SEP 28 2016

Tim Alburger
Seneca Resources
4800 Corporate Court
Bakersfield, CA 93311

RE: Final - Authority to Construct / Certificate of Conformity (Significant Modification)
Facility Number: S-1114
Project Number: S-1160069

Dear Mr. Alburger:

The Air Pollution Control Officer has issued the Authority to Construct permit to Seneca Resources for a steam generator, in western Kern County. Enclosed are the Authority to Construct permit and a copy of the notice of final action to be published approximately three days from the date of this letter.

Notice of the District’s preliminary decision to issue the Authority to Construct permit was published on 6/23/16. The District’s analysis of the proposal was also sent to CARB and US EPA Region IX on 6/17/16.

Subsequent to the public notice period, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) section of the Application Review was revised to address the Kern County Zoning Ordinance. This change was minor and did not trigger additional public notification requirements.

Prior to operating with the modifications authorized by the Authority to Construct, you must submit an application to modify the Title V permit as an administrative amendment in accordance with District Rule 2520, Section 11.5. Application forms have been enclosed for your use. These forms may also be found on the District’s website at www.valleyair.org.

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 661-392-9500 FAX: 661-392-5585

www.valleyair.org www.healthyairliving.com
Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura at (661) 392-5500.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Arnaud Marjollet
Director of Permit Services

AM:dbt

Enclosures

cc: Tung Le, CARB (w/enclosure) via email
cc: Gerardo C. Rios, EPA (w/enclosure) via email
AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: S-1114-136-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: SENECA RESOURCES
MAILING ADDRESS: 4800 CORPORATE COURT
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93311

LOCATION: HEAVY OIL WESTERN
CA

SECTION: NE17 TOWNSHIP: 31S RANGE: 22E

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
85 MMBTU/HR (OR EQUIVALENT) NATURAL GAS-FIRED STEAM GENERATOR, WITH NORTH AMERICAN LEL ULTRA LOW NOX BURNER (OR EQUIVALENT) AND A FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION SYSTEM

CONDITIONS

1. This Authority to Construct serves as a written certificate of conformity with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR 70.7 and 70.8 and with the compliance requirements of 40 CFR 70.6(c). [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

2. Prior to operating with modifications authorized by this Authority to Construct, the facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit with an administrative amendment in accordance with District Rule 2520 Section 5.3.4. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

3. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender NOX emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 1703 lb, 2nd quarter - 1703 lb, 3rd quarter - 1703 lb, and fourth quarter - 1704 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

4. ERC Certificate Number S-4640-2 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (661) 392-8500 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

[Signature]
5. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender SOx emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 795 lb, 2nd quarter - 796 lb, 3rd quarter - 796 lb, and fourth quarter - 796 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

6. ERC Certificate Number S-4638-5 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

7. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender PM10 emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 2122 lb, 2nd quarter - 2122 lb, 3rd quarter - 2122 lb, and fourth quarter - 2123 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

8. ERC Certificate Number C-1359-4, C-1379-4, N-1367-4 and N-1368-4 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

9. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender VOC emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 1499 lb, 2nd quarter - 1500 lb, 3rd quarter - 1500 lb, and fourth quarter - 1500 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

10. ERC Certificate Numbers N-1365-1 and N-1363-1 (or a certificate(s) split from this certificate(s)) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

11. The permittee shall obtain written District approval for the use of any equivalent equipment not specifically approved by this Authority to Construct. Approval of the equivalent equipment shall be made only after the District's determination that the submitted design and performance of the proposed alternate equipment is equivalent to the specifically authorized equipment. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

12. The permittee's request for approval of equivalent equipment shall include the make, model, manufacturer's maximum rating, manufacturer's guaranteed emission rates, equipment drawing(s), and operational characteristics/parameters. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

13. Alternate equipment shall be of the same class and category of source as the equipment authorized by the Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

14. No emission factor and no emission shall be greater for the alternate equipment than for the proposed equipment. No changes in the hours of operation, operating rate, throughput, or firing rate may be authorized for any alternate equipment. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

15. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

16. A non-resettable, totalizing mass or volumetric fuel flow meter to measure the amount of fuel combusted in the unit shall be installed, utilized and maintained. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

17. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

18. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
19. The sulfur content of any fuel, or fuels combined, shall not exceed 1 grains of total sulfur (as H2S) per 100 dscf of fuel gas. [District Rules 2201 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

20. If the unit is fired on noncertified gaseous fuel and compliance with SOx emission limits is achieved through fuel sulfur content limitations, then the sulfur content of the gaseous fuel being fired in the unit shall be determined using ASTM D 1072, D 3031, D 3246, D 4084, D 4468, D 6667 or grab sample analysis by GC-FPD/TCD or double GC performed in the laboratory. [District Rule 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

21. When complying with sulfur emission limits by fuel analysis or by a combination of source testing and fuel analysis, each fuel source shall be tested monthly for sulfur content and higher heating value. If compliance with the fuel sulfur content limit and sulfur emission limits has been demonstrated for 6 consecutive months for a fuel source, then the fuel testing frequency shall be semi-annually. If a semi-annual fuel content source test fails to show compliance, monthly testing shall resume. [District Rules 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

22. If fuel analysis is used to demonstrate compliance with conditions of this permit, the fuel higher heating value for each fuel shall be certified by a third party fuel supplier or determined by ASTM D 1826 or D 1945 in conjunction with ASTM D 3588 for gaseous fuels. [District Rules 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

23. Emissions shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmvd NOx @ 3% O2 or 0.0061 lb-Nox/MMBtu, 0.00285 lb-SOx/MMBtu, 0.0076 lb-PM10/MMBtu, 25 ppmvd CO @ 3% O2 or 0.0185 lb-NO/MMBtu, or 0.0054 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

24. Flue gas recirculation system shall be operated whenever steam generator is operated. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

25. The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NOx, CO, and O2 at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable emission monitor that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e., the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within 5 days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

26. If either the NOx or CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the allowable emissions concentration, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than 1 hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer readings continue to exceed the allowable emissions concentration after 1 hour of operation after detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following 1 hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of the performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

27. All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

28. The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NOx, CO, and O2 measurements, (2) the O2 concentration in percent and the measured NOx and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions within the acceptable range. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE
29. All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. No determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4306. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

30. The source test plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

31. Source testing to measure natural gas-combustion NOx and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted within 60 days of startup and at least once every twelve (12) months thereafter. After demonstrating compliance on two (2) consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every thirty-six (36) months. If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve (12) months. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

32. When the unit changes fuel source, the unit shall undergo source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions within 60 days of the change unless the unit has already undergone source testing in the last twelve (12) months or thirty-six (36) months after demonstrating compliance on the previous two (2) source tests when fired on that fuel source. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

33. Compliance demonstration (source testing) shall be by District witnessed, or authorized, sample collection by ARB certified testing laboratory. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

34. Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

35. The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

36. NOx emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100 on a ppmv basis, or EPA Method 19 on a heat input basis. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

37. CO emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

38. Stack gas oxygen (O2) shall be determined using EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

39. For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

40. Permittee shall submit notification to the District of the date of construction, anticipated startup, and actual startup. Notifications shall be postmarked no later than 30 days after construction and 15 days after actual startup. The notifications shall include the design heat input and identification of fuels for this permit unit. [40 CFR 60.48c (a)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

41. Permittee shall maintain daily records of the type and quantity of fuel combusted by the steam generator. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

42. Records of sulfur content (gr S/100 scf) of combusted gas shall be maintained. [District Rules 1070, 2201, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

43. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 40 CFR 60.48c (i)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Final Authority to Construct Application Review
New Steam Generator

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) section of this evaluation on pages 30 through 32 has been revised to address the Kern County Zoning Ordinance

Facility Name: Seneca Resources  
Mailing Address: 4800 Corporate Court  
Bakersfield, CA 93311  
Date: 9/13/16  
Engineer: David Torii  
Contact Person: Tim Alburger  
Telephone: 661-399-4270 x3544  
Lead Engineer: Steve Leonard  
Application #(s): S-1114-136-0  
Project #: 1160069  
Deemed Complete: 2/2/16

I. Proposal

Seneca Resources (Seneca) has requested an Authority to Construct (ATC) permit for the installation of an 85 MMBtu/hr natural gas fired steam generator.

Seneca received their Title V Permit on 4/30/06. This modification can be classified as a Title V minor modification pursuant to Rule 2520, and can be processed with a Certificate of Conformity (COC). Since the facility has specifically requested that this project be processed in that manner, the 45-day EPA comment period will be satisfied prior to the issuance of the Authority to Construct. Seneca must apply to administratively amend their Title V permit.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (4/21/11)
Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4301 Fuel Burning Equipment (12/17/92)
Rule 4305 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase II (8/21/03)
Rule 4306 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase III (3/17/05)
Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr (10/16/08)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
III. Project Location

The equipment will be located in the North Midway Oil Field, within the NE/4 of Section 17, Township 31S, Range 32E in Seneca’s Heavy Oil Western stationary-source. The equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

In thermally enhanced oil recovery (TEOR) operations, steam generators produce steam for injection into heavy crude oil bearing strata via injection wells to reduce the viscosity of the crude oil, thereby facilitating thermally enhanced oil production.

