**Chapter 6** 

# **Cost Impacts of Alternatives to Burning**

Final Staff Report and Recommendations on Agricultural Burning This page intentionally blank.

# <u>Chapter 6: COST IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES TO BURNING</u> (for each affected crop/material)

The costs shown in this analysis are borne by growers. Growers typically pay the contractor to burn, chip, or shred the materials. The biomass facilities also pay chipping operators for the chipped material. The District is estimating incremental costs of non-burning alternatives by subtracting the cost of open burning from the total cost of the alternative. The incremental costs are then used in further analysis.

## 6.1 COSTS FOR OPEN BURNING

#### 6.1.1 Costs for Orchard and Vineyard Removal by Open Burning

Since the entire orchard or vineyard removal process may be affected by the method utilized for disposal of the material, the District examined current costs for the complete removal/burning process including tree or vine extraction, transport/piling and burning. For orchard removals, the trees are typically either pushed over with a dozer or removed from the ground with an excavator. Large trees may require some breaking up for handling. After drying in the field, the downed trees are then moved to burn piles either by dozer or wheel-loader. Vineyards are typically bull dozed into piles for burning with vineyard wire in place (the wire is removed and disposed after burning is complete).

To obtain costs, orchard removal contractors in the SJV were contacted who provided expected average costs for the removal and burning for various orchard types and vineyards. All contractors requested confidentiality with respect to their pricing. Per discussions with the contractors, actual cost for a particular site will vary with specific orchard or vineyard configuration and site conditions.

The agricultural industry also provided estimates for removal/burning operations.

Average pricing provided to the District by the orchard removal contractors as well as estimates provided by the agricultural industry are as follows:

Price	es for Orchard and \	Table 6-1 /ineyard Removal \$ per acre	s by Open Bur	ning
	Citrus	Average for other Orchards including nuts, stone fruit and general deciduous	Vineyards	Minimum Charge per Burning Project
Average Contractor Pricing	\$400	\$267	\$213	\$1,150
Ag Industry Estimates	\$314	-	\$267	-

The above pricing includes burning of roots, assuming the roots would be extracted from the ground prior to the burning operation. Orchard removal contractors generally indicated citrus orchard removal and burning to be somewhat more difficult than the average for other types of orchards and indicated a higher price for this specific type.

Agricultural industry estimates for open burning did not specifically address orchard removals other than Citrus. For purposes of analysis, the District will assume that the agricultural industry estimate of \$314 per acre applies to all orchards. Additionally, agricultural industry estimates did not address a minimum project charge for burning projects. For purposes of analysis, the District will assume that the minimum project charge estimated by orchard contractors will be generally applicable.

## 6.1.2 Costs for Disposal of Orchard Prunings by Open Burning

Disposal of orchard prunings by open burning requires that the prunings be pushed to the end of each row and then piled for burning. Pruning weights are typically 1 to 1.5 tons per acre on a wet basis (30-35% moisture) for orchards regardless of tree type per information provided both by orchard contractors and the farming industry. To burn the prunings, costs must be incurred to 1) push the prunings to the end of each row and then pile them for burning, 2) obtain a burning permit and 3) then supervise the burn. The farming industry estimates the cost of this activity at approximately \$22 per acre. It is assumed that a \$500 minimum project cost would be required by a contractor to perform these services consistent with quoted project minimums for smaller chipping operations.

#### 6.2 COSTS OF ALTERNATIVES TO BURNING

#### 6.2.1 Costs for Orchard and Vineyard Removal for fuel at Biomass Power Plants

The District has identified the grinding (or chipping) of orchard removal material followed by utilization of the material as fuel for power generation as a feasible alternative to open burning. In this approach for orchard removal the trees are typically extracted or pushed over and then allowed to dry in the field for approximately four weeks prior to grinding (except for citrus for which a drying time of approximately eight weeks is required to ensure that grinding will produce a usable biomass fuel). After drying, the downed trees are typically loaded on a wheel-loader which transports them to the grinder. The grinder may be either a tub grinder or a horizontal hammer mill, depending upon the contractor and/or the specifics of the job. After grinding, the biomass is normally loaded into heavy haul trucks and transported to the biomass facility.

