- Conduct a survey of all the emergency IC engines permitted in the District to determine the latest EPA Tier certification level that has been permitted for the proposed engine size
- Conduct a survey of the major IC engine manufacturers/genset vendors to determine the latest EPA Tier certification level that is readily available for the proposed engine size and use
- Review Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines to determine the latest Tier certification level required in California for the proposed engine size

Survey of Permitted Units:

The proposed emergency IC engine is rated at 1,474 bhp. Based on the latest survey of all District permitted emergency IC engines powering electrical generators in the horsepower range applicable to the proposed unit (>1000 hp), the District found that a Tier 2 certification level is the highest certification level that has been permitted for an IC engine of the size associated with the proposed project. The largest permitted Tier 4 Final certified engines are in the horsepower range 900-1000 bhp. A single manufacturer, Volvo, has supplied 17 such 900-1000 bhp Tier 4 Final standby engines within the SJVAPCD. Notwithstanding, in other air quality management jurisdictions where Tier 4 equivalent emissions have been required, examples of permitted >1000 bhp Tier 4 Final Certified and equipment retrofitted to be Tier 4 Compliant can be found.

Survey of IC Engine Manufacturers/Genset Vendors:

The applicant consulted with various engine vendors seeking Tier 4F certified units in the size range associated with the proposed project that are suitable for stationary emergency standby applications. Based on the survey, the applicant reported there are no readily available Tier 4 Final engines with the required bhp rating for emergency standby use available within the timeframe required by the project. Caterpillar and Cummins both reported they would not be able to deliver a Tier 4 engine for this application until 2023. Currently available Tier 4 products from Caterpillar were described as unsuitable due to

insufficient power output. Therefore, the District concluded that, at this time, no Tier 4F certified unit is suitable and available for stationary emergency standby use in the size range appropriate for the proposed project.

Stationary ATCM:

Table 1 of the CARB Stationary Air Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for stationary emergency standby diesel-fired IC engines requires a Tier 2 certification level for IC engines rated greater than 750 bhp. The ATCM does not require a Tier certification level higher than Tier 2 for engines rated greater than 750 bhp. As discussed in Section VIII of the Engineering Evaluation for this project, the proposed engine is in compliance with ATCM emission standards.

Summary:

The proposed emergency IC engines is rated at 1,474 bhp and Tier 2 Certified. This is the highest tier that has been required of emergency engines >1000 bhp in the SJVAPCD. According to the applicant and their genset manufacturers contacted, a Tier 2 certification level is the highest readily available for 1,474 bhp emergency standby diesel-fired IC engine powering a generator.

Based on the above analysis, the District finds that a Tier 4F emergency IC engine/generator with a rating of approximately 1,474 bhp is not readily available.

Consequently, the District considers a Tier 2 certification level to be the latest available Tier certification level for the proposed engine size. Furthermore, a Tier 2 certification level satisfies the stationary ATCM requirement for emergency standby IC engines rated greater than 750 bhp.

Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for NO_x and VOC will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine. The applicant is proposing such a unit. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine: PM10

This application was deemed complete on March 14, 2022. Therefore, BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (June 13, 2019) was in effect at the time the project was deemed complete and will be used for this emergency diesel IC engine. In accordance with the District BACT policy, information from that guideline will be utilized without further analysis.

Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

- *0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*

To determine the latest applicable Tier level, the following steps were taken:

- Conduct a survey of all the emergency IC engines permitted in the District to determine the latest EPA Tier certification level that has been permitted for the proposed engine size
- Conduct a survey of the major IC engine manufacturers/genset vendors to determine the latest EPA Tier certification level that is readily available for the proposed engine size and use
- Review Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines to determine the latest Tier certification level required in California for the proposed engine size

Survey of Permitted Units:

The proposed emergency IC engine is rated at 1,474 bhp. Based on the latest survey of all District permitted emergency IC engines powering electrical generators in the horsepower range applicable to the proposed unit (>1000 hp), the District found that a Tier 2 certification level is the highest certification level that has been permitted for an IC engine of the size associated with the proposed project. The largest permitted Tier 4 Final certified engines are in the horsepower range 900-1000 bhp. A single manufacturer, Volvo, has supplied 17 such 900-1000 bhp Tier 4 Final standby engines within the SJVAPCD. Notwithstanding, in other air quality management jurisdictions where Tier 4 equivalent emissions have been required, examples of permitted >1000 bhp Tier 4 Final Certified and equipment retrofitted to be Tier 4 Compliant can be found. Note that installation of aftermarket emission control devices to achieve Tier 4 compliant emissions would void the manufacturers engine warantee.

Survey of IC Engine Manufacturers/Genset Vendors:

The applicant consulted with various engine vendors seeking Tier 4F certified units in the size range associated with the proposed project that are suitable for stationary emergency standby applications. Based on the survey, the applicant reported there are no readily

available Tier 4 Final engines with the required bhp rating for emergency standby use available within the timeframe required by the project. Caterpillar and Cummins both reported they would not be able to deliver a Tier 4 engine for this application until 2023. Currently available Tier 4 products from Caterpillar were described as unsuitable due to insufficient power output. Therefore, the District concluded that, at this time, no Tier 4F certified unit is suitable and available for stationary emergency standby use in the size range appropriate for the proposed project.

Stationary ATCM:

Table 1 of the CARB Stationary Air Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for stationary emergency standby diesel-fired IC engines requires a Tier 2 certification level for IC engines rated greater than 750 bhp. The ATCM does not require a Tier certification level higher than Tier 2 for engines rated greater than 750 bhp. As discussed in Section VIII of the Engineering Evaluation for this project, the proposed engine is in compliance with ATCM emission standards.

Summary:

The proposed emergency IC engines is rated at 1,474 bhp and Tier 2 Certified. This is the highest tier that has been required of emergency engines >1000 bhp in the SJVAPCD. according to the applicant and their genset manufacturers contacted, a Tier 2 certification level is the highest readily available for 1,474 bhp emergency standby diesel-fired IC engine powering a generator.

Based on the above analysis, the District finds that a Tier 4F emergency IC engine/generator with a rating of approximately 1,474 bhp is not readily available.

Consequently, the District considers a Tier 2 certification level to be the latest available Tier certification level for the proposed engine size. Furthermore, a Tier 2 certification level satisfies the stationary ATCM requirement for emergency standby IC engines rated greater than 750 bhp.

Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM10 will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine with PM10 emission factor less than 0.15 g/bhp-hr. The applicant is proposing such a unit. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

Appendix C
ARB/EPA Emission Certification Data

EPA Engine Family Certification values (shown below from nonroad-compression-ignition-2011-present.xlsx workbook).

1											Level Steady-State Discrete Modal Test Resu							
2	Model	Year	Engine Family	Manufacturer	Certificate #	Issue Date	Commerce Introduction Date	Carryover Engine Family Name	Applicable Tier	NMHC	NOx	NOx	CO	PM	Engine Model	Engine Code	Displaceme	Test Procedure
4386	2022	NCPXL32.0NZS	CATERPILLAR (CPX)	NCPXL32.0NZS-006	01-JUN-2021	01-DEC-2021	MCPXL32.0NZS	2 = Tier 2		0.1	5.01	5.1	0.7	0.06	C32	CERT TES	32.101	2 = Steady-State 5-Mode Cycle

Values obtained in g/kW-hr are converted to g/bhp-hr for this evaluation.

Appendix D
RMR Final Memo and AAQA

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review and Ambient Air Quality Analysis

To: Matthew J Robinson – Permit Services
 From: Michael Scott – Technical Services
 Date: March 28, 2022
 Facility Name: UNFI
 Location: 2080 WILCOX ROAD, STOCKTON
 Application #(s): N-10119-1-0
 Project #: N-1220198

Summary

RMR

Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required	Special Permit Requirements
1-0	4.04	NA ¹	0.00	3.07E-07	No	Yes
Project Totals	4.04	NA ¹	0.00	3.07E-07		
Facility Totals	>1	0.00	0.00	3.07E-07		

Notes:

- Acute Hazard Index was not calculated for Unit 1-0 since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

AAQA

Pollutant	Air Quality Standard (State/Federal)				
	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	NA ²		NA ²		
NO_x	NA ²				Pass
SO_x	NA ²	NA ²		NA ²	Pass
PM10				NA ²	Pass ⁴
PM2.5				NA ²	Pass ⁵

Notes:

- Results were taken from the attached AAQA Report.
- The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour, and 24-hour) standards is not required.
- The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2) unless otherwise noted below.
- Modeled PM10 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 1 µg/m³ for the annual concentration.
- Modeled PM2.5 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 0.2 µg/m³ for the annual concentration.

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

Unit # 1

1. The PM₁₀ emissions rate shall not exceed 0.04 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.
2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
3. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year.

Project Description

Technical Services received a request on March 10, 2022 to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for the following:

Unit -1-0: 1,474 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL C32 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR.

RMR Report

Analysis

The District performed an analysis pursuant to the District's Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, May 28, 2015) to determine the possible cancer and non-cancer health impact to the nearest resident or worksite. This policy requires that an assessment be performed on a unit by unit basis, project basis, and on a facility-wide basis. If a preliminary prioritization analysis demonstrates that:

- A unit's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The project's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The facility's total prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold

Then, generally no further analysis is required.

The District's significant prioritization score threshold is defined as being equal to or greater than 1.0. If a preliminary analysis demonstrates that either the unit(s) or the project's or the facility's total prioritization score is greater than the District threshold, a screening or a refined assessment is required

If a refined assessment is greater than one in a million but less than 20 in one million for carcinogenic impacts (Cancer Risk) and less than 1.0 for the Acute and Chronic hazard indices (Non-Carcinogenic) on a unit by unit basis, project basis and on a facility-wide basis the proposed application is considered less than significant. For unit's that exceed a cancer risk of 1 in one million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (TBACT) must be implemented.

Toxic emissions for this project were calculated using the following methods:

Toxic emissions for the proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer.

These emissions were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, risks from the proposed unit's toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 2016 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines. The prioritization score for this proposed facility was greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required.

The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2013-2017 from Stockton (urban dispersion coefficient selected) to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Source Process Rates					
Unit ID	Process ID	Process Material	Process Units	Hourly Process Rate	Annual Process Rate
1-0	1	PM ₁₀	LBS	0.15	7

Point Source Parameters						
Unit ID	Unit Description	Release Height (m)	Temp. (°K)	Exit Velocity (m/sec)	Stack Diameter (m)	Vertical/Horizontal/Capped
1-0	1,474 BHP Emergency DICE	3.05	751	39.28	0.20	Vertical

AAQA Report

The District modeled the impact of the proposed project on the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and/or California Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) in accordance with District Policy APR-1925 (Policy for District Rule 2201 AAQA Modeling) and EPA's Guideline for Air Quality Modeling (Appendix W of 40 CFR Part 51). The District uses a progressive three level approach to perform AAQAs. The first level (Level 1) uses a very conservative approach. If this analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or Significant Impact Level (SIL), the analysis proceeds to the second level (Level 2) which implements a more refined approach. For the 1-hour NO₂ standard, there is also a third level that can be implemented if the Level 2 analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or SIL.

The modeling analyses predicts the maximum air quality impacts using the appropriate emissions for each standard's averaging period. Required model inputs for a refined AAQA include background ambient air quality data, land characteristics, meteorological inputs, a receptor grid, and source parameters including emissions. These inputs are described in the sections that follow.

Ambient air concentrations of criteria pollutants are recorded at monitoring stations throughout the San Joaquin Valley. Monitoring stations may not measure all necessary pollutants, so background data may need to be collected from multiple sources. The following stations were used for this evaluation:

Monitoring Stations				
Pollutant	Station Name	County	City	Measurement Year
CO	HAZELTON-HD, STOCKTON	San Joaquin	Stockton	2018
NOx	HAZELTON-HD, STOCKTON	San Joaquin	Stockton	2018
PM10	HAZELTON-HD, STOCKTON	San Joaquin	Stockton	2018
PM2.5	HAZELTON-HD, STOCKTON	San Joaquin	Stockton	2018
SOx	Fresno - Garland	Fresno	Fresno	2018

Technical Services performed modeling for directly emitted criteria pollutants with the emission rates below:

Emission Rates (lbs/year)						
Unit ID	Process	NOx	SOx	CO	PM10	PM2.5
1-0	1	607	0.8	85	7	7

The AERMOD model was used to determine if emissions from the project would cause or contribute to an exceedance of any state of federal air quality standard. The parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2013-2017 from Stockton (urban dispersion coefficient selected) were used for the analysis:

The following parameters were used for the review:

Point Source Parameters						
Unit ID	Unit Description	Release Height (m)	Temp. (°K)	Exit Velocity (m/sec)	Stack Diameter (m)	Vertical/Horizontal/Capped
1-0	1,474 BHP Emergency DICE	3.05	751	39.28	0.20	Vertical

Conclusion

RMR

The cumulative acute and chronic indices for this facility, including this project, are below 1.0; and the cumulative cancer risk for this facility, including this project, is less than 20 in a million. In addition, the cancer risk for each unit in this project is less than 1.0 in a million. **In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).**

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

AAQA

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

Attachments

- A. Modeling request from the project engineer
- B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
- C. Prioritization score w/ toxic emissions summary
- D. Facility Summary
- E. AAQA results

Appendix E

QNEC Calculations

Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

$QNEC = PE2 - PE1$, where:

- QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- PE2 = Post-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- PE1 = Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

Since this is a new unit, $PE1 = 0$ for all pollutants. Thus, $QNEC = PE2$ (lb/qtr).

Using the PE2 (lb/yr) values calculated in Section VII.C.2, Quarterly PE2 is calculated as follows:

$$PE2_{quarterly} = PE2 \text{ (lb/yr)} \div 4 \text{ quarters/year} = QNEC$$

QNEC		
Pollutant	PE2 Total (lb/yr)	Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)
NO _x	608	152.0
SO _x	1	0.3
PM ₁₀	6	1.5
CO	84	21.0
VOC	11	2.8