V. Equipment Listing

S-1114-136-0: 85 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS-FIRED STEAM GENERATOR WITH NORTH AMERICAN MODEL LEL ULTRA LOW NOX BURNER, OR EQUIVALENT, AND FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

Criteria pollutants from natural gas-fired steam generators include NOx, CO, VOC, PM10, and SOx.

NOx is the major pollutant of concern when burning natural gas. NOx formation is either due to thermal fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in the combustion air (thermal NOx) or due to conversion of chemically bound nitrogen in the fuel (fuel NOx). Due to the low fuel nitrogen content of natural gas, nearly all NOx emissions are thermal NOx. Formation of thermal NOx is affected by four furnace zone factors: (1) nitrogen concentration, (2) oxygen concentration, (3) peak temperature, and (4) time of exposure at peak temperature.

Flue gas recirculation (FGR) reduces NOx emissions by recirculating a percentage of the exhaust gas back into the windbox. This reduces the oxygen concentration in the air-fuel mixture and regulates the combustion process, lowering the combustion temperature. The lowered availability of oxygen in conjunction with lowered combustion temperature reduces the formation of NOx.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

- Annual potential to emit is calculated based on 8,760 hours of operation per year
- The unit will be fired exclusively on PUC quality natural gas
- EPA F-factor for natural gas is 8,710 dscf/MBtu
• Molar specific volume of a gas @ 60°F is 379.5 ft³/lb-mol
• Maximum Heat Input: 85.0 MMBtu/hr (per applicant).
• PM10 is all PM2.5

B. Emission Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Steam Generator Emission Factors (EF2)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0061 lb-NOx/MMBtu 5 ppmvd NOx (@ 3%O₂)</td>
<td>Proposed and BACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0.00285 lb-SOx/MMBtu 1.0 gr-S/100 scf</td>
<td>Proposed, BACT and APR 1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>0.0076 lb-PM₁₀/MMBtu</td>
<td>Proposed and AP-42 (7/98), Table 1.4-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.0185 lb-CO/MMBtu 25 ppmv CO @ 3% O₂</td>
<td>Proposed and BACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.0054 lb-VOC/MMBtu</td>
<td>Proposed and AP-42 (7/98), Table 1.4-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

2. Post Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>EF2 (lb/MMBtu)</th>
<th>Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)</th>
<th>Operating Schedule (hr/day)</th>
<th>Daily PE2 (lb/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0.00285</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>EF2 (lb/MMBtu)</th>
<th>Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)</th>
<th>Operating Schedule (hr/year)</th>
<th>Annual PE2 (lb/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>4,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0.00285</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>2,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>5,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>13,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>3,999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)
Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATC) or Permits to Operate (PTO) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site (Total\_ERC).

The SSPE1 can be calculated by adding the PE1 from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs and the sum of the ERCS that have been banked at the source and which have not been used on-site (Total\_ERC).

\[
\text{SSPE1}_{\text{Total}} = \text{SSPE1}_{\text{Permit Unit}} + \text{Total}_{\text{ERC}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/year)</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X}</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{X}</th>
<th>PM\textsubscript{10}</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1*</td>
<td>68,906</td>
<td>326,779</td>
<td>99,599</td>
<td>270,415</td>
<td>137,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*from S1143718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE2 is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCS which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site (Total\_ERC).

The SSPE2 can be calculated by adding the PE2 from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs and the sum of the ERCS that have been banked at the source and which have not been used on-site (Total\_ERC).

\[
\text{SSPE2}_{\text{Total}} = \text{SSPE2}_{\text{Permit Unit}} + \text{Total}_{\text{ERC}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X}</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{X}</th>
<th>PM\textsubscript{10}</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1</td>
<td>68,906</td>
<td>326,779</td>
<td>99,599</td>
<td>270,415</td>
<td>137,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATC S-1114-136-0</td>
<td>4542</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>5659</td>
<td>13,775</td>
<td>3999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
<td>73,448</td>
<td>328,901</td>
<td>105,258</td>
<td>284,190</td>
<td>141,618</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Major Source Determination

**Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:**

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with a SSPE2 equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. For the purposes of determining major source status the following shall not be included:

- any ERCS associated with the stationary source
- Emissions from non-road IC engines (i.e. IC engines at a particular site at the facility for less than 12 months)
- Fugitive emissions, except for the specific source categories specified in 40 CFR 51.165
Rule 2201 Major Source Determination
(lb/year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>SOx</th>
<th>PM_{10}</th>
<th>PM_{2.5}</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1</td>
<td>68,906</td>
<td>326,779</td>
<td>99,599</td>
<td>99,599</td>
<td>270,415</td>
<td>137,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
<td>73,448</td>
<td>328,901</td>
<td>105,258</td>
<td>105,258</td>
<td>284,190</td>
<td>141,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Source Threshold</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Source?</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: PM2.5 assumed to be equal to PM10

This source is an existing Major Source for NOx, SOx, CO and VOC emissions and will remain so. No change in other pollutants are proposed or expected as a result of this project.

Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(iii). Therefore the PSD Major Source threshold is 250 tpy for any regulated NSR pollutant.

PSD Major Source Determination
(tons/year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NO2</th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PM_{10}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Facility PE before Project Increase</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Major Source Thresholds</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Major Source ? (Y/N)</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown above, the facility is not an existing PSD major source for any regulated NSR pollutant expected to be emitted at this facility.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

The BE calculation (in lb/year) is performed pollutant-by-pollutant for each unit within the project to calculate the QNEC, and if applicable, to determine the amount of offsets required.

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, BE = PE1 for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,
BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to District Rule 2201. Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Since this facility is a major source for NOx, SOx, CO and VOC, the project's PE2 is compared to the SB 288 Major Modification thresholds in the following table in order to determine if the SB 288 Major Modification calculation is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Project PE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>Threshold (lb/year)</th>
<th>SB 288 Major Modification Calculation Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>4542</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>5659</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>3999</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since none of the SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds are surpassed with this project, this project does not constitute an SB 288 Major Modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201 states that a Federal Major Modification is the same as a "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

The determination of Federal Major Modification is based on a two-step test. For the first step, only the emission increases are counted. Emission decreases may not cancel out the increases for this determination.

Step 1

For new emissions units, the increase in emissions is equal to the PE2 for each new unit included in this project.

The project's combined total emission increases are compared to the Federal Major Modification Thresholds in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Total Emissions Increases (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Threshold (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Federal Major Modification?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx*</td>
<td>4542</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC*</td>
<td>3999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>5659</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>5659</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If there is any emission increase in NOx or VOC, this project is a Federal Major Modification and no further analysis is required.
Since there is an increase in NO\textsubscript{x} and VOC emissions, this project constitutes a Federal Major Modification, and no further analysis is required.

**Federal Offset Quantities:**

The Federal offset quantity is calculated only for the pollutants for which the project is a Federal Major Modification. The Federal offset quantity is the sum of the annual emission changes for all new and modified emission units in a project calculated as the potential to emit after the modification (PE2) minus the actual emissions (AE) during the baseline period for each emission unit times the applicable federal offset ratio. There are no special calculations performed for units covered by an SLC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x}</th>
<th>Federal Offset Ratio</th>
<th>1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permit No.</td>
<td>Actual Emissions (lb/year)</td>
<td>Potential Emissions (lb/year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-1114-136-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Emission Change (lb/year):</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,542</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal Offset Quantity: (NEC * 1.5):</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>Federal Offset Ratio</th>
<th>1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permit No.</td>
<td>Actual Emissions (lb/year)</td>
<td>Potential Emissions (lb/year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-1114-136-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Emission Change (lb/year):</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,999</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal Offset Quantity: (NEC * 1.5):</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **Rule 2410 – Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination**

Rule 2410 applies to any pollutant regulated under the Clean Air Act, except those for which the District has been classified nonattainment. The pollutants which must be addressed in the PSD applicability determination for sources located in the SJV and which are emitted in this project are: (See 52.21 (b) (23) definition of significant)

I. **Project Emissions Increase - New Major Source Determination**

The post-project potentials to emit from all new and modified units are compared to the PSD major source thresholds to determine if the project constitutes a new major source subject to PSD requirements.

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(i). The PSD Major Source threshold is 250 tpy for any regulated NSR pollutant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSD Major Source Determination: Potential to Emit (tons/year)</th>
<th>NO2</th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PM10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total PE from New and Modified Units</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Major Source threshold</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New PSD Major Source?</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in the table above, the potential to emit for the project, by itself, does not exceed any PSD major source threshold. Therefore Rule 2410 is not applicable and no further analysis is required.

10. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix A.