To obtain costs for conversion of orchard removal matter into biomass fuel, the District contacted several established orchard removal contractors and obtained budgetary quotations for typical orchard removal operations with conversion of the material to biomass. In addition, the agricultural industry provided cost estimates for this activity. Results of the cost survey are presented in the following table:

	Cost for O	rchard and	Tat Vineyard Re \$ pe	ole 6-2 movals by er acre	Grinding to E	3iomass Fu		uly 21, 2010
	Citru	sr	Other Or	chards	Vineya	Irds	Minimum Cl Proje	harge per ect
	Orchard Contractors	Ag Industry Estimate	Orchard Contractors	Ag Industry Estimate	Orchard Contractors	Ag Industry Estimate	Orchard Contractors	Ag Industry Estimate
Average Contractor Quote/Estimate for Orchard Removal	\$525	\$580	\$388	\$400	\$225	\$380		
Transporting and Composting Roots	\$244	\$75	\$244	\$75	\$75	\$244	\$5,000	\$5,000
Removal of Vineyard Wire and Trellis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$650	\$775		
Total Evaluated Cost Based on Average Contractor Quote or Ag Industry Estimate	\$769	\$655	\$632	\$475	\$950	\$1,399	\$5,000	\$5,000
Cost Differential Between Grinding to Biomass and Open Burning	\$369	\$341	\$365	\$161	\$737	\$1,132	\$3,850	\$3,850

Chapter 6: Cost Impacts of Alternatives to Burning Final Staff Report and Recommendations on Agricultural Burning

6-4

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Per discussions with the contractors providing budgetary estimates, the actual cost for a particular site will vary with specific orchard or vineyard configuration, site conditions, haul distance to a biomass power plant and the current price paid for biomass fuel. To ensure that the quoted costs would be comparable to those quoted for open burning, the scope included tree removal, grinding and transport to the biomass facility. The pricing did not include any impact from any federal or other incentive programs aimed at promoting use of agricultural material as biomass fuel (since such programs are considered temporary).

Similar to the pricing obtained for orchard burning, the District's discussions with orchard removal contractors also indicated that the pricing for citrus orchards is higher than the average for other orchard types, primarily due to issues with separation of dirt from the root ball in clay or rocky soil and the lower desirability of citrus as biomass fuel. This is consistent with the estimates provided by the agricultural industry which also indicate a higher pricing for citrus versus other types of orchards. Based on discussions with contractors, a value of 30 tons biomass fuel per acre was assumed for citrus orchards.

In the costs presented in the table above, the District's data assumed that the roots, after drying in the field, will be transported to a composting operation. The following cost estimates were provided by the ag industry for removing root materials: \$75 for one ton of roots and \$244 for four tons of roots. For orchard removals, District staff estimated the roots to weigh four tons per acre. For vineyard removals, District staff assumed that the roots weigh one ton per acre. Ag industry estimates were based on 1 ton of roots per acre for orchards and 4 tons per acre for vineyards.

As with open burning, the prices listed above do not include extraction of roots from the field and the loading of roots into piles for further handling because as mentioned previously, it is assumed that this cost will be incurred regardless of the approach used for orchard or vineyard removal and thus can be ignored for the District's comparative analysis.

Review of Table 6-2 indicates that the prices obtained by the District for open burning and grinding for biomass are very similar to the estimates provided by the ag industry. In addition, since the pricing differential between grinding to biomass and open burning shown in Table 6-2 is generally greater when based on the quotations obtained by the District rather than on the information provided by the ag industry, the District's cost effectiveness analysis will be performed based only on the quotations obtained by the District since this will provide the most conservative analysis with respect to industry's concerns.

