

# **SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT**

## **FINAL STAFF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON AGRICULTURAL BURNING**

May 20, 2010  
(Revised July 21, 2010)

### **Prepared by:**

Koshoua Thao, Senior Air Quality Specialist  
Anna Myers, Air Quality Specialist  
Dennis Roberts, Senior Air Quality Engineer  
David Nunes, Senior Air Quality Specialist  
Joven R. Nazareno, Senior Air Quality Engineer  
David Garner, Senior Air Quality Specialist  
Yu Vu, Air Quality Specialist  
Dr. David Lighthall, Health Science Advisor  
Nichole Corless, Air Quality Specialist

### **Reviewed By:**

Daniel Martinez, Supervising Air Quality Inspector  
Leland Villalvazo, Supervising Air Quality Specialist  
George Heinen, Rule Development Supervisor  
Scott Nester, Senior Project Manager  
Errol Villegas, Planning Manager  
Morgan Lambert, Director of Compliance  
Samir Sheikh, Director of Strategy and Incentives  
Rick McVaigh, Deputy APCO

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The District would like to take the opportunity to acknowledge those individuals and associations who assisted the District throughout the process of compiling this report. We thank you for your efforts, your open communication, your willingness to share data, and for working collaboratively with us in carrying out the District's mission.

Agricultural Industry Representatives  
Applied Development Economics  
Biomass Industry Representatives  
California Air Resources Board  
Chipping and Shredding Operators/Vendors  
County Ag Commissioners  
County Farm Bureau  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Other SJVAB Agencies and Districts  
Other SJVAB Growers

May 20, 2010  
(Revised July 21, 2010)

## **Table of Contents**

### **Executive Summary**

*State Law Requirements*  
*Summary of Proposed Recommendations Contained in this Report*  
*Summary of Methodology for Determining Proposed Recommendations*  
*Governing Board Approval of this Report Satisfies the Determinations*  
*Required by State Law*

### **Chapter 1 Background**

- 1.1 Reasons for this Report
  - 1.1.1 State Law Requires Determinations by the District, with Concurrence by ARB
  - 1.1.2 Description of Affected Categories
- 1.2 Overview of the Methodology for Alternatives to Open Burning for Making the Determinations
  - 1.2.1 Is There a Technologically Feasible Non-Burning Alternative for Disposing of the Specific Crop Type?
  - 1.2.2 Does the Cost Analysis Show an Economically Feasible Non-Burn Alternative?
  - 1.2.3 Do Biomass Power Plants or Other Facilities in the Valley Have Capacity?
  - 1.2.4 Does ARB Concur With the Analysis?
- 1.3 Process and Schedule for 2010 Determinations

### **Chapter 2 Agricultural Burning Requirements of Rule 4103 and CH&SC**

- 2.1 Current District Rule
- 2.2 CH&SC Burning Prohibitions

### **Chapter 3 Technical and Economic Analysis of Affected Crop Categories and Recommendations**

- 3.1 Vineyard Removal Materials
- 3.2 Orchard Removal Matter From Citrus, Apple, Pear, Quince and Fig Crops and Orchard Removal Matter from a Total of 20 Acres or Less
  - 3.2.1 Orchard Removal Matter from a Total of 20 Acres or Less
  - 3.2.2 Fig Crops Orchard Removal Matter
  - 3.2.3 Citrus Crops Orchard Removal Matter

- 3.2.4 Apple, Pear, and Quince Orchard Removal Matter
- 3.3 Weed Abatement Activities Affecting Surface Waterways,  
Including Ponding and Levee Banks
- 3.4 Other Materials
  - 3.4.1 Brooder Paper
  - 3.4.2 Deceased Goats
  - 3.4.3 Diseased Bee Hives
- 3.5 Rice Stubble (Straw)
- 3.6 Prunings From Apple, Pear, Quince, and Fig Crops
  - 3.6.1 Prunings from Apple, Pear and Quince Crops
  - 3.6.2 Prunings from Fig Crops
- 3.7 Surface Harvested Prunings
  - 3.7.1 Prunings from Grape Vines and Grape Canes
  - 3.7.2 Raisin Tray
  - 3.7.3 Almond, Walnut, and Pecan Prunings

#### **Chapter 4 Technological Feasibility of Alternatives to Burning**

- 4.1 Vegetative and Related Material
  - 4.1.1 Biomass Power Plants
  - 4.1.2 Land Application / Soil Incorporation
  - 4.1.3 Anaerobic Digestion
  - 4.1.4 Composting
  - 4.1.5 Landfill
  - 4.1.6 Cellulosic Ethanol Production
  - 4.1.7 Gasification for Liquid Fuel
  - 4.1.8 Pyrolysis
  - 4.1.9 Mulch
  - 4.1.10 Hand Crews for Removal of Materials
  - 4.1.11 Overseas Shipment of Raisin Trays
  - 4.1.12 Water Decomposition for Rice Stubble (Straw)
  - 4.1.13 Baling Rice Stubble (Straw)
- 4.2 Animal-Related Material
  - 4.2.1 Burial
  - 4.2.2 Incineration
  - 4.2.3 Rendering
  - 4.2.4 Sterilization

#### **Chapter 5 Emissions From Agricultural Burning and Alternatives to Burning and Health Considerations**

- 5.1 Distribution of Agricultural Open Burning Emissions
- 5.2 Current Emissions Inventory from Agricultural Burning
- 5.3 Expected Emissions From Alternatives
- 5.4 Emission Reduction Analysis

- 5.4.1 Introduction
- 5.4.2 Methodology and Calculations
- 5.5 Health Benefits from Reduced Open Burning
- 5.6 Health Risk Assessment of Open Burning and Alternatives
  - 5.6.1 Methodology and Calculations
  - 5.6.2 Health Risk Assessment Results

## **Chapter 6 Cost Impacts of Alternatives to Burning**

- 6.1 Costs for Open Burning
  - 6.1.1 Costs for Orchard and Vineyard Removal by Open Burning
  - 6.1.2 Costs for Disposal of Orchard Prunings by Open Burning
- 6.2 Costs of Alternatives to Burning
  - 6.2.1 Costs for Orchard and Vineyard Removal for fuel at Biomass Power Plants
  - 6.2.2 Costs for Disposal of Orchard Prunings by Chipping
- 6.3 Cost Effectiveness of Alternatives to Open Burning
  - 6.3.1 Approach
  - 6.3.2 Emissions Due to Open Burning
  - 6.3.3 Emissions Due to Grinding and Conversion of Material to Biomass Fuel
  - 6.3.4 Per Acre Costs and Per Acre Emissions
- 6.4 Additional Impacts of New ARB Regulations on Trucks and Equipment

## **Chapter 7 Biomass Power Plants**

- 7.1 Current Biomass Power Plants
  - 7.1.1 Locations
  - 7.1.2 Fuel Use and Storage Capacities
  - 7.1.3 Historical Fuel Usage
  - 7.1.4 Emissions and Emission Controls
  - 7.1.5 Economics-Agricultural Fuel Vs. Urban Fuel
- 7.2 Outlook
  - 7.2.1 How Much More Agricultural Material Do We Anticipate?
  - 7.2.2 Could the Current Biomass Facilities Physically Handle the Increase in Materials?
  - 7.2.3 Policies for Renewable Energy
  - 7.2.4 Contracts with Utilities
  - 7.2.5 Legislative Platform
  - 7.2.6 New Facilities

- 7.3 State and Federal Commitments for Continued Operation
  - 7.3.1 Renewable Electricity Production Tax Credit
  - 7.3.2 Existing Renewables Facilities Program
  - 7.3.3 Biomass-to-Energy Incentive Grant Program
- 7.4 Discussion

## **Chapter 8 Air Quality Impacts of Continued Open Burning and Alternatives**

- 8.1 Smoke Management System
- 8.2 2007 Ozone Plan
- 8.3 2008 PM2.5 Plan
  - 8.3.1 Annual PM2.5 Standard
  - 8.3.2 24-Hour PM2.5 Standard
- 8.4 Open Burning Emissions Inventory

## **Chapter 9 Determinations Required by State Law**

- 9.1 Economic Feasibility
- 9.2 Federal and State Commitments for Biomass Facilities
- 9.3 Air Quality Impacts
- 9.4 ARB Concurrence

## **Chapter 10 References**

## **Appendices**

### **Appendix A: Amended Rule 4103**

### **Appendix B: Table of Crop Categories**

### **Appendix C: Initial Study and Negative Declaration**

### **Appendix D: Summary of Significant Comments and District Responses**

### **Appendix E: Economic Feasibility Analysis Methodology**

### **Appendix F: Summarized Information from CH&SC Section 41855.5**

Category Definitions List  
Open Burn Prohibition Schedule

### **Appendix G: Cost Effectiveness Analysis**

### **Appendix H: Ag Burn Information Provided by the Agricultural Industry**

Wine Grapes  
Table Grapes  
Raisin Grapes  
Citrus  
Nut Crops  
Diseased Crops  
Raisin Trays  
Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act

This page intentionally blank.