VIII. Compliance

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis. Unless specifically exempted by Rule 2201, BACT shall be required for the following actions*:

a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,

b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,

c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIME exceeding two pounds per day, and/or

d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB 288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

a. New emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

As seen in Section VII.C.2 of this evaluation, the project authorizes a new emission unit with a PE greater than 2 lb/day for NOx, SOx, PM10, CO, and VOC. BACT is triggered for NOx, SOx, PM10, CO, and VOC.
2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 1.2.1 [Steam Generator (≥ 5 MMBtu/hr, Oilfield) updated 3/24/14 is included in Appendix B.

3. Top-Down BACT Analysis

Per Permit Services Policies and Procedures for BACT, a Top-Down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the application review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule.

Pursuant to the attached Top-Down BACT Analysis (see Appendix B), BACT has been satisfied with the following:

NO\textsubscript{x}: 5 ppmv @ 3% O\textsubscript{2}
SO\textsubscript{x} & PM\textsubscript{10}: natural gas, with a sulfur content not exceeding 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 scf.
CO: 25 ppmv @ 3% O\textsubscript{2}
VOC: Gaseous fuel

B. Offsets

1. Offset Applicability

Offset requirements shall be triggered on a pollutant by pollutant basis and shall be required if the SSPE2 equals to or exceeds the offset threshold levels in Table 4-1 of Rule 2201. The SSPE2 is compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offset Determination (lb/year)</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x}</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{x}</th>
<th>PM\textsubscript{10}</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
<td>73,448</td>
<td>328,901</td>
<td>105,258</td>
<td>284,190</td>
<td>141,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offset Thresholds</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>54,750</td>
<td>29,200</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offsets triggered?</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Quantity of Offsets Required

As seen above, the SSPE2 is greater than the offset thresholds for NO\textsubscript{x}, SO\textsubscript{x}, PM\textsubscript{10}, CO, and VOCs. Therefore offset calculations will be required for this project. The quantity of offsets in pounds per year is calculated as follows for sources with an SSPE1 greater than the offset threshold levels before implementing the project being evaluated.

Offsets Required (lb/year) = (Σ[PE2 - BE] + ICCE) x DOR, for all new or modified emissions units in the project,

Where,
PE2 = Post Project Potential to Emit, (lb/year)
BE = Baseline Emissions, (lb/year)
ICCE = Increase in Cargo Carrier Emissions, (lb/year)
DOR = Distance Offset Ratio, determined pursuant to Section 4.8

BE = PE1 for:
- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, Located at a Major Source.

otherwise,
BE = HAE

The facility is proposing to install a new emissions unit; therefore BE = 0. Also, there is only one emissions unit associated with this project and there are no increases in cargo carrier emissions; therefore offsets can be determined as follows:

**NOx**

Offsets Required (lb/year) = ([PE2 – BE] + ICCE) x DOR

\[
\begin{align*}
PE2 (NO_x) &= 4,542 \text{ lb/year} \\
BE (NO_x) &= 0 \text{ lb/year} \\
ICCE &= 0 \text{ lb/year}
\end{align*}
\]

The project is a Federal Major Modification and therefore the correct offset ratio for NO\textsubscript{x} and VOCs is 1.5:1.

Offsets Required (lb/year) = ([4,542 – 0] + 0) x 1.5

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Offsets Required (lb/year)} &= 4,542 \times 1.5 \\
&= 6,813 \text{ lb NO}_x/\text{year}
\end{align*}
\]

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

Quarterly offsets required (lb/qtr) = (6813 lb NO\textsubscript{x}/year) + (4 quarters/year)

\[
\begin{align*}
&= 1703.25 \text{ lb/qtr}
\end{align*}
\]

As shown in the calculation above, the quarterly amount of offsets required for this project, when evenly distributed to each quarter, results in fractional pounds of offsets being required each quarter. Since offsets are required to be withdrawn as whole pounds, the quarterly amounts of offsets need to be adjusted to ensure the quarterly values sum to the total annual amount of offsets required.

To adjust the quarterly amount of offsets required, the fractional amount of offsets required in each quarter will be summed and redistributed to each quarter based on the number of days in each quarter. The redistribution is based on the Quarter 1 having the fewest days and the Quarters 3 and 4 having the most days. The redistribution method is summarized in the following table:
Redistribution of Required Quarterly Offsets
(where X is the annual amount of offsets, and X + 4 = Y.z)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value of z</th>
<th>Quarter 1</th>
<th>Quarter 2</th>
<th>Quarter 3</th>
<th>Quarter 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.25</td>
<td>1703</td>
<td>1703</td>
<td>1703</td>
<td>1704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.75</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Quarter</th>
<th>2nd Quarter</th>
<th>3rd Quarter</th>
<th>4th Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1703</td>
<td>1703</td>
<td>1703</td>
<td>1704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use ERC certificate S-4640-2 to offset the increases in NOx emissions associated with this project. The above certificate has available quarterly NOx credits as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Quarter</th>
<th>2nd Quarter</th>
<th>3rd Quarter</th>
<th>4th Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERC #S-4640-2</td>
<td>1730</td>
<td>1730</td>
<td>1730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen above, the facility has sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly NOx emissions increases associated with this project.

**Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions:**

- {GC# 4447 - edited} Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender NOx emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter – 1703 lb, 2nd quarter – 1703 lb, 3rd quarter – 1703 lb, and fourth quarter – 1704 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]

- {GC# 1983} ERC Certificate Number S-4640-2 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

**SOx**

PE2 (SOx) = 2,122 lb/year
BE (SOx) = 0 lb/year
ICCE = 0 lb/year

The site of reductions occurred at another stationary source greater than 15 miles from the proposed steam generators and therefore the correct offset ratio 1.5:1.
Assuming an offset ratio of 1.5:1, the amount of SOx ERCs that need to be withdrawn is:

Offsets Required (lb/year) = (\((2,122 - 0) + 0\) x 1.5
= 2,122 x 1.5
= 3,183 lb SOx/year

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

Quarterly offsets required (lb/qtr) = (3183 lb SOx/year) + (4 quarters/year)
= 795.75 lb/qtr

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value of z</th>
<th>Quarter 1</th>
<th>Quarter 2</th>
<th>Quarter 3</th>
<th>Quarter 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.25</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.75</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Quarter</th>
<th>2nd Quarter</th>
<th>3rd Quarter</th>
<th>4th Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>795</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use ERC certificate listed in the following table which have been reserved for the quantities shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certificate</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-4638-5</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen above, the facility has sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly SOx emissions increases associated with this project.

**Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions:**

- {GC# 4447 - edited} Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender SOx emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter – 795 lb, 2nd quarter – 796 lb, 3rd quarter – 796 lb, and fourth quarter – 796 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]

- {GC# 1983} ERC Certificate Number S-4638-5 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal.
Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

**PM$_{10}$**

PE2 (PM$_{10}$) = 5,659 lb/year  
BE (PM$_{10}$) = 0 lb/year  
ICCE = 0 lb/year

The site of reductions occurred at another stationary source greater than 15 miles from the proposed steam generators and therefore the correct offset ratio 1.5:1.

The amount of PM$_{10}$ ERCs that need to be withdrawn is:

Offsets Required (lb/year) = ($[5,659 - 0] + 0 \times 1.5$  
= 5,659 x 1.5  
= 8,489 lb PM$_{10}$/year

Quarterly offsets required (lb/qtr) = (8489 lb PM$_{10}$/year) + (4 quarters/year)  
= 2122.25 lb/qtr

<p>| Redistribution of Required Quarterly Offsets |<br />
| (where $X$ is the annual amount of offsets, and $X + 4 = Y.z$) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value of $z$</th>
<th>Quarter 1</th>
<th>Quarter 2</th>
<th>Quarter 3</th>
<th>Quarter 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.25</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>2123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.75</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
<td>Y+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1$^{st}$ Quarter</th>
<th>2$^{nd}$ Quarter</th>
<th>3$^{rd}$ Quarter</th>
<th>4$^{th}$ Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2122</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>2123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Per section 4.13.7 of Rule 2201, AER for PM that occurred from October through March, inclusive, may be used to offset increases in PM during any period of the year.

The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use ERC certificate listed in the following table which have been reserved for the quantities shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certificate</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1359-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1379-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5680</td>
<td>5680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-1367-4</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-1368-4</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>6118</td>
<td>8489</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen above, the facility has sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly PM$_{10}$ emissions increases associated with this project.
**Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions:**

- {GC# 4447 - edited} Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender PM10 emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter – 2122 lb, 2nd quarter – 2122 lb, 3rd quarter – 2122 lb, and fourth quarter – 2123 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]

- {GC# 1983} ERC Certificate Number C-1359-4, C-1379-4, N-1367-4 and N-1368-4 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

**VOCs**

PE2 (VOCs) = 3,999 lb/year  
BE (VOCs) = 0 lb/year  
ICCE = 0 lb/year

The amount of VOCs ERCs that need to be withdrawn is:

Offsets Required (lb/year) = ([3,999 – 0] + 0) x 1.5  
= 3,999 x 1.5  
= 5,999 lb PM10/year

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

Quarterly offsets required (lb/qtr) = (5,999 lb VOC/year) ÷ (4 quarters/year)  
= 1499.75 lb/qtr

| Redistribution of Required Quarterly Offsets  
| (where X is the annual amount of offsets, and X + 4 = Y, z) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Value of z | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| .0 | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| .25 | Y | Y | Y | Y+1 |
| .5 | Y | Y | Y+1 | Y+1 |
| .75 | 1499 | 1500 | 1500 | 1500 |

Therefore the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Quarter</th>
<th>2nd Quarter</th>
<th>3rd Quarter</th>
<th>4th Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1499</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AER for VOC that occurred from April through November may be used to offset increases in NOx and VOC during any period of the year.