## 6.2.2 Costs for Disposal of Orchard Prunings by Chipping

Options for chipping and recycle of prunings consist of:

- 1. Chipping prunings for conversion to biomass fuel
- 2. In-row chipping of prunings for on-site land incorporation

The practice of chipping prunings for conversion to biomass fuel is less commonly practiced in comparison to in-row chipping for land incorporation and the pricing was found to be variable depending upon the business approach by the contractor. Information provided by an operator with smaller chipping equipment indicated that prunings could be chipped for biomass at a cost of \$100 per dry ton with a minimum job charge of \$500. An operator with large grinding equipment indicated that under good conditions the cost could be \$40 - \$60 per ton with a minimum of \$6,000 per day when grinding prunings for biomass.

Costs for chipping prunings for land incorporation have been included in a number of recent studies by the University of California Cooperative Extension as presented in Table 6-3:

Published	Table 6-3 Costs for In-Row Chipping of Prunir	ngs for Lan	d Incorporation
UC Report	Title	Date	Cost per Acre to Shred Prunings
NC-VS-09	Sample Costs to Establish and Produce Nectarines	2009	\$41
OL-SV-09	Sample Costs to Produce Olives	2009	\$10
PH-VS-09	Sample Costs to Establish and Produce Peaches	2009	\$41
WN-VN-07	Sample Costs to Establish and Produce Walnuts	2007	\$27
AM-VS-08-1	Sample Costs to Establish and Produce Almonds	2007	\$24

In addition, a chipper operator was contacted to obtain a budgetary quotation. This contractor indicated an in-row chipping price of \$26 per acre with a \$500 project minimum, regardless of tree type. An analysis provided by the farming industry indicated that the in-row chipping operation for almonds would cost \$30-\$65 per acre depending upon the age of the trees. For purposes of this analysis and based on the information above, the District will assume that the prices for in-row chipping for land incorporation may vary from \$30 to \$60 per acre.

## 6.3 COST EFFECTIVENESS OF ALTERNATIVES TO OPEN BURNING

## 6.3.1 Approach

In general, the reduction of agricultural material from the pruning or the removal of orchards and vineyards by grinding or chipping followed by conversion to either biomass fuel or land incorporation results in fewer emissions when compared to open burning; however, these operations may incur extra costs over those associated with open burning. To examine the cost feasibility of these alternatives, cost effectiveness (CE) in dollars per ton of emission reduction is defined as the cost differential between chipping or grinding and open burning in dollars per acre divided by the difference between burning and chipping in per acre total emissions ( $PM_{2.5} + NO_x + VOC$ ), or:

 $CE = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} ((\$/acre)_{chip} & - & (\$/acre)_{burn}) \\ (tons- & (tons- \\ emissions/acre)_{burn} & - & emissions/acre)_{chip} \end{array}\right)$ 

The cost effectiveness calculated by the above expression will primarily be a function of the type of tree or plant (which determines the difficulty of removal and the amount and fuel quality of the material, affecting both the denominator and numerator of the above expression) and of the total acreage which affects the numerator of the above expression since operations on smaller acreages cost more per acre due to the project minimums imposed by most orchard contractors.

## 6.3.2 Emissions Due To Open Burning

## Open Burning of Orchard Removals

Emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $NO_x$  and VOC from open burning operations have been estimated by the District based on the following:

- Emissions estimates for orchard removals include:
  - 1. Highway vehicle emissions to deliver mobile equipment to the site
  - 2. Emissions from a dozer used to remove the trees or vines
  - 3. Emissions from a wheel loader used to stack trees or vines into piles for burning
  - 4. Tractor emissions for collection and stacking of roots for burning
  - 5. Emissions from open burning of trees, vines and roots

• Emission factors for open burning are taken from the District's 2008 Area Sources Emission Inventory Methodology (revised 01Jun09) for orchard removals. Values are:

PM2.57.3 lb/ton material burnedNOx5.2 lb/ton material burnedVOC5.2 lb/ton material burned

- Highway vehicle emissions for delivery of equipment assumes two 100 mile round-trips for a heavy haul truck to deliver a dozer and a wheel loader.
- Dozer emissions are estimated based on a 300 hp Tier 2 diesel engine requiring one hour of operation for 70 tons for material.
- Wheel loader emissions are estimated based on a 250 hp Tier 2 diesel engine operating at a rate of one hour per acre.
- Tractor emissions required for piling and burning of roots are estimated based on an 80 hp tier 2 diesel engine operating at a rate of one hour per acre.