The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use ERC certificate listed in the following table which have been reserved for the quantities shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certificate</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-1365-1</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>2514</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-1363-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>3209</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen above, the facility has sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly VOC emissions increases associated with this project.

**Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions:**

- (GC# 4447 - edited) Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender VOC emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter – 1499 lb, 2nd quarter – 1500 lb, 3rd quarter – 1500 lb, and fourth quarter – 1500 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]

- (GC# 1983) ERC Certificate Numbers N-1365-1 and N-1363-1 (or a certificate(s) split from this certificate(s)) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

CO

CO: 13,775 lb/yr

Notwithstanding the above, Section 4.6.1 of Rule 2201 states that emissions offsets are not required for increases in carbon monoxide in attainment areas provided the applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the APCO that the Ambient Air Quality Standards are not violated in the areas to be affected, and such emissions will be consistent with Reasonable Further Progress, and will not cause or contribute to a violation of Ambient Air Quality Standards. The District performed an Ambient Air Quality Analysis and determined that this project will not result in or contribute to a violation of an Ambient Air Quality Standard for CO (see Attachment V). Therefore, CO offsets are not required for this project.
C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications,
b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed, and/or
d. Any project with an SSIPEN of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant.
e. Any project which results in a Title V significant permit modification

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications

New Major Sources are new facilities, which are also Major Sources. Since this is not a new facility, public noticing is not required for this project for New Major Source purposes.

As demonstrated in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project is a Federal Major Modification. Therefore, public noticing for SB 288 or Federal Major Modification purposes is required.

b. PE > 100 lb/day

Applications which include a new emissions unit with a PE greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant will trigger public noticing requirements. As seen in Section VII.C.2 above, this project does not include a new emissions unit which has daily emissions greater than 100 lb/day for any pollutant, therefore public noticing for PE > 100 lb/day purposes is not required.

c. Offset Threshold

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 are compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>Offset Threshold</th>
<th>Public Notice Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>68,906</td>
<td>73,448</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>326,779</td>
<td>328,901</td>
<td>54,750 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>99,599</td>
<td>105,258</td>
<td>29,200 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>270,415</td>
<td>284,190</td>
<td>200,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>137,619</td>
<td>141,618</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As detailed above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for offset purposes.
d. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a SSIPE of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE = SSPE2 – SSPE1. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSIPE (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSIPE Public Notice Threshold</th>
<th>Public Notice Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>68,906</td>
<td>73,448</td>
<td>4,542</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>326,779</td>
<td>328,901</td>
<td>2,122</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>99,599</td>
<td>105,258</td>
<td>5,659</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>270,415</td>
<td>284,190</td>
<td>13,775</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>137,619</td>
<td>141,618</td>
<td>3,999</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As demonstrated above, the SSIPEs for all pollutants were less than 20,000 lb/year; therefore public noticing for SSIPE purposes is not required.

e. Title V Significant Permit Modification

As shown in the Discussion of Rule 2520 below, this project constitutes a Title V significant modification. Therefore, public noticing for Title V significant modifications is required for this project.

2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, public noticing is required for this project for triggering a Title V significant modification. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emission Limits (DELs)

DELs and other enforceable conditions are required by Rule 2201 to restrict a unit’s maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT.

**Proposed Rule 2201 (DEL) Conditions:**

Emissions shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmvd NOx @ 3% O2 or 0.0081 lb-NOx/MMBtu, 0.00285 lb-SOx/MMBtu, 0.0076 lb-PM10/MMBtu, 25 ppmvd CO @ 3% O2 or 0.0185 lb-CO/MMBtu, or 0.0054 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y
E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

This units are subject to District Rule 4305, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 2, District Rule 4306, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 3, and District Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr. Source testing requirements, in accordance with District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320 will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320 of this evaluation.

1. Monitoring

Sulfur Monitoring for Rule 4320 Compliance

The following conditions will be included on the ATCs for the steam generators:

When complying with sulfur emission limits by fuel analysis or by a combination of source testing and fuel analysis, each fuel source shall be tested monthly for sulfur content and higher heating value. If compliance with the fuel sulfur content limit and sulfur emission limits has been demonstrated for 6 consecutive months for a fuel source, then the fuel testing frequency shall be semi-annually. If a semi-annual fuel content source test fails to show compliance, monthly testing shall resume. [District Rules 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Y

NOx and CO

As required by District Rule 4305, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 2, District Rule 4306, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 3, and District Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr, this unit is subject to monitoring requirements. Monitoring requirements, in accordance with District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320 will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320 of this evaluation.

2. Recordkeeping

As required by District Rule 4305, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 2, District Rule 4306, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 3, and District Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr, this unit is subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320 will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320 of this evaluation.

The following permit condition will be listed on permit as follows:

All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 40 CFR 60.48c (i)] N
4. Reporting

No reporting is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA is conducted by the Technical Services group, for any project which has an increase in emissions and triggers public notification requirements. Discuss the AAQA results as follows.

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District's Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix C of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NOx, CO, and SOx. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NOx, CO, or SOx.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state's PM10 as well as federal and state PM2.5 thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM10 and PM2.5.

G. Compliance Certification

The compliance certification is required for any project, which constitutes a New Major Source or a Federal Major Modification.

Section 4.15.2 of this Rule requires the owner of a new Major Source or a source undergoing a Title I Modification to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the District that all other Major Sources owned by such person and operating in California are in compliance or are on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards. As discussed in Section VIII above, this facility is a new major source and this project does constitute a Title I modification, therefore this requirement is applicable. Seneca's compliance certification is included in Appendix D.

H. Alternate Siting Analysis

Alternative siting analysis is required for any project, which constitutes a New Major Source or a Federal Major Modification.

The current project occurs at an existing facility. The applicant proposes to install a [Insert project description].

Since the project will provide steam to be used at the same location, the existing site will result in the least possible impact from the project. Alternative sites would involve the relocation and/or construction of various support structures on a much greater scale, and would therefore result in a much greater impact.
Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration

As shown in Section VII. C. 9. above, this project does not result in a new PSD major source or PSD major modification. No further discussion is required.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

This facility is subject to this Rule, and has received their Title V Operating Permit. Section 3.29 defines a significant permit modification as a "permit amendment that does not qualify as a minor permit modification or administrative amendment."

The project is Federal Major Modification and therefore is also a Title V Significant Modification. As discussed above, the facility has applied for a Certificate of Conformity (COC); therefore, the facility must apply to modify their Title V permit with an administrative amendment, prior to operating with the proposed modifications. Included in Attachment VI is Seneca’s Title V Compliance Certification form. Continued compliance with this rule is expected.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR Part 60 Subpart Dc Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units

This rule incorporates NSPS from Part 60, Chapter 1, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR); and applies to all new sources of air pollution and modifications of existing sources of air pollution listed in 40 CFR Part 60. 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc applies to Small Industrial-Commercial-Industrial Steam Generators between 10 MMBtu/hr and 100 MMBtu/hr (post-6/9/89 construction, modification or, reconstruction). Subpart Dc has standards for SO_x and PM_{10}. The 85 MMBtu/hr steam generator is subject to Subpart Dc requirements.

60.42c – Standards for Sulfur Dioxide

Since coal is not combusted by the steam generator in this project, the requirements of this section are not applicable.

60.43c – Standards for Particulate Matter

The steam generator does not fired on coal, combust mixtures of coal with other fuels, combust wood, combust mixtures of wood with other fuels, or oil; therefore, it will not be subject to the requirements of this section.

60.44c – Compliance and Performance Tests Methods and Procedures for Sulfur Dioxide.

Since the steam generator in this project is not subject to the sulfur dioxide requirements of this subpart, no testing to show compliance is required. Therefore, the requirements of this section are not applicable to the steam generator in this project.
60.45c – Compliance and Performance Test Methods and Procedures for Particulate Matter

Since the steam generator in this project is not subject to the particulate matter requirements of this subpart, no testing to show compliance is required. Therefore, the requirements of this section are not applicable to the steam generator in this project.

60.46c – Emission Monitoring for Sulfur Dioxide

Since the steam generator in this project is not subject to the sulfur dioxide requirements of this subpart, no monitoring is required. Therefore, the requirements of this section are not applicable to the steam generator in this project.

60.47c – Emission Monitoring for Particulate Matter

Since the steam generator in this project is not subject to the particulate matter requirements of this subpart, no monitoring is required. Therefore, the requirements of this section are not applicable to the steam generator in this project.

60.48c – Reporting and Recordingkeeping Requirements

Section 60.48c (a) states that the owner or operator of each affected facility shall submit notification of the date of construction or reconstruction, anticipated startup, and actual startup, as provided by §60.7 of this part. This notification shall include:

1. The design heat input capacity of the affected facility and identification of fuels to be combusted in the affected facility.

The design heat input capacity and type of fuel combusted at the facility will be listed on the unit's equipment description. No conditions are required to show compliance with this requirement.

2. If applicable, a copy of any Federally enforceable requirement that limits the annual capacity factor for any fuel mixture of fuels under §60.42c or §40.43c.

This requirement is not applicable since the unit is not subject to §60.42c or §40.43c.

3. The annual capacity factor at which the owner or operator anticipates operating the affected facility based on all fuels fired and based on each individual fuel fired.

The facility has not proposed an annual capacity factor; therefore one will not be required.

4. Notification if an emerging technology will be used for controlling SO₂ emissions. The Administrator will examine the description of the control device and will determine whether the technology qualifies as an emerging technology. In making this determination, the Administrator may require the owner or operator of the affected facility to submit additional information concerning the control device. The affected facility is subject to the provisions of §60.42c(a) or (b)(1), unless and until this determination is made by the Administrator.
This requirement is not applicable since the unit will not be equipped with an emerging technology used to control SO₂ emissions.

District Rule 4001, §3.0 defines the Administrator as the APCO of the District. The following condition ensures compliance:

- Permittee shall submit notification to the District of the date of construction, anticipated startup, and actual startup. Notifications shall be postmarked no later than 30 days after construction and 15 days after actual startup. The notifications shall include the design heat input and identification of fuels for this permit unit. [40 CFR 60.48c (a)]

Section 60.48c (g) states that the owner or operator of each affected facility shall record and maintain records of the amounts of each fuel combusted during each day. The following conditions will be added to the permit to ensure compliance with this section.

- A non-resettable, totalizing mass or volumetric fuel flow meter to measure the amount of fuel combusted in the unit shall be installed, utilized and maintained. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)]
- Permittee shall maintain daily records of the type and quantity of fuel combusted by the steam generator. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)]

Section 60.48c (i) states that all records required under this section shall be maintained by the owner or operator of the affected facility for a period of two years following the date of such record. District Rule 4320 requires that records be kept for five years. Compliance is ensured with the following condition:

- All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 40 CFR 60.48c (i)]

Therefore, compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Per Section 5.0, no person shall discharge into the atmosphere emissions of any air contaminant aggregating more than 3 minutes in any hour which is as dark as or darker than Ringelmann 1 (or 20% opacity). A condition will be placed on the ATC to ensure compliance with the opacity limit.

Therefore, compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Rule 4102 prohibits discharge of air contaminants which could cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to the public. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, compliance with this rule is expected.
California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

Discuss whether a Health Risk Assessment is required and/or the results of the HRA, including any special conditions to consider when issuing the ATC(s).

District Policy APR 1905 – Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

An HRA is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (Appendix C), the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an HRA was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project.

The cancer risk for this project is shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RMR Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritization Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Hazard Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Hazard Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-BACT Required?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Permit Requirements?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion of T-BACT

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District’s thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District’s Risk Management Policy is expected.

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Section 3.1 prohibits discharge of dust, fumes, or total particulate matter into the atmosphere from any single source operation in excess of 0.1 grain per dry standard cubic foot.

F-Factor for NG: 8,578 dscf/MMBtu at 60 °F
PM10 Emission Factor: 0.005 lb-PM10/MMBtu
Percentage of PM as PM10 in Exhaust: 100%
Exhaust Oxygen (O2) Concentration: 3%

Excess Air Correction to F Factor = \( \frac{20.9}{(20.9 - 3)} \approx 1.17 \)

\[ GL = \left( \frac{0.0076 \text{ lb} - \text{PM}}{\text{MMBtu}} \times \frac{7,000 \text{ grain}}{\text{lb} - \text{PM}} \right) \left( \frac{8,578 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{MMBtu}} \times 1.17 \right) \]
Therefore, compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

**Rule 4301 Fuel Burning Equipment**

Rule 4301 limits air contaminant emissions from fuel burning equipment as defined in the rule. Section 3.1 defines fuel burning equipment as "any furnace, boiler, apparatus, stack, and all appurtenances thereto, used in the process of burning fuel for the primary purpose of producing heat or power by indirect heat transfer".

Section 5.0 gives the requirements of the rule.

A person shall not discharge into the atmosphere combustion contaminants exceeding in concentration at the point of discharge, 0.1 grain per cubic foot of gas calculated to 12% of carbon dioxide at dry standard conditions.

A person shall not build, erect, install or expand any non-mobile fuel burning equipment unit unless the discharge into the atmosphere of contaminants will not and does not exceed any one or more of the following rates:

- 200 pound per hour of sulfur compounds, calculated as sulfur dioxide (SO₂)
- 140 pounds per hour of nitrogen oxides, calculated as nitrogen dioxide (NO₂)
- Ten pounds per hour of combustion contaminants as defined in Rule 1020 and derived from the fuel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Rule 4301 Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0061 x 85 = 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule Limit (lb/hr)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The particulate emissions from the steam generators will not exceed 0.1 gr/dscf at 12% CO₂ or 10 lb/hr. Further, the emissions of SOx and NOx will not exceed 200 lb/hr or 140 lb/hr, respectively.

Therefore, compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

**District Rule 4305 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 2**

The units have a maximum heat input of 85 MMBtu/hr. Pursuant to Section 2.0 of District Rule 4305, the unit is subject to District Rule 4305, *Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 2*.

In addition, the unit is also subject to District Rule 4306, *Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3*. Since emissions limits of District Rule 4320 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4305 requirements, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4305.
District Rule 4306  Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3

The units have a maximum heat input of 85 MMBtu/hr. Pursuant to Section 2.0 of District Rule 4306, the unit is subject to District Rule 4306, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3.

Since emissions limits of District Rule 4320 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4306 requirements, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4306.

Rule 4320 – Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr

This rule limits NOx, CO, SO2 and PM10 emissions from boilers, steam generators and process heaters rated greater than 5 MMBtu/hr. This rule also provides a compliance option of payment of fees in proportion to the actual amount of NOx emitted over the previous year.

The units in this project are all rated at greater than 5 MMBtu/hr heat input and are subject to this rule.

Section 5.1 NOx Emission Limits

Section 5.1 states that an operator of a unit(s) subject to this rule shall comply with all applicable requirements of the rule and one of the following, on a unit-by-unit basis:

5.1.1 Operate the unit to comply with the emission limits specified in Sections 5.2 and 5.4; or
5.1.2 Pay an annual emissions fee to the District as specified in Section 5.3 and comply with the control requirements specified in Section 5.4; or
5.1.3 Comply with the applicable Low-use Unit requirements of Section 5.5.

The unit will comply with the NOx and CO emissions limits specified in Section 5.2 of the rule.

The proposed NOx and CO limits are 5 and 25 ppmv @ 3% O2, respectively.

Therefore, compliance with the emissions limits of Section 5.2 of District Rule 4320 is expected.

A permit condition listing the emissions limits will be listed on permit as shown in the DEL section above.

Section 5.4 Particulate Matter Control Requirements

Section 5.4.1 states that to limit particulate matter emissions, an operator shall comply with one of the options listed in the rule.

Section 5.4.1.1 provides option for the operator to comply with the rule by firing the unit exclusively on PUC-quality gas, commercial propane, butane, or liquefied petroleum gas, or a combination of such gases;
Section 5.4.1.2 provides option for the operator to comply with the rule by limiting the fuel sulfur content to no more than five (5) grains of total sulfur per hundred (100) standard cubic feet.

Section 5.4.1.3 provides option for the operator to comply with the rule by installing and properly operating an emissions control system that reduces SO2 emissions by at least 95% by weight; or limit exhaust SO2 to less than or equal to 9 ppmv corrected to 3 % O2.

The steam generator will be fired on natural gas containing no more than 1 gr S/100 scf. Therefore, compliance with this section of the rule is expected.

Section 5.5 Low Use

Section 5.5 requires that units limited to less than or equal to 1.8 billion Btu per calendar year heat input, pursuant to a District Permit to Operate, the unit at least twice per calendar year, or if the unit does not operate throughout a continuous six-month period within a calendar year, only one tune-up is required for that calendar year. No tune-up is required for any unit that is not operated during that calendar year; this unit may be test fired to verify availability of the unit for its intended use, but once the test firing is completed the unit shall be shutdown; or operate the unit in a manner that maintains exhaust oxygen concentrations at less than or equal to 3.00 percent by volume on a dry basis.

The subject steam generator is not a low use unit and therefore the requirements of Section 5.5 do not apply.