#### Open Burning of Orchard Prunings

Emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $NO_x$  and VOC from open burning operations have been estimated by the District based on the following:

- Emissions estimates for orchard removals include:
  - 1. Highway vehicle emissions to deliver mobile equipment to the site
  - 2. Emissions from a wheel loader used to stack trees or vines into piles for burning
  - 3. Emissions from open burning of prunings
- Emission factors for open burning are taken from the District's 2008 Area Sources Emission Inventory Methodology (revised 01Jun09) for orchard removals. Values are:

PM2.57.3 lb/ton material burnedNOx5.2 lb/ton material burnedVOC5.2 lb/ton material burned

• Highway vehicle emissions for delivery of equipment assumes one 100 mile round-trip for a heavy haul truck to deliver a wheel loader to the site.

- Wheel loader emissions are estimated based on a 250 hp Tier 2 diesel engine operating at a rate of one hour per acre.
- 6.3.3 Emissions Due to Grinding and Conversion of Material to Biomass Fuel

Grinding and Conversion of Material from Orchard Removals to Biomass Fuel

Emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $NO_x$  and VOC from grinding and conversion have been estimated by the District based on the following:

- Emissions estimates for grinding and conversion of material to biomass fuel include:
  - 1. Highway vehicle emissions to deliver mobile equipment to the site, deliver ground material to the biomass plant and to deliver roots to a composting operation
  - 2. Emissions from an excavator or dozer used to remove the trees or vines
  - 3. Emissions from two wheel loaders used to stack trees, vines, and roots into piles for burning
  - 4.  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions from grinding of trees, vines and roots
  - 5. Engine emissions (PM2.5, NOx, and VOC) from the grinder
  - 6. Dozer operation at the biomass facility to receive and handle the fuel
  - 7. Power plant emissions due to fuel burning
- The PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission factor for grinding (0.05 lb per ton) was based on a review of existing District permits for grinding wood material and vineyard materials. A review of four existing permits indicated a range of 0.0088 lb/ton to 0.08 lb/ton with an average of 0.03.
- Emission factors for biomass power plant operation are based on reported operation for the Delano plant. Values are:

PM2.50.86 lb/ton material burnedNOx1.92 lb/ton material burnedVOC0.38 lb/ton material burned

- Highway vehicle emissions calculations assume four 100 mile round-trips for a heavy haul truck to deliver a tubgrinder, an excavator and two wheel loaders to the site, one (1) 100-mile round trip for every 24 tons of ground material for delivery to the biomass power plant, and one (1) 100-mile round trip for every 24 tons of roots for delivery to composting operation.
- Excavator emissions for orchard removal are estimated based on a 240 hp Tier 1 diesel engine requiring one hour of operation per acre.

- Wheel loader emissions associated with the grinding operation are estimated based on a 250 hp Tier 2 diesel engine operating at a rate of three hours per acre.
- Tub grinder emissions are estimated based on a 1000 hp Tier 2 diesel engine operating at a rate of one hour per acre.
- Dozer emissions for receiving and handling material at the biomass power plant are estimated based on a 300 hp Tier 2 diesel engine requiring two hours of operation for 70 tons for material received.
- Wheel loader emissions required for gathering and loading roots for transport to a composter are estimated based on a 250 hp Tier 2 diesel engine operating at a rate of one hour per acre.