Section 5.6, Startup and Shutdown Provisions

Applicable emissions limits are not required during startup and shutdown provided the duration of each start-up or each shutdown shall not exceed two hours, the emission control system shall be in operation and emissions shall be minimized insofar as technologically feasible during start-up or shutdown or operator has submitted an application for a Permit to Operate condition to allow more than two hours for each start-up or each shutdown provided the operator meets all of the conditions specified in Sections 5.6.3.1 through 5.6.3.3. No special start-up and shut-down emissions have been proposed.

Section 5.7 Monitoring Provisions

Section 5.7.1 requires that permit units subject to District Rule 4320, Section 5.2 shall either install or maintain an operational APCO approved Continuous Emission Monitoring System (CEMS) for NOx, CO and O2, or implement an APCO-approved alternate monitoring.

Seneca has proposed to implement Alternate Monitoring Scheme A (pursuant to District Policy SSP-1105), which requires periodic monitoring of NOx, CO, and O2 concentrations at least once a month using a portable analyzer. The following conditions will be placed in the permits to ensure compliance with the requirements of this alternate monitoring plan:

{2395} The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NOX, CO, and O2 at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable analyzer that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within
5 days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320] Y

If either the NOx or CO concentrations corrected to 3%, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the allowable emissions concentration, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than 1 hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer readings continue to exceed the allowable emissions concentration after 1 hour of operation after detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following 1 hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4102, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y

All NOx, CO, and O2 emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The NOx, CO, and O2 analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute sample period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive minute period. [District Rules 4102, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y

The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NOx, CO and O2 measurements, (2) the O2 concentration in percent by volume and the measured NOx and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions within the acceptable range. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y

Section 5.7.6.1 requires that operators complying with Sections 5.4.1.1 or 5.4.1.2 shall provide an annual fuel analysis to the District unless a more frequent sampling and reporting period is included in the Permit To Operate. Sulfur analysis shall be performed in accordance with the test methods in Section 6.2. The following conditions will be placed in the ATCs for compliance with this rule requirement:

If the unit is fired on noncertified gaseous fuel and compliance with SOx emission limits is achieved through fuel sulfur content limitations, then the sulfur content of the gaseous fuel being fired in the unit shall be determined using ASTM D 1072, D 3031, D 3246, D 4084, D 4446, D 6667 or grab sample analysis by GC-FPD/TCD or double GC performed in the laboratory. [District Rule 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Y

When complying with sulfur emission limits by fuel analysis or by a combination of source testing and fuel analysis, each fuel source shall be tested monthly for sulfur content and higher heating value. If compliance with the fuel sulfur content limit and sulfur emission limits has been demonstrated for 6 consecutive months for a fuel source, then the fuel testing frequency shall be semi-annually. If a semi-annual fuel content source test fails to show compliance, monthly testing shall resume. [District Rules 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Y
If fuel analysis is used to demonstrate compliance with conditions of this permit, the fuel higher heating value for each fuel shall be certified by a third party fuel supplier or determined by ASTM D 1826 or D 1945 in conjunction with ASTM D 3588 for gaseous fuels. [District Rules 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Y

Section 5.8 Compliance Determination

Section 5.8.1 requires that the operator of any unit have the option of complying with either the applicable heat input (lb/MMBtu), emission limits, or the concentration (ppmv) emission limits specified in Section 5.2. The emission limits selected to demonstrate compliance shall be specified in the source test proposal pursuant to Rule 1081 (Source Sampling). Therefore, the following condition will be retained or listed on the permits as follows:

{2976} The source plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y

Section 5.8.2 requires that all emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. Unless otherwise specified in the Permit to Operate, no determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0. Therefore, the following permit condition will be listed on the permits as follows:

{2972} All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. Unless otherwise specified in the Permit to Operate, no determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4320. For the purposes of permittee-performed alternate monitoring, emissions measurements may be performed at any time after the unit reaches conditions representative of normal operation. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y

Section 5.8.4 requires that for emissions monitoring pursuant to Sections 5.7.1 and 6.3.1 using a portable NOx analyzer as part of an APCO approved Alternate Emissions Monitoring System, emission readings shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15-consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings evenly spaced out over the 15-consecutive-minute period. Therefore, the following previously listed permit condition will be on the permits as follows:

{2937} All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the permit-to-operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer’s specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y

Section 5.8.5 requires that for emissions source testing performed pursuant to Section 6.3.1 for the purpose of determining compliance with an applicable standard or numerical limitation of this rule, the arithmetic average of three (3) 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If
two (2) of three (3) runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. Therefore, the following permit condition will be listed on the permit as follows:

{2980} For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y

Section 6.1 Recordkeeping

Section 6.1 requires that the records required by Sections 6.1.1 through 6.1.5 shall be maintained for five calendar years and shall be made available to the APCO and EPA upon request. Failure to maintain records or information contained in the records that demonstrate noncompliance with the applicable requirements of this rule shall constitute a violation of this rule. Therefore, the following permit condition will be listed on the permit as follows:

All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 40 CFR 60.48c (i)] Y

Section 6.2, Test Methods

Section 6.2 identifies test methods to be used when determining compliance with the rule. The following conditions will be listed on the permits:

{109} Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081] Y

The following test methods shall be used: NOx (ppmv) - EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100, NOx (lb/MMBtu) - EPA Method 19; CO (ppmv) - EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100; Stack gas oxygen (O2) - EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100; stack gas velocities - EPA Method 2; Stack gas moisture content - EPA Method 4; SOx - EPA Method 6C or 8 or ARB Method 100; fuel gas sulfur as H2S content - EPA Method 11 or 15; and fuel hhv (MMBtu) - ASTM D 1826 or D 1945 in conjunction with ASTM D 3588. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y

Section 6.3, Compliance Testing

Section 6.3.1 requires that each unit subject to the requirements in Section 5.2 shall be source tested at least once every 12 months, except if two consecutive annual source tests demonstrate compliance, source testing may be performed every 36 months. If such a source test demonstrates non-compliance, source testing shall revert to every 12 months. The following conditions will be included in the permits:

Source testing to measure natural gas-combustion NOx and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted within 60 days of startup and at least once every twelve (12) months thereafter. After demonstrating compliance on two (2) consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every thirty-six (36) months. If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing
frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve (12) months. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Y

The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081] Y

Sections 6.3.2.1 through 6.3.2.7 address the requirements of group testing which is not proposed in this project. Therefore these sections are not applicable.

Conclusion

Conditions will be incorporated into the permit in order to ensure compliance with each section of this rule, see attached draft permits. Therefore, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements is expected.

Rule 4801  Sulfur Compounds

A person shall not discharge into the atmosphere sulfur compounds, which would exist as a liquid or gas at standard conditions, exceeding in concentration at the point of discharge: 0.2 % by volume calculated as SO₂, on a dry basis averaged over 15 consecutive minutes. The unit will combust gas containing no more than 1 gr S/100 scf and therefore compliance is expected.

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

CEQA requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The District adopted its Environmental Review Guidelines (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities;
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced;
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible; and
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Determination

District is a Responsible Agency

Oil and gas operations in Kern County must comply with the Kern County Zoning Ordinance – 2015 (C) Focused on Oil and Gas Local Permitting. In 2015, Kern County revised the Kern County Zoning Ordinance Focused on Oil and Gas Activities (Kern Oil
and Gas Zoning Ordinance) in regards to future oil and gas exploration, and drilling and production of hydrocarbon resource projects occurring within Kern County.

Kern County served as lead agency for the revision to their ordinance under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and prepared an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) that was certified on November 9, 2015. The EIR evaluated and disclosed to the public the environmental impacts associated with the growth of oil and gas exploration in Kern County, and determined that such growth will result in significant GHG impacts in the San Joaquin Valley. As such, the EIR included mitigation measures for GHG.

The District is a Responsible Agency for the project because of its discretionary approval power over the project via its Permits Rule (Rule 2010) and New Source Review Rule (Rule 2201), (CEQA Guidelines §15381). As a Responsible Agency, the District is limited to mitigating or avoiding impacts for which it has statutory authority. The District does not have statutory authority for regulating GHGs. The District has determined that the applicant is responsible for implementing GHG mitigation measures imposed in the EIR by the Kern County for the Kern County Zoning Ordinance.

**District CEQA Findings**

The proposed project is located in Kern County and is thus subject to the Kern County Zoning Ordinance – 2015 (C) Focused on Oil and Gas Local Permitting. The Kern County Zoning Ordinance was developed by the Kern County Planning Agency as a comprehensive set of goals, objectives, policies, and standards to guide development, expansion, and operation of oil and gas exploration within Kern County.

In 2015, Kern County revised their Kern County Zoning Ordinance in regards to exploration, drilling and production of hydrocarbon resources projects. Kern County served as lead agency for the revision to their ordinance under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and prepared an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) that was certified on November 9, 2015. The revised Kern County Zoning Ordinance establishes a written process (Conformity Review permit process or Minor Activity permit) by which oil and gas exploration projects involving site-specific operations can be evaluated to determine whether the environmental effects of the operation were covered in the Kern County Zoning Ordinance EIR.