#### Grinding and Conversion of Orchard Prunings to Biomass Fuel

Emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $NO_x$  and VOC from grinding and conversion have been estimated by the District based on the following:

- Emissions estimates for grinding and conversion of material to biomass fuel include:
  - 1. Highway vehicle emissions to deliver mobile equipment to the site and deliver ground material to the biomass plant
  - 2. Emissions from one wheel loader used to handle prunings
  - 3. PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions from grinding of prunings
  - 4. Engine emissions (PM2.5, NOx, and VOC) from the grinder
  - 5. Dozer operation at the biomass facility to receive and handle the fuel
  - 6. Power plant emissions due to fuel burning
- The PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission factor for grinding (0.05 lb per ton) was based on a review of existing District permits for grinding wood material and vineyard materials. A review of four existing permits indicated a range of 0.0088 lb/ton to 0.08 lb/ton with an average of 0.03.
- Emission factors for biomass power plant operation are based on reported operation for the Delano plant. Values are:
  - PM<sub>2.5</sub> 0.86 lb/ton material burned NO<sub>x</sub> 1.92 lb/ton material burned VOC 0.38 lb/ton material burned

- Highway vehicle emissions calculations assume two 100 mile round-trips for a heavy haul truck to deliver a grinder and a wheel loader to the site and one (1) 100-mile round trip for every 24 tons of ground material for delivery to the biomass power plant.
- Wheel loader emissions associated with the grinding operation are estimated based on a 250 hp Tier 2 diesel engine operating at a rate of three hours per acre.
- Grinder emissions are estimated based on a 100 hp Tier 2 diesel engine operating at a rate of one hour per acre.
- Dozer emissions for receiving and handling material at the biomass power plant are estimated based on a 300 hp Tier 2 diesel engine requiring two hours of operation for 70 tons for material received.

#### Chipping of Prunings for Land Incorporation

Emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $NO_x$  and VOC from grinding and conversion have been estimated by the District based on the following:

- Emissions estimates for chipping of prunings for land incorporation include:
  - 1. Highway vehicle emissions to deliver mobile equipment to the site
  - 2. Emissions from a wheel loader used to handle the prunings
  - 3. PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions from grinding of prunings
  - 4. Engine emissions (PM2.5, NOx, and VOC) from the grinder
- The PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission factor for grinding (0.05 lb per ton) was based on a review of existing District permits for grinding wood material and vineyard materials. A review of four existing permits indicated a range of 0.0088 lb/ton to 0.08 lb/ton with an average of 0.03.
- Highway vehicle emissions calculations assume two 100 mile round-trips for a heavy haul truck to deliver a grinder or chipper and one wheel loader to the site.
- Chipping or grinding emissions are estimated based on a 415 hp Tier 1 diesel engine requiring one hour of operation per acre.

• Wheel loader emissions associated with the grinding operation are estimated based on a 250 hp Tier 2 diesel engine operating at a rate of one hour per acre.

#### 6.3.4 Per Acre Costs and Per Acre Emissions

Table 6-4 presents the results of the District's evaluation of emissions and per acre costs for converting orchard removal material to biomass fuel by grinding versus open burning for orchards other than citrus. Likewise, Table 6-5 presents the results of the District's evaluation emissions and per acre costs for converting orchard removal material to biomass fuel by grinding versus open burning for citrus orchards. The tables present results for plot sizes between 1 and 20 acres, with the expected emissions and cost for burning per acre, expected emissions and cost for grinding per acre, differential emissions and differential cost per acre. The cost structure shown in the tables reflects a \$5,000 minimum charge required for orchard removals by grinding to biomass and a minimum charge of \$1,150 for orchard removal by open burning. The "per acre" charge indicated in Table 6-2 only becomes effective after the minimum project cost is exceeded. As a result, per-acre cost is generally higher for smaller acreages, trending to a lower fixed value for larger acreages as would be expected. Per acre emissions are also somewhat higher for smaller acreages primarily due to the emissions associated with mobilization of equipment at the site.

Table 6-6 presents a similar analysis for vineyard removals with a pricing structure similar to Tables 6-4 and 6-5. As with orchards, per-acre costs and cost effectiveness value is generally higher for smaller acreages, trending to a lower fixed value for larger acreages.

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

				1													<u> </u>					rets of	urning vrt and
				Cost	\$/acre	\$4,094	\$2,169	\$1,527	\$1,207	<i>L</i> 26\$	\$810	169\$	209\$	\$533	2772	\$394	\$365	\$365	\$365	\$365	\$365	Cost Imp	itives to B Staff Repo
			nce	Ē	VOC	0.1010	0.1008	0.1007	0.1008	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	0.1007	<del>Chapter 6.</del>	Alterna Final
			Differe	ons Reductio	$PM_{2.5}$	0.1095	0.1100	0.1100	0.1100	0.1101	0.1101	0.1100	0.1101	0.1101	0.1101	0.1102	0.1101	0.1101	0.1101	0.1101	0.1101		
	itrus			Emissi To	× ON	0.0370	0.0422	0.0438	0.0447	0.0448	0.0452	0.0452	0.0454	0.0453	0.0456	0.0458	0.0458	0.0459	0.0460	0.0459	0.0460		
ct Data	ner than Ci	010		Cost	\$/acre	\$5,244	\$2,744	\$1,911	\$1,494	\$1,244	\$1,077	\$958	\$869	\$800	\$744	\$661	\$632	\$632	\$632	\$632	\$632		
sing Distric	chards oth	ations Jan 2(	Haul		VOC	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065		
d Costs Us	Haul tor Or	tractor Quot	Grind &	Emissions ons per Acre	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.0145	0.0143	0.0142	0.0141	0.0141	0.0141	0.0141	0.0141	0.0141	0.0141	0.0140	0.0141	0.0141	0.0141	0.0141	0.0141	inding case	
issions and	arind and F	Chipper Con		To	× ON	0.0575	0.0503	0.0480	0.0468	0.0465	0.0460	0.0459	0.0456	0.0456	0.0453	0.0450	0.0450	0.0449	0.0448	0.0448	0.0447	eration for gri	
r Acre Emi	g versus G	Cost Basis: (		Cost	\$/acre	\$1,150	\$575	\$383	\$288	\$267	\$267	\$267	\$267	\$267	\$267	\$267	\$267	\$267	\$267	\$267	\$267	iard ig case omposting op \$244 for roo	
- Pei	en Burnin		ırning		VOC	0.1075	0.1073	0.1072	0.1073	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	0.1072	for other orch or roots rned in burnir nsported to c cost includes	
	do		Open Bu	Emissions ons per Acre	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.1240	0.1243	0.1242	0.1241	0.1242	0.1242	0.1241	0.1242	0.1242	0.1242	0.1242	0.1242	0.1242	0.1242	0.1242	0.1242	30 BDT/acre f 4 BDT/acre f Roots are bu Roots are tra Grind & haul	
					× ON	0.0945	0.0925	0.0918	0.0915	0.0913	0.0912	0.0911	0.0910	0.0909	6060.0	0.0908	0.0908	0.0908	0.0908	0.0907	0.0907	Basis:	
			Orchard	Removal Size	)	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	12	14	15	16	18	20		