For stationary source emissions that are below the offset threshold, i.e. not required to surrender ERCs, and for non-stationary source emissions, Kern County entered into an Oil and Gas Emission Reduction Agreement (Oil and Gas ERA) with the District pursuant to the EIR. Per the Oil and Gas ERA, the applicant shall fully mitigate project emissions that are not required to be offset by District permit rules and regulations. Such mitigation can be achieved through any of the three options: (1) the applicants pay an air quality mitigation fee with each Oil and Gas Conformity Review permit issued by the Kern County, (2) the applicants may develop and propose to implement their own emission reduction projects instead of paying all or part of the mitigation fee, or (3) the applicants will be allowed to enter into an agreement directly with the District (if approved by Kern County) to develop an alternative fee schedule.

Kern County, as the lead agency, is the agency that will enforce the mitigation measures identified the EIR, including the mitigation requirements of the Oil and Gas
ERA. As a responsible agency the District complies with CEQA by considering the EIR prepared by the Lead Agency, and by reaching its own conclusion on whether and how to approve the project involved (CCR §15096). The District has reviewed the EIR prepared by Kern County, the Lead Agency for the project, and finds it to be adequate. To reduce project related impacts on air quality, the District evaluates emission controls for the project such as Best Available Control Technology (BACT) under District Rule 2201 (New and Modified Stationary Source Review). In addition, the District is requiring the applicant to surrender emission reduction credits (ERC) for stationary source emissions above the offset threshold.

Thus, the District concludes that through a combination of project design elements, permit conditions, and the Oil and Gas ERA, the project will be fully mitigated to result in no net increase in emissions. Pursuant to CCR §15096, prior to project approval and issuance of ATCs the District prepared findings.

Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project's potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project's potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent's ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

The revision to the Kern County Zoning Ordinance went through an extensive public process that included a Notice of Preparation, a preparation of an EIR, scoping meetings, and public hearings. The process led to the certification of the final EIR and approval of the revised Kern County Zoning Ordinance in November 2015 by the Kern County Board of Supervisors. As mentioned above, the proposed project will be fully mitigated and will result in no net increase in emissions. In addition, the proposed project is not located at a facility of concern; therefore, an Indemnification Agreement and/or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

IX. Recommendation

Compliance with all applicable rules and regulations is expected. Issue ATC S-1114-136-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft ATC in Appendix E.

X. Billing Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Number</th>
<th>Fee Schedule</th>
<th>Fee Description</th>
<th>Annual Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-1114-136-0</td>
<td>3020-02 H</td>
<td>85 MMBtu/hr</td>
<td>$1080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX A

Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District’s PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

$$\text{QNEC} = \text{PE2} - \text{PE1}$$

- QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- PE2 = Post Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- PE1 = Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.

Using the values in Sections VII.C.2 and VII.C.6 in the evaluation above, quarterly PE2 and quarterly PE1 can be calculated as follows:

$$\text{PE2}_{\text{quarterly}} = \frac{\text{PE2}_{\text{annual}}}{4 \text{ quarters/year}}$$

$$\text{PE1}_{\text{quarterly}} = \frac{\text{PE1}_{\text{annual}}}{4 \text{ quarters/year}}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PE2 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>PE2 (lb/qtr)</th>
<th>PE1 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>PE1 (lb/qtr)</th>
<th>QNEC (lb/qtr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>4542</td>
<td>1,136</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>5659</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>13,775</td>
<td>3,444</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>3999</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B
BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
## Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.2.1

**Last Update: 3/24/2014**

### Oilfield Steam Generator (> or =20 MMBtu/hr)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Achieved in Practice or in the SIP</th>
<th>Technologically Feasible</th>
<th>Alternate Basic Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>• Units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC quality natural gas: 6 ppmvd @ 3% O2; or • Units firing on &gt; or = 50% PUC quality natural gas; commercial propane; and/or LPG: 7 ppmvd @ 3% O2, except units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC quality natural gas; or • Units firing on &lt;50% PUC quality natural gas; commercial propane; and/or LPG: 9 ppmvd @ 3% O2</td>
<td>5 ppmvd @ 3% O2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO2 scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO2 @ 3% O2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Seneca Resources*

*1160069, S-1114*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Achieved in Practice or in the SIP</th>
<th>Technologically Feasible</th>
<th>Alternate Basic Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO2 scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO2 @ 3% O2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Gaseous fuel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Top Down BACT Analysis for the Steam Generator

Oxides of nitrogen (NO\textsubscript{x}) are generated from the high temperature combustion of the natural gas fuel. A majority of the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are formed from the high temperature reaction of nitrogen and oxygen in the inlet air. The rest of the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are formed from the reaction of fuel-bound nitrogen with oxygen in the inlet air.

1. BACT Analysis for NO\textsubscript{x} Emissions:

   a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

   The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1, updated 3/24/14, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from oil field steam generators ≥5 MMBtu/hr as follows (non-applicable Achieved-in-Practice requirements are in strikeout text):

   **Achieved-in-Practice**

   • Units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC-quality natural gas: 6 ppmvd @ 3% O\textsubscript{2}

   • Units firing on ≥50% PUC-quality natural gas; commercial propane; and/or LPG: 7 ppmvd @ 3% O\textsubscript{2}; except units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC quality natural gas— unit is 85 MMBtu/hr

   • Units firing on <50% PUC-quality natural gas; commercial propane; and/or LPG: 9 ppmvd @ 3% O\textsubscript{2}— unit is fired on PUC-quality natural gas

   **Technologically Feasible**

   5 ppmvd @ 3% O\textsubscript{2} — units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC-quality natural gas

   b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

   There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

   c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

   1) 6 ppmvd @ 3% O\textsubscript{2}— Achieved-in-Practice
   2) 5 ppmvd @ 3% O\textsubscript{2}— Technologically Feasible

   d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

   A cost effective analysis is required for technologically feasible control options that are not proposed. The applicant is proposing a NO\textsubscript{x} limit of 5 ppmvd @ 3% O\textsubscript{2}, the highest rank technology; therefore, a cost effective analysis is not required.
2. **BACT Analysis for SO\textsubscript{x} Emissions:**

Oxides of sulfur (SO\textsubscript{x}) emissions occur from the combustion of the sulfur, which is present in the fuel.

*a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies*

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1, updated 3/24/14, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for SO\textsubscript{x} emissions from oil field steam generators ≥5 MMBtu/hr as follows:

**Achieved-in-Practice**

Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO2 scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO2 @ 3% O2

*b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options*

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

*c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness*

Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO2 scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO2 @ 3% O2

*d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis*

The only control technology in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.D.2, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

*e. Step 5 - Select BACT*

BACT for SO\textsubscript{x} emissions from this oil field steam generator is natural gas fuel with a sulfur content ≤1 gr-S/100 scf. BACT is satisfied.
3. BACT Analysis for PM$_{10}$ Emissions:

Particulate matter (PM$_{10}$) emissions result from the incomplete combustion of various elements in the fuel.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1, updated 3/24/14, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for CO$_{10}$ emissions from oil field steam generators ≥ 5 MMBtu/hr as follows:

Achieved-in-Practice

Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO2 scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO2 @ 3% O2

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO2 scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO2 @ 3% O2

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The only control technology in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.D.2, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM$_{10}$ emissions from this oil field steam generator is natural gas fuel with a sulfur content ≤ 1 gr-S/100 scf. BACT is satisfied.
4. BACT Analysis for CO Emissions:

Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions are generated from the incomplete combustion of air and fuel.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1, updated 3/24/14, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for CO emissions from oil field steam generators ≥5 MMBtu/hr as follows:

1) 25 ppmvd @ 3% O₂

No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

1) 25 ppmvd @ 3% O₂

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The only control technology in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.D.2, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for CO emissions from this oil field steam generator is a CO limit of 50ppmvd @ 3% O₂. The applicant has proposed to install an oil field steam generator with a CO limit of 25 ppmvd @ 3% O₂; therefore BACT for CO emissions is satisfied.
5. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions are generated from the incomplete combustion of the fuel.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 1.2.1, 1st quarter 2005, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for VOC emissions from oil field steam generators ≥5 MMBtu/hr as follows:

1) Gaseous fuel

No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

1) Gaseous fuel

d. Step 4 - Cost effectiveness analysis

The only control technology in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.D.2, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for VOC emissions from this oil field steam generator is gaseous fuel. The applicant has proposed to install an oil field steam generator fired on gaseous fuel; therefore BACT for PM<sub>10</sub> emissions is satisfied.
APPENDIX C
HRA and AAQA
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Risk Management Review

To: David Torii – Permit Services
From: Tadeh Issakhanian – Technical Services
Date: March 30, 2016
Facility Name: Seneca Resources
Location: Section 17, T31S, R22E
Application #(#): S-1114-136-0
Project #: S-1160069

A. RMR SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>NG Steam Generator (Unit 136-0)</th>
<th>Project Totals</th>
<th>Facility Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prioritization Score</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>&gt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Hazard Index</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Hazard Index</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</td>
<td>3.46E-08</td>
<td>3.46E-08</td>
<td>1.07E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-BACT Required?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Permit Requirements?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed Permit Requirements

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

Unit # 136-0

1. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.