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

			Per A	vcre Emi; Dpen Burn	ssions al ing versu	Table 6-{ nd Costs s Grind ar	5 s Using I nd Haul fo	District [ r Citrus	)ata				
				Cost Basis:	Chipper Co	ntractor Que	otations Jan	2010					
Orchord		Open B	urning			Grind 8	t Haul			Differ	ence		
Urcriaru Removal Size	1 L	Emissions ons per Acre		Cost	J J	Emissions ons per Acre		Cost	Emis T	sions Reducti ons per Acre	ion	Cost	
070	× ON	$PM_{2.5}$	VOC	\$/acre	NO×	$PM_{2.5}$	VOC	\$/acre	NO×	$PM_{2.5}$	VOC	\$/acre	
-	0.0945	0.1240	0.1075	\$1,150	0.0575	0.0145	0.0065	\$5,244	0.0370	0.1095	0.1010	\$4,094	
2	0.0925	0.1243	0.1073	\$575	0.0503	0.0143	0.0065	\$2,744	0.0422	0.1100	0.1008	\$2,169	
С	0.0918	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0480	0.0142	0.0065	\$1,911	0.0438	0.1100	0.1007	\$1,511	
4	0.0915	0.1241	0.1073	\$400	0.0468	0.0141	0.0065	\$1,494	0.0447	0.1100	0.1008	\$1,094	
5	0.0913	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0465	0.0141	0.0065	\$1,244	0.0448	0.1101	0.1007	\$844	
9	0.0912	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0460	0.0141	0.0065	\$1,077	0.0452	0.1101	0.1007	\$677	
7	0.0911	0.1241	0.1072	\$400	0.0459	0.0141	0.0065	\$958	0.0452	0.1100	0.1007	\$558	
8	0.0910	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0456	0.0141	0.0065	\$869	0.0454	0.1101	0.1007	\$469	
6	0.0909	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0456	0.0141	0.0065	\$800	0.0453	0.1101	0.1007	\$400	
10	0.0909	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0453	0.0141	0.0065	69/\$	0.0456	0.1101	0.1007	\$369	
12	0.0908	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0450	0.0140	0.0065	\$769	0.0458	0.1102	0.1007	\$369	
14	0.0908	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0450	0.0141	0.0065	\$769	0.0458	0.1101	0.1007	\$369	
15	0.0908	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0449	0.0141	0.0065	\$769	0.0459	0.1101	0.1007	\$369	
16	0.0908	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0448	0.0141	0.0065	\$769	0.0460	0.1101	0.1007	\$369	
18	0.0907	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0448	0.0141	0.0065	\$769	0.0459	0.1101	0.1007	\$369	
20	0.0907	0.1242	0.1072	\$400	0.0447	0.0141	0.0065	\$769	0.0460	0.1101	0.1007	\$369	
	Basis:	30 BDT/acr( 4 BDT/acre Boote are hi	e for citrus for roots										
		Roots are tr Grind & hau	ansported to I cost include	composting c	oot composti	grinding case ing	0						
		6.1	4								<u>Chenter 6</u>	Cast Imner	nte of
		• •	-								Alterna Final	atives to Bu Staff Repor	rning t and
									Reco	mmendatic	ons on Agri	icultural Bu	rning

District
Control
Pollution
d Air I
Unified
Valley
Joaquin
San

					e	75	00	8	88	35	15	26	37	80	2	6	<u>о</u>	2	2	0	0					_	acts of	turning ort and urning
				Co	\$/ac	\$4,57	\$2,65	\$2,0(	\$1,68	\$1,49	\$1,32	\$1,22	\$1,13	\$1,06	\$1,0 <sup>-</sup>	\$92	\$86	\$84	\$82	\$79	\$76						a <del>nt ts</del> e	es to B aff Rep Itural B
			rence	tion	VOC	0.0170	0.0172	0.0173	0.0174	0.0174	0.0174	0.0174	0.0173	0.0173	0.0174	0.0174	0.0174	0.0174	0.0174	0.0173	0.0174						anter 6: Cr	Alternativ Final Sta on Agricu
			Diffe	sions Reduc ons per Acre	$PM_{2.5}$	0.0190	0.0192	0.0193	0.0194	0.0194	0.0194	0.0193	0.0193	0.0193	0.0194	0.0194	0.0194	0.0194	0.0193	0.0193	0.0194						Ch	endations
	Data iwis			Emis T	NO×	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000							Recomm
	District eyards/K	ın 2010		Cost*	\$\acre	\$5,725	\$3,225	\$2,392	\$1,975	\$1,725	\$1,558	\$1,439	\$1,350	\$1,281	\$1,225	\$1,142	\$1,082	\$1,058	\$1,038	\$1,003	\$275						ISE	
9	ts Using aul for Vir	uotations Ja	k Haul		VOC	0.0020	0.0018	0.0017	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	es					r grinding ca	
Table 6-	and Cost nd and Ha	ontractor Qu	Grind 8	Emissions ons per Acre	$PM_{2.5}$	0.0030	0.0028	0.0027	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	vire and stak	roots				operation fo	
	issions a rersus Gri	: Chipper Co		Ĕ	NO×	0.0305	0.0233	0.0210	0.0199	0.0195	0.0190	0.0186	0.0183	0.0181	0.0182	0.0178	0.0176	0.0176	0.0176	0.0175	0.0175	removal of w	composting			ning case	composting	
	Acre Emi Burning v	Cost Basis.		Cost	⇒/acre	\$1,150	\$575	\$383	\$288	\$230	\$213	\$213	\$213	\$213	\$213	\$213	\$213	\$213	\$213	\$213	\$213	per acre for	per acre tor	for Vineyard	for roots	urned in burr	ansported to	
	Per ⊿ Open		urning		VOC	0.0190	0.0190	0.0190	0.0190	0.0190	0.0190	0.0190	0.0189	0.0189	0.0190	0.0190	0.0190	0.0190	0.0190	0.0189	0.0190	cludes \$650	<b>6/\$</b>	5 BDT/acre	1 BDT/acre	Roots are b	Roots are tr	
			Open B	Emissions ons per Acre	$PM_{2.5}$	0.0220	0.0220	0.0220	0.0220	0.0220	0.0220	0.0219	0.0219	0.0219	0.0220	0.0220	0.0220	0.0220	0.0219	0.0219	0.0220	haul cost inc	Basis				6-15	
				ц Ч	NOx	0.0210	0.0193	0.0185	0.0183	0.0180	0.0179	0.0176	0.0178	0.0177	0.0177	0.0176	0.0175	0.0175	0.0175	0.0175	0.0175	* Grind and						
			brodor.	Cicilaru Remova I Size	)	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	12	14	15	16	18	20							

#### Prunings

For the alternative of grinding orchard prunings for conversion to biomass fuel, the District evaluated the emissions as follows based on one (1) bone-dry ton per acre of prunings and a 20 acre orchard plot size:

		Оре	n Burn	Emis ling ver	Table sions C sus Gri	e 6-7 Compai Inding	rison for Bio	mass Fue		
Tons	Acres	Burr	ı - Ibs/a	acre	Grinc	l/Bioma lb/acre	ass -	Emissi Chip	on Reduc ping - lb/	tion for acre
per acre	ACIES	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
1	20	7.9	7.7	6.4	1.1	7.6	0.6	6.8	0.1	5.8

For the alternative of chipping orchard prunings for land incorporation, emissions estimates are as follows based on one (1) bone dry ton of prunings per acre:

	C	Dpen Bu	ırning	Emis versus	Table sions C Shrede	e 6-8 Compai ding fo	rison or Land II	ncorpor	ation	
Tons	_	Burn	ı - Ibs/a	acre	C Incorp	Chip/La porate	nd Ib/acre	Emiss Chi	ion Redu pping - Ik	oction for b/acre
per acre	Acres	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NOx	VOC	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
1	20	7.9	7.7	6.4	0.2	6.2	0.4	7.7	1.5	6.0

Per the above tables, shredding the pruning materials provides the greatest reduction in emissions relative to open burning. Based on the greater emission reductions and reliability of cost data, the District will base further analysis only on the alternative method of shredding the materials in place. As previously mentioned, shredding operations may vary between \$30 and \$60 per acre depending on the availability of custom shredder and the amount of pruning material, while burning costs \$22 per acre. District staff has used the higher costs of shredding as a conservative estimate and determined the incremental cost of shredding to be \$38 per acre.

#### 6.4 ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (COST AND AVAILABILITY) OF NEW ARB REGULATIONS ON TRUCKS AND EQUIPMENT

Agricultural representatives note that the costs for the upcoming off-road equipment (Tier 3), which needs to be replaced by 2012, need to be considered. The factors in the previous rulemaking analysis did not include trucks, Heavy Duty Rules, and AB32 (new colors on tractors, turning off AC units). It has been suggested that District staff analyze what has changed for the line items for '20 acres or less' in the 2007 analysis. Agricultural representatives do not believe there has been any decrease in costs and that the new costs will increase for chippers because of the equipment replacements. The additional components of the "Off-Road" rule and the amount (\$26/ton instead of \$28/ton) the biomass power plants are now paying for the material could also impact the cost analysis. The District's costs analysis above are based on the most current and best available information from the chipping operator and agricultural industry. District staff will reevaluate any significant impact to the industry as necessary.

This page intentionally blank.