B. RMR REPORT

I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on March 29, 2016, to perform an Ambient Air Quality Analysis for the proposed installations of a 85 mmBtu/hr natural gas-fired steam generator. A Risk Management Review (RMR) was performed on February 3, 2016; however, the AAQA was not submitted at that time.
II. Analysis

Technical Services performed a prioritization using the District's HEARTs database. Since the total facility prioritization score was greater than one, a refined health risk assessment was required. Toxic emissions for this proposed unit were derived from data in the 1992 Radian Corporation report to WSPA. The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2004-2008 from Fellows to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP) and the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis Parameters</th>
<th>Unit 136-0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source Type</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location Type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack Height (m)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack Diameter. (m)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack Exit Velocity (m/s)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack Exit Temp. (°K)</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical Services performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NOx, SOx, and PM10 with the emission rates below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit #</th>
<th>NOx (Lbs.)</th>
<th>SOx (Lbs.)</th>
<th>CO (Lbs.)</th>
<th>PM10 (Lbs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hr.</td>
<td>Yr.</td>
<td>Hr.</td>
<td>Yr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136-0</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>4617</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

**Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diesel ICE</th>
<th>1 Hour</th>
<th>3 Hours</th>
<th>8 Hours.</th>
<th>24 Hours</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>Pass(^1)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>Pass(^1)</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM(_{10})</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Pass(^2)</td>
<td>Pass(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM(_{2.5})</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Pass(^2)</td>
<td>Pass(^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\)Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

\(^1\)The project was compared to the 1-hour NO\(_2\) National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on April 12, 2010 using the District's approved procedures.

\(^2\)The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.185 (b)(2).
III. Conclusion

The acute and chronic indices are below 1.0 and the cancer risk factor associated with the project is less than 1.0 in a million. In accordance with the District’s Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.
APPENDIX D

Compliance Certification
San Joaquin Valley
Unified Air Pollution Control District
DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

Company Name: Seneca Resources
Facility ID(s): S-1114; S-3007; S-3755

All major Stationary Source(s) owned or operated by Seneca Resources in California that are subject to emission limitations are in compliance or on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards.

Signature of Responsible Official
Timothy R. Alburger

Date
01.19.16

Name of Responsible Official (please print)
Senior Advisor, EHSQ

Title of Responsible Official (please print)

Deliver to:
San Joaquin Valley Unified
Air Pollution Control District
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA  93308
AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: S-1114-136-0
ISSUANCE DATE: 09/22/2016

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: SENeca RESOURCES
MAILING ADDRESS: 4800 CORPORATE COURT
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93311

LOCATION: HEAVY OIL WESTERN
CA

SECTION: NE17 TOWNSHIP: 31S RANGE: 22E

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
85 MMBTU/HR (OR EQUIVALENT) NATURAL GAS-FIRED STEAM GENERATOR, WITH NORTH AMERICAN LEL ULTRA LOW NOX BURNER (OR EQUIVALENT) AND A FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION SYSTEM

CONDITIONS

1. This Authority to Construct serves as a written certificate of conformity with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR 70.7 and 70.8 and with the compliance requirements of 40 CFR 70.6(c). [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

2. Prior to operating with modifications authorized by this Authority to Construct, the facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit with an administrative amendment in accordance with District Rule 2520 Section 5.3.4. [District Rule 2520, 5.3.4] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

3. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender NOX emission reduction credits for the following quantities of emissions: 1st quarter - 1703 lb, 2nd quarter - 1703 lb, 3rd quarter - 1703 lb, and fourth quarter - 1704 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

4. ERC Certificate Number S-4640-2 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (661) 392-5600 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

Mauro Marjollet, Director of Permit Services
Southern Regional Office • 34946 Flyover Court • Bakersfield, CA 93308 • (661) 392-5500 • Fax (661) 392-5585
5. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender SOX emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 795 lb, 2nd quarter - 795 lb, 3rd quarter - 796 lb, and fourth quarter - 796 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

6. ERC Certificate Number S-4638-5 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

7. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender PM10 emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 2122 lb, 2nd quarter - 2122 lb, 3rd quarter - 2122 lb, and fourth quarter - 2123 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

8. ERC Certificate Number C-1359-4, C-1379-4, N-1367-4 and N-1368-4 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

9. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender VOC emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 1499 lb, 2nd quarter - 1500 lb, 3rd quarter - 1500 lb, and fourth quarter - 1500 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

10. ERC Certificate Numbers N-1365-1 and N-1363-1 (or a certificate(s) split from this certificate(s)) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

11. The permittee shall obtain written District approval for the use of any equivalent equipment not specifically approved by this Authority to Construct. Approval of the equivalent equipment shall be made only after the District's determination that the submitted design and performance of the proposed alternate equipment is equivalent to the specifically authorized equipment. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

12. The permittee's request for approval of equivalent equipment shall include the make, model, manufacturer's maximum rating, manufacturer's guaranteed emission rates, equipment drawing(s), and operational characteristics/parameters. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

13. Alternate equipment shall be of the same class and category of source as the equipment authorized by the Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

14. No emission factor and no emission shall be greater for the alternate equipment than for the proposed equipment. No changes in the hours of operation, operating rate, throughput, or firing rate may be authorized for any alternate equipment. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

15. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

16. A non-resettable, totalizing mass or volumetric fuel flow meter to measure the amount of fuel combusted in the unit shall be installed, utilized and maintained. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48b (g)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

17. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

18. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE
19. The sulfur content of any fuel, or fuels combined, shall not exceed 1 grains of total sulfur (as H2S) per 100 dsf of fuel gas. [District Rules 2201 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

20. If the unit is fired on noncertified gaseous fuel and compliance with SOx emission limits is achieved through fuel sulfur content limitations, then the sulfur content of the gaseous fuel being fired in the unit shall be determined using ASTM D 1072, D 3031, D 3246, D 4084, D 4468, D 6667 or grab sample analysis by GC-FPD/TCD or double GC performed in the laboratory. [District Rule 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

21. When complying with sulfur emission limits by fuel analysis or by a combination of source testing and fuel analysis, each fuel source shall be tested monthly for sulfur content and higher heating value. If compliance with the fuel sulfur content limit and sulfur emission limits has been demonstrated for 6 consecutive months for a fuel source, then the fuel testing frequency shall be semi-annually. If a semi-annual fuel content source test fails to show compliance, monthly testing shall resume. [District Rules 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

22. If fuel analysis is used to demonstrate compliance with conditions of this permit, the fuel higher heating value for each fuel shall be certified by a third party fuel supplier or determined by ASTM D 1826 or D 1945 in conjunction with ASTM D 3588 for gaseous fuels. [District Rules 1070, 2201, 2520, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

23. Emissions shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmvd NOx @ 3% O2 or 0.0061 lb-NOx/MMBtu, 0.00285 lb-SOx/MMBtu, 0.0076 lb-PM10/MMBtu, 25 ppmvd CO @ 3% O2 or 0.0185 lb-CO/MMBtu, or 0.0054 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

24. Flue gas recirculation system shall be operated whenever steam generator is operated. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

25. The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NOx, CO, and O2 at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable emission monitor that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within 5 days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

26. If either the NOx or CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the allowable emissions concentration, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than 1 hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer readings continue to exceed the allowable emissions concentration after 1 hour of operation after detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following 1 hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of the performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

27. All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer’s specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

28. The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NOx, CO, and O2 measurements, (2) the O2 concentration in percent and the measured NOx and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions within the acceptable range. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
29. All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. No determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4306. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

30. The source test plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

31. Source testing to measure natural gas-combustion NOx and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted within 60 days of startup and at least once every twelve (12) months thereafter. After demonstrating compliance on two (2) consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every thirty-six (36) months. If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve (12) months. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

32. When the unit changes fuel source, the unit shall undergo source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions within 60 days of the change unless the unit has already undergone source testing in the last twelve (12) months or thirty-six (36) months after demonstrating compliance on the previous two (2) source tests when fired on that fuel source. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

33. Compliance demonstration (source testing) shall be by District witnessed, or authorized, sample collection by ARB certified testing laboratory. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

34. Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

35. The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

36. NOx emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100 on a ppmv basis, or EPA Method 19 on a heat input basis. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

37. CO emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

38. Stack gas oxygen (O2) shall be determined using EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

39. For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

40. Permittee shall submit notification to the District of the date of construction, anticipated startup, and actual startup. Notifications shall be postmarked no later than 30 days after construction and 15 days after actual startup. The notifications shall include the design heat input and identification of fuels for this permit unit. [40 CFR 60.48c (a)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

41. Permittee shall maintain daily records of the type and quantity of fuel combusted by the steam generator. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

42. Records of sulfur content (gr S/100 sof) of combusted gas shall be maintained. [District Rules 1070, 2201, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

43. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 40 CFR 60.48c (i)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit