September 23, 2021

Donna Ogilvie  
NAS Lemoore  
750 Enterprise Ave  
Lemoore, CA 93246

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authorities to Construct  
Facility Number: C-2106  
Project Number: C-1211083

Dear Ms. Ogilvie:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District’s analysis of NAS Lemoore’s application for Authorities to Construct for a 1,195 horsepower Tier 2 certified diesel engine and a 903 bhp Tier 2 certified diesel engine to provide emergency power in the event of an electrical outage, at 750 Enterprise Ave, Lemoore, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project has been posted on the District’s website (www.valleyair.org). After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice and 45-day EPA notice comment periods, the District intends to issue the Authorities to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mungi Hong of Permit Services at (559) 230-5897 or mungi.hong@valleyair.org.

Sincerely,

Brian Clements  
Director of Permit Services  

BC: mh

Enclosures

cc: Courtney Graham, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email  
cc: Laura Yannayon, EPA (w/ enclosure) via email
I. Proposal

NAS Lemoore is proposing to install a 1,195 bhp (intermittent) Tier 2 certified diesel-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine and a 903 bhp (intermittent) Tier 2 certified diesel-fired emergency standby IC engine. Each engine will power an electrical generator.

NAS Lemoore received their initial Title V Permit on August 31, 2004. This modification can be classified as a Title V significant modification pursuant to Rule 2520, and can be processed with a Certificate of Conformity (COC). But, the facility has not requested that this project be processed in that manner; therefore, NAS Lemoore will be required to submit a Title V significant modification application and receive a revised permit prior to operating under the revised provisions of the ATCs issued with this project.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (8/15/19)
Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (8/15/19)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1 (8/21/03)
Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines (8/19/21)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice
Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines  
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)  
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387: CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location

The facility is located at 750 Enterprise Ave in Lemoore, CA. The equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The emergency standby engines power electrical generators. Other than emergency standby operation, each engine may be operated up to 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

V. Equipment Listing

C-2106-193-0: 1,195 BHP (INTERMITTENT) KOHLER MODEL KD27V12 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

C-2106-194-0: 903 BHP (INTERMITTENT) VOLVO MODEL TWD1643GE TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The applicant has proposed to install two (2) Tier 2 certified diesel-fired IC engines (C-2106-193 and ‘-194) that are fired on very low-sulfur diesel fuel.

The proposed engines meet the latest Tier 2 Certification requirements for emergency standby engines; therefore, the engines meet the latest ARB/EPA emissions standards for diesel particulate matter, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide (see Appendix G for copies of the emissions data sheet).

The use of CARB certified diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SO\textsubscript{X} emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

C-2106-193-0 and ‘-194-0

Emergency operating schedule: 24 hours/day
Non-emergency operating schedule: 50 hours/year (per ATCM)
Density of diesel fuel: 7.1 lb/gal
EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F): 9,051 dscf/MMBtu
Fuel heating value: 137,000 Btu/gal
BHP to Btu/hr conversion: 2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr
Thermal efficiency of engine: commonly \( \approx 35\% \)
PM\(_{10}\) fraction of diesel exhaust: 0.96 (CARB, 1988)
Conversion factor: 1.34 bhp/kw

**B. Emission Factors**

C-2106-193-0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>g/bhp-hr</th>
<th>g/kW-hr</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO(_{X})</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>Engine Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{*})SO(_{X})</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>Mass Balance Equation Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM(_{10})</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Engine Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>Engine Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Engine Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} \cdot S}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb} \cdot \text{fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \times \frac{2 \text{ lb} \cdot \text{SO}_2}{1 \text{ lb} \cdot \text{S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{137,000 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ hp input}}{0.35 \text{ hp out}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{\text{hp} \cdot \text{hr}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{\text{lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{\text{g} \cdot \text{SO}_x}{\text{hp} \cdot \text{hr}}
\]

C-2106-194-0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>g/bhp-hr</th>
<th>g/kW-hr</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO(_{X})</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>EPA Certification Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{*})SO(_{X})</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>Mass Balance Equation Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM(_{10})</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>EPA Certification Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>EPA Certification Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>EPA Certification Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} \cdot S}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb} \cdot \text{fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \times \frac{2 \text{ lb} \cdot \text{SO}_2}{1 \text{ lb} \cdot \text{S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{137,000 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ hp input}}{0.35 \text{ hp out}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{\text{hp} \cdot \text{hr}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{\text{lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{\text{g} \cdot \text{SO}_x}{\text{hp} \cdot \text{hr}}
\]

**C. Calculations**

1. **Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)**

Since these are a new emissions units, PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.
2. Post-Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

The daily and annual PE2 for both engines are calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Daily PE2 (lb-pollutant/day)} = \frac{\text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/day)}}{453.6 \text{ g/lb}}
\]

\[
\text{Annual PE2 (lb-pollutant/yr)} = \frac{\text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/yr)}}{453.6 \text{ g/lb}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)</th>
<th>Rating (bhp)</th>
<th>Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)</th>
<th>Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/year)</th>
<th>Daily PE2 (lb/day)</th>
<th>Annual PE2 (lb/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>265.6</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-2106-193-0

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATC) or Permits to Operate (PTO) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.
The value of SSPE1 for this facility is taken from District project C-1203857, which references projects C-1173113 and C-1201761.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permit Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE2 is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCs which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

For this project, the change in emissions for the facility is due to the installation of the new emergency standby IC engines. Thus,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permit Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2106-193-0 (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2106-194-0 (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Major Source Determination

**Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:**

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with a SSPE2 equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. For the purposes of determining major source status the following shall not be included:

- any ERCs associated with the stationary source
- Emissions from non-road IC engines (i.e. IC engines at a particular site at the facility for less than 12 months), pursuant to the Clean Air Act, Title 3, Section 302, US Codes 7602(j) and (z)
- Fugitive emissions, except for the specific source categories specified in 40 CFR 70.2
Rule 2201 Major Source Determination (lb/year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>SOx</th>
<th>PM₁₀</th>
<th>PM₂.₅</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1</td>
<td>956,523</td>
<td>196,130</td>
<td>238,365</td>
<td>238,365</td>
<td>3,118,655</td>
<td>546,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
<td>957,474</td>
<td>196,132</td>
<td>238,378</td>
<td>238,378</td>
<td>3,118,760</td>
<td>546,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Source Threshold</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Source?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: PM2.5 assumed to be equal to PM10

As seen in the table above, this source is an existing Major Source and will remain a Major Source as a result of this project.

Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(iii). Therefore, the PSD Major Source threshold is 250 tpy for any regulated NSR pollutant.

PSD Major Source Determination (tons/year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>SO₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PM₁₀</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Facility PE before Project Increase</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Major Source Thresholds</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Major Source?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown above, the facility is an existing PSD major source for at least one pollutant.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

The BE calculation (in lb/year) is performed pollutant-by-pollutant for each unit within the project to calculate the QNEC, and if applicable, to determine the amount of offsets required.

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, BE = PE₁ for:
- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.
otherwise,

\[
BE = \text{Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to District Rule 2201.}
\]

Since these are new emissions units, \(BE = PE1 = 0\) for all pollutants.

### 7. SB 288 Major Modification

40 CFR Part 51.165 defines a SB 288 Major Modification as any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.

Since this facility is a major source for all pollutants, the project's PE2 is compared to the SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds in the following table in order to determine if further SB 288 Major Modification calculation is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Project PE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>Threshold (lb/year)</th>
<th>SB 288 Major Modification Calculation Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since none of the SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds are surpassed with this project, this project does not constitute an SB 288 Major Modification and no further discussion is required.

### 8. Federal Major Modification / New Major Source

#### Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201 states that a Federal Major Modification is the same as a "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

As defined in 40 CFR 51.165, Section (a)(1)(v) and part D of Title I of the CAA, a Federal Major Modification is any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act. The significant net emission increase threshold for each criteria pollutant is included in Rule 2201.

The determination of Federal Major Modification is based on a two-step test. For the first step, only the emission increases are counted. In step 1, emission decreases cannot cancel out the increases. Step 2 allows consideration of the project's net emissions increase as described in 40 CFR 51.165 and the Federal Clean Air Act Section 182 (e), as applicable.
Step 1: Project Emissions Increase

For new emissions units, the increase in emissions is equal to the PE2 for each new unit included in this project. Therefore, emission Increase = PE2

Per District Policy APR 1150, for purposes of determining if a new or modified emission unit is part of a Federal Major Modification, if the annual emission increase for the emission unit when divided by 365 is less than or equal to 0.5 lb/day, such an increase shall be rounded to 0. The sum of the emission increases from new or modified emission units involved in this project that round to 0 shall not constitute a Federal Major Modification.

C-2106-193-0

553 lb-NOx/year ÷ 365 days/year = 1.5 lb-NOx/day
1 lb-SOx/year ÷ 365 days/year = 0.0 lb-SOx/day
5 lb-PM10/year ÷ 365 days/year = 0.0 lb-PM10/day
7 lb-VOC/year ÷ 365 days/year = 0.0 lb-VOC/day

C-2106-194-0

398 lb-NOx/year ÷ 365 days/year = 1.1 lb-NOx/day
1 lb-SOx/year ÷ 365 days/year = 0.0 lb-SOx/day
8 lb-PM10/year ÷ 365 days/year = 0.0 lb-PM10/day
6 lb-VOC/year ÷ 365 days/year = 0.0 lb-VOC/day

Therefore, as discussed above, according to District Policy APR 1150, total project annual emission increase is rounded to 0 for SOx, PM10, and VOC.

The project’s combined total emission increases are summarized and compared to the Federal Major Modification Thresholds in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Total Emissions Increases (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Thresholds (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Federal Major Modification?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx*</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If there is any emission increases in NOx or VOC, this project is a Federal Major Modification and no further analysis is required.

Since there is an increase in NOx emissions, this project constitutes a Federal Major Modification. However, as discussed below in the offset section of this evaluation, offsets are not required for NOx for this project. Therefore, no further discussion is required.
New Major Source

As demonstrated above, this facility is not becoming a Major Source as a result of this project, therefore, this facility is not a New Major Source pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165 a(1)(iv)(A)(3).

Federal Offset Quantity Calculation

The Federal Offset Quantity (FOQ) is only calculated for the pollutants for which a project is a Federal Major Modification or a New Major Source as determined above.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165(a)(3)(ii)(J), the federal offset quantity is the sum of the annual emission changes for all new and modified emission units in a project calculated as the potential to emit after the modification (PE2) minus the actual emissions (AE) for each emission unit times the applicable federal offset ratio.

\[ FOQ = \sum (PE2 - AE) \times \text{Federal offset ratio} \]

Actual Emissions

As described in 40 CFR 51.165(a)(1)(xii), actual emissions (AE), as of a particular date, shall equal the average rate, in tons per year, at which the unit actually emitted the pollutant during a consecutive 24-month period which precedes the particular date and which is representative of normal source operation. The reviewing authority shall allow the use of a different time period upon a determination that it is more representative of normal source operation.

Since these are new emissions units, \( AE = 0 \).

Federal Offset Ratio

According the CAA 182(e), the federal offset ratio for VOC and NOx is 1.5 to 1 (due to the District extreme non-attainment status for ozone). Otherwise, the federal offset ratio for PM2.5, PM10, and SOx is 1.0 to 1.

Federal Offset Quantity (FOQ)

Since this project only includes new emissions units, \( FOQ = PE2 \times \text{Federal offset ratio} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>PE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>Actual Emissions (lb/year)</th>
<th>Emissions Change (lb/yr)</th>
<th>( \sum (PE2 - AE) ) (lb/year)</th>
<th>Federal Offset Quantity (lb/year): ( \sum (PE2 - AE) \times 1.5 )</th>
<th>Federal Offset Quantity (tons/year): ( \frac{\sum (PE2 - AE) \times 1.5}{2,000} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-2106-193-0</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>553</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>951</td>
<td>1,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2106-194-0</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>398</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. **Rule 2410 – Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination**

Rule 2410 applies to any pollutant regulated under the Clean Air Act, except those for which the District has been classified nonattainment. The pollutants which must be addressed in the PSD applicability determination for sources located in the SJV and which are emitted in this project are: (See 52.21 (b) (23) definition of significant)

- NO2 (as a primary pollutant)
- SO2 (as a primary pollutant)
- CO
- PM
- PM10
- VOC

I. **Project Location Relative to Class 1 Area**

As demonstrated in the “PSD Major Source Determination” Section above, the facility was determined to be a existing PSD Major Source. Because the project is not located within 10 km (6.2 miles) of a Class 1 area – modeling of the emission increase is not required to determine if the project is subject to the requirements of Rule 2410.

II. **Project Emission Increase – Significance Determination**

   a. **Evaluation of Calculated Post-project Potential to Emit for New or Modified Emissions Units vs PSD Significant Emission Increase Thresholds**

As a screening tool, the post-project potential to emit from all new and modified units is compared to the PSD significant emission increase thresholds, and if the total potentials to emit from all new and modified units are below the applicable thresholds, no further PSD analysis is needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSD Significant Emission Increase Determination: Potential to Emit (tons/year)</th>
<th>NO$_2$</th>
<th>SO$_2$</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PM$_{10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total PE from New and Modified Units</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Significant Emission Increase Thresholds</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Significant Emission Increase?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As demonstrated above, because the post-project total potentials to emit from all new and modified emission units are below the PSD significant emission increase thresholds, this project is not subject to the requirements of Rule 2410 and no further discussion is required.
10. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix E.

VIII. Compliance Determination

Rule 2201  New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, Section 4.1, BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis. Unless specifically exempted by Rule 2201, BACT shall be required for the following actions*:

a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an Adjusted Increase in Permitted Emissions (AIPE) exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB 288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

a. New emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

As discussed above, the facility is proposing to install two (2) new diesel-fired emergency standby IC engines. The daily emissions from the new engines are compared to the BACT threshold levels in the following tables:
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As seen above, BACT is triggered for NOx, PM10, CO, and VOC emissions from each engine for this project.

b. Relocation of emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

As discussed in Section I above, there are no emissions units being relocated from one stationary source to another; therefore, BACT is not triggered.

c. Modification of emissions units – AIPE > 2 lb/day

As discussed in Section I above, there are no modified emissions units associated with this project. Therefore, BACT is not triggered.

d. SB 288/Federal Major Modification

As discussed in Sections VII.C.8 above, this project does constitute a Federal Major Modification for NOx emissions. Therefore, BACT is triggered for NOx for all emissions units in the project for which there is an emission increase.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.1, which appears in Appendix B of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines.

3. Top-Down BACT Analysis

Per Permit Services Policies and Procedures for BACT, a Top-Down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the application review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District’s NSR Rule.

Pursuant to the attached Top-Down BACT Analysis (see Appendix C), BACT has been satisfied with the following:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Daily Emissions for the new unit (lb/day)</th>
<th>BACT Threshold (lb/day)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>BACT Triggered?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>191.1</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr</td>
<td>3,118,760</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NO\textsubscript{X}: Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range  
PM\textsubscript{10}: 0.15 g/bhp-hr or the latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent  
VOC: Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range  
CO: Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range

**B. Offsets**

1. **Offset Applicability**

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, Section 4.5, offset requirements shall be triggered on a pollutant by pollutant basis and shall be required if the SSPE2 equals or exceeds the offset threshold levels in Table 4-1 of Rule 2201.

The SSPE2 is compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offset Determination (lb/year)</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X}</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{X}</th>
<th>PM\textsubscript{10}</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
<td>957,474</td>
<td>196,132</td>
<td>238,378</td>
<td>3,118,760</td>
<td>546,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offset Thresholds</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>54,750</td>
<td>29,200</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offsets Triggered?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Quantity of District Offsets Required**

NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{X}, PM\textsubscript{10}, and VOC

As demonstrated above, District offsets are triggered for NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{X}, PM\textsubscript{10}, and VOC under NSR. However, since this project only involves emergency IC engines, the offset exemption from Section 4.6.2 of District Rule 2201 is applicable to this project. Therefore, offsets are not required for this project and District offset calculations are not necessary.

3. **District and Federal Offset Quantities**

As discussed above, District offsets are triggered but not required for NO\textsubscript{X}, VOC, SO\textsubscript{X}, or PM10 under Rule 2201. However, as demonstrated above, this project does trigger Federal Major Modification requirements for NO\textsubscript{X}, but no federal offsets are required for this project pursuant to Section 7.4.2.1 of Rule 2201. In conclusion, offsets will not be required for this project and no further discussion is required.

**C. Public Notification**

1. **Applicability**

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, Section 5.4, public noticing is required for:

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications,  
b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed,
d. Any project with an SSIPE of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant, and/or
e. Any project which results in a Title V significant permit modification

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications

As demonstrated in Section VII.C.7 of this evaluation, this project is a Federal Major Modification. Therefore, public noticing is required for this project for Federal Major Modification purposes.

b. PE > 100 lb/day
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As seen above in Section VII.C.2, daily emissions for NOx for each engine are greater than 100 lb/day; therefore, public noticing for PE > 100 lb/day purposes is required.

c. Offset Threshold

Public notification is required if the pre-project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) is increased to a level exceeding the offset threshold levels. The following table compares the SSPE1 with the SSPE2 in order to determine if any offset thresholds have been surpassed with this project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>Offset Threshold</th>
<th>Public Notice Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>956,523</td>
<td>957,474</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>196,130</td>
<td>196,132</td>
<td>54,750 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>238,365</td>
<td>238,378</td>
<td>29,200 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>3,118,655</td>
<td>3,118,760</td>
<td>200,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>546,674</td>
<td>546,687</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As demonstrated above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore, public noticing is not required for offset purposes.

d. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a SSIPE of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE = SSPE2 – SSPE1. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table.
As demonstrated above, the SSIPEs for all pollutants were less than 20,000 lb/year; therefore, public noticing for SSIPE purposes is not required.

e. Title V Significant Permit Modification

As shown in the Discussion of Rule 2520 below, this project constitutes a Title V significant modification. Therefore, public noticing for Title V significant modifications is required for this project.

2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, public noticing is required. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be electronically published on the District’s website prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emission Limits (DELs)

DELs and other enforceable conditions are required by Rule 2201 to restrict a unit’s maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT.
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- {modified 4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.20 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.42 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.05 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rules 2201 and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]

- {modified 4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.04 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]
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- {modified 4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.0 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.5 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.06 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rules 2201 and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

- {modified 4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.08 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

C-2106-193-0 and ‘-194-0

- {modified 4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4702, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

Section 4.14 of District Rule 2201 requires that an AAQA be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District’s Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix D of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NOx, CO, and SOx. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NOx, CO, or SOx.
The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state’s PM$_{10}$ as well as federal and state PM$_{2.5}$ thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$.

G. Compliance Certification

Section 4.15.2 of this Rule requires the owner of a New Major Source or a source undergoing a Federal Major Modification to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the District that all other Major Sources owned by such person and operating in California are in compliance or are on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards. As discussed in Section VIII above, this facility is a major source and this project does constitute a Federal Major Modification, therefore this requirement is applicable. NAS Lemoore’s compliance certification is included in Appendix F.

H. Alternate Siting Analysis

The current project occurs at an existing facility. The applicant proposes to install two Tier 2 certified diesel-fired emergency standby IC engines.

Since the project will provide electricity to be used at the same location, the existing site will result in the least possible impact from the project. Alternative sites would involve the relocation and/or construction of various support structures on a much greater scale, and would therefore result in a much greater impact.

Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration

As shown in Section VII.C.9 above, this project does not result in a new PSD major source or PSD major modification. No further discussion is required.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

This facility is subject to this Rule, and has received their Title V Operating Permit. A significant permit modification is defined as a “permit amendment that does not qualify as a minor permit modification or administrative amendment.”

Minor permit modifications are permit modifications that are not Title I modifications as defined in District Rule 2520 or modifications as defined in Section 111 or Section 112 of the Federal Clean Air Act. Since this project constitutes a Title I modification (i.e. Federal Major Modification), the proposed project constitutes a Significant Modification to the Title V Permit.

As discussed above, the facility has not applied for a Certificate of Conformity (COC); therefore, the facility must apply to modify their Title V permit with a significant modification, prior to operating with the proposed modifications. Continued compliance with this rule is expected. The facility shall not implement the changes requested until the final permit is issued.
The following condition will be added to the ATCs to ensure compliance with this requirement:

- {1829} The facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit in accordance with the timeframes and procedures of District Rule 2520. [District Rule 2520]

**Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)**

This rule incorporates NSPS from Part 60, Chapter 1, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR); and applies to all new sources of air pollution and modifications of existing sources of air pollution listed in 40 CFR Part 60.

**40 CFR 60 Subpart III - Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines**

§60.4200 - Applicability

This subpart is applicable to manufacturers, owners, and operators of stationary compression ignited (CI) internal combustion engines (ICE) that commence construction after July 11, 2005, where the engines are:

1) Manufactured after April 1, 2006, if not a fire pump engine.
2) Manufactured as a National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) fire pump engine after July 1, 2006.

Since the proposed engines will be installed after July 11, 2005 and will be manufactured after April 1, 2006, this subpart applies.

Sections 60.4201 through 60.4203 apply to engine manufacturers. Therefore, these sections will not be discussed unless they are referenced later by another section of this subpart.

§60.4205 – Emission Standards for Owners and Operators

Section 60.4205(b) states that owners and operators of 2007 model year and later emergency stationary CI ICE with a displacement of less than 30 liters per cylinder that are not fire pump engines must comply with the emission standards for new nonroad CI engines in Section 60.4202, for all pollutants, for the same model year and maximum engine power for their 2007 model year and later emergency stationary CI ICE.

Section 60.4202(a) states that Stationary CI internal combustion engine manufacturers must certify their 2007 model year and later emergency stationary CI ICE with a maximum engine power less than or equal to 2,237 KW (3,000 HP) and a displacement of less than 10 liters per cylinder that are not fire pump engines to the emission standards specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (2) of this section.

Section (a)(1) is not applicable as it applies to engines with a maximum engine power less than 37 KW (50 HP).
Section (a)(2) states for engines greater than or equal to 37 KW (50 HP), the certification emission standards for new nonroad CI engines for the same model year and maximum engine power in 40 CFR 89.112 and 40 CFR 89.113 for all pollutants beginning in model year 2007.

The applicable standards from 40 CFR 89.112 are NMHC + NOx = 6.4 g/kw-hr, CO = 3.5 g/kw-hr, and PM = 0.20 g/kw-hr. As demonstrated in Section VII.B above, the emission standards of 40 CFR 89.112 are met. Therefore, the following conditions will ensure compliance with this requirement:
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- {modified 4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.20 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.42 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.05 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rules 2201 and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

- {modified 4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.04 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

C-2106-194-0

- {modified 4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.0 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.5 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.06 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rules 2201 and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

- {modified 4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.08 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

The smoke emission standard in 40 CFR 89.113 applies to compression-ignition non-road engines. An emergency-standby IC engine is not a non-road engine as defined in 40 CFR 89 Subpart A; therefore, section 40 CFR 89.113 does not apply.

Section 60.4206 states that owners or operators of stationary CI ICE must meet the applicable emission standards for the entire life of said engines. The Tier 2 level emissions for the proposed engines will be listed on the permit as emission factors, ensuring that the emission standards are met over the entire life of the engine.

Section 60.4207(b) states that beginning October 1, 2010, owners and operators of stationary CI ICE subject to this subpart with a displacement of less than 30 liters per cylinder that use diesel fuel must use diesel fuel that meets the requirements of 40 CFR 1090.305 for nonroad diesel fuel. Section 1090.305 states that except as specified in Section 1090.300(a), the sulfur content for all non-road diesel fuel shall not exceed 15 ppm. The proposed engines will be required by the following permit condition to use CARB certified diesel fuel, which meets all of the fuel requirements listed in Subpart III.
• {modified 4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

Section 60.4208 lists deadline dates for importing or installing stationary CI ICE produced in the previous model year. None of the deadline dates affects the engines proposed in this project. Therefore, this section does not apply.

Section 60.4209 applies to emergency stationary CI engines that do not meet the applicable standards and stationary CI engines equipped with a diesel particulate filter. The proposed engines in this project do not fall under either of these two categories. Therefore, this section does not apply.

Section 60.4210 applies only to engine manufacturers. Therefore, this section will not be discussed unless it is referenced later by another section of this subpart.

Section 60.4211(a) states that owners or operators who comply with the emission standards specified in this subpart must operate and maintain the stationary CI engine and control device according to the manufacturer’s written instructions or procedures developed by the owner or operator that are approved by the engine manufacturer. The following condition will be included in the ATCs to ensure compliance:

• {modified 4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

Section 60.4211(b) applies to pre-2007 model year engines. Therefore, this section does not apply.

Section 60.4211(c) states that if you are an owner or operator of a 2007 model year and later stationary CI internal combustion engine and must comply with the emission standards specified in Section 60.4204(b) or Section 60.4205(b), or if you are an owner or operator of a CI fire pump engine that is manufactured during or after the model year that applies to your fire pump engine power rating in table 3 to this subpart and must comply with the emission standards specified in Section 60.4205(c), you must comply by purchasing an engine certified to the emission standards in Section 60.4204(b), or Section 60.4205(b) or (c), as applicable, for the same model year and maximum (or in the case of fire pumps, NFPA nameplate) engine power. The engine must be installed and configured according to the manufacturer’s specifications. The applicant has proposed Tier 2 emissions level engines in this project that comply with the emission standards in Section 60.4205(b) and will be installed according to the manufacturer’s specifications. Therefore, this section is satisfied.

Section 60.4211(d) applies to owners or operators who must comply with the emission standards specified in Section 60.4204(c) or Section 60.4205(d). The proposed engines are not subject to the emission standards specified in Sections 60.4204(c) or 60.4205(d). Therefore, this section does not apply.
Section 60.4211(e) applies to owners or operators of modified or reconstructed stationary CI internal combustion engines. As discussed, the proposed engines are new; therefore, this section does not apply.

Section 60.4211(f) applies to owners or operators of an emergency stationary ICE. This section states you must operate the emergency stationary ICE according to the requirements in paragraphs (f)(1) through (3). In order for the engine to be considered an emergency stationary ICE under this subpart, any operation other than emergency operation, maintenance and testing, emergency demand response, and operation in non-emergency situations for 50 hours per year, as described in paragraphs (f)(1) through (3) of this section, is prohibited. If you do not operate the engine according to the requirements in paragraphs (f)(1) through (3) of this section, the engine will not be considered an emergency engine under this subpart and must meet all requirements for non-emergency engines.

The following condition will be included to the ATCs to ensure compliance:

- (modified 4777) This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours in any rolling 12 month period. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]

Section 60.4212 applies to owners or operators of a stationary CI engine with a displacement of less than 30 liters per cylinder and required to conduct performance tests pursuant to Section 60.4211(b). Section 60.4211(b) does not apply to these engines; therefore, performance tests are not required and this section does not apply.

Section 60.4213 applies to owners or operators of CI engines with a displacement of greater than or equal to 30 liters per cylinder. The displacement is less than 30 liters per cylinder for the engines in this project; therefore, this section does not apply.

Section 60.4214(a) states owners and operators of non-emergency stationary CI engines that are greater than 3,000 hp, or have a displacement of greater than or equal to 10 liters per cylinder, or are pre-2007 model year engines that are greater than 175 hp and not certified, must meet the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section. Each proposed engine is a post-2007 model year emergency engine rated less than 3,000 hp, and has a displacement less than 10 liters per cylinder. Therefore, this section does not apply.

Section 60.4214(b) states that if the stationary CI internal combustion engine is an emergency stationary internal combustion engine, the owner or operator is not required to submit an initial notification. Starting with the model years in table 5 to this subpart, if the emergency engine does not meet the standards applicable to non-emergency engines in the applicable model year, the owner or operator must keep records of the operation of the engine in emergency and non-emergency service that are recorded through the non-resettable hour meter. The owner must record the time of operation of the engine and the reason the engine was in operation during that time. Since the engines in this project meet the applicable standards, this section does not apply.
Section 60.4214(c) applies to stationary CI engines equipped with a diesel particulate filter. Therefore, this section does not apply.

Sections 60.4215 and 60.4216 apply to engines operated outside the continental United States. Therefore, these sections do not apply.

Section 60.4217 applies to engines that use special fuels and cannot meet the emission limits that the engine was originally certified to. Since the proposed engines are diesel-fired and meet the emission limits that the engines were originally certified to, this section does not apply. As demonstrated above, the proposed engine meets the requirements of this subpart.

**Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs)**

This rule incorporates NESHAPs from Part 61, Chapter I, Subchapter C, Title 40, CFR and the NESHAPs from Part 63, Chapter I, Subchapter C, Title 40, CFR; and applies to all sources of hazardous air pollution listed in 40 CFR Part 61 or 40 CFR Part 63.


In accordance with Section 63.6590(c), the engine in this project must meet the requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ, by meeting the requirements of 40 CFR 60, Subpart IIII, *Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines*. No further requirements apply.

As demonstrated above, the proposed engines meet the requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII. Therefore, the engines meet the requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ.

**Rule 4101 Visible Emissions**

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATCs as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

**Rule 4102 Nuisance**

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATCs as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 – Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification of an existing source shall not result in an increase in cancer risk greater than the District’s significance level (20 in a million) and shall not result in acute and/or chronic risk indices greater than 1.

According to the Technical Services Memo for this project, the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an HRA was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project.

The resulting prioritization score, acute hazard index, chronic hazard index, and cancer risk for this project is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Prioritization Score</th>
<th>Acute Hazard Index</th>
<th>Chronic Hazard Index</th>
<th>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</th>
<th>T-BACT Required</th>
<th>Special Permit Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>193-0</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>NA(^1)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.13E-09</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194-0</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>NA(^1)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.63E-09</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Totals</td>
<td>30.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.38E-08</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Totals</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.97E-06</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Acute Hazard Index was not calculated for Unit 193 and 194 since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

Discussion of T-BACT

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District’s thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District’s Risk Management Policy is expected.

In accordance with District policy APR 1905, no further analysis is required, and compliance with District Rule 4102 requirements is expected.

See Appendix D: Health Risk Assessment Summary

The following permit conditions are required to ensure compliance with the assumptions made for the risk management review:
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- {modified 4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.04 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

C-2106-194-0

- {modified 4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.08 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

C-2106-193-0 and -194-0

- {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

- This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours in any rolling 12 month period. [District Rules District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

**Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration**

Rule 4201 limits particulate matter emissions from any single source operation to 0.1 g/dscf, which, as calculated below, is equivalent to a PM10 emission factor of 0.4 g-PM10/bhp-hr.

\[
0.1 \times \frac{grain - PM}{dscf} \times \frac{g}{15.43 \text{ grain}} \times \frac{1 \text{ Btu}_{\text{in}}}{0.35 \text{ Btu}_{\text{out}}} \times \frac{9.051 \text{ dscf}}{10^6 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{1 \text{ bhp - hr}} \times \frac{0.96 \text{ g - PM}_{10}}{1 \text{ g - PM}} = 0.4 \frac{g - PM}_{10} \text{ bhp - hr}
\]

Each new engine has a PM10 emission factor less than 0.4 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, compliance is expected and the following condition will be listed on the ATCs as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

**Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1**

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines. Except as provided in Section 4.0, the provisions of this rule apply to any internal combustion engine, rated greater than 50 bhp, that requires a PTO.
The proposed engine is also subject to District Rule 4702, Internal Combustion Engines. Since emissions limits of District Rule 4702 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4701 requirements for emergency engines, compliance with District Rule 4702 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4701.

**Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines**

Emergency standby engines are subject to District Rule 4702 requirements. Emergency standby engines are defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4702 as follows:

3.15 Emergency Standby Engine: an internal combustion engine which operates as a temporary replacement for primary mechanical or electrical power during an unscheduled outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the operator. An engine shall be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used only for the following purposes: (1) periodic maintenance, periodic readiness testing, or readiness testing during and after repair work; (2) unscheduled outages, or to supply power while maintenance is performed or repairs are made to the primary power supply; and (3) if it is limited to operate 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes. An engine shall not be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used: (1) to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or (2) to produce power for the utility electrical distribution system, or (3) in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract.

Emergency standby engines cannot be used to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or to produce power for the electrical distribution system, or in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract. The following conditions will be included on the permits:

- {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

The 100 hour requirement is less stringent than the Air Toxic Control Measure operating limitations for emergency standby engines. Therefore, compliance with the applicable Air Toxic Control Measure requirements ensures compliance with the 100 hour requirement.

Operation of emergency standby engines are limited to 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes. The Air Toxic Control Measure for Stationary Compression Ignition Engines (Stationary ATCM) limits this engine’s maintenance and testing to 50 hours/year; therefore, compliance is expected. The following condition will be included on the permits:
Section 4.2 states except for the requirements of Sections 5.10 and 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to an emergency standby engine or a low-use engine, provided that the engine is operated with a functional nonresettable elapsed time meter.

4.2.1 In lieu of operating a nonresettable time meter, the operator may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time, provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and EPA and is allowed by the Permit-to-Operate or Permit-Exempt Equipment Registration. The operator must demonstrate that the alternative device, method, or technique is equivalent to using a nonresettable elapsed time meter.

4.2.2 The operator shall properly maintain and operate the nonresettable elapsed time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Pursuant to the exemption in Section 4.2, the following requirements of Section 5.10 are applicable to emergency standby engines.

Section 5.10.1 requires the operator to:

5.10.2 Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.

5.10.3 Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.

5.10.4 Install and operate a functional nonresettable elapsed time meter.

5.10.4.1 In lieu of installing a nonresettable elapsed time meter, the operator may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and EPA and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate or Permit-Exempt Equipment Registration condition.

5.10.4.2 The operator shall properly maintain and operate the nonresettable elapsed time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier. The following condition will be included on the permits:

• {modified 4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]
Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier. The following condition will be included on the permits:

- {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]

Install and operate a functional nonresettable elapsed time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable elapsed time meter, the operator may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and EPA and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate condition. The operator shall properly maintain and operate the nonresettable elapsed time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The following condition will be included on the permits:

- {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator’s compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

The exemption in Rule 4702 Section 4.2 for emergency standby engines requires the engines to comply with Section 6.2.3, shown below.

6.2.3 An operator claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and provided to the APCO upon request. The records shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 6.2.3.1 Total hours of operation,
- 6.2.3.2 The type of fuel used,
- 6.2.3.3 The purpose for operating the engine,
- 6.2.3.4 For emergency standby engines, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation shall be reported, and
- 6.2.3.5 Other support documentation necessary to demonstrate claim to the exemption.

Records of the total hours of operation, type of fuel used, purpose for operating the engine, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation, and other support documentation must be maintained. All records shall be retained for a period of at least five years, shall be readily available, and be made available to the APCO upon request. The following conditions will be included on the permits:
• {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

• {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

• On a monthly basis, the permittee shall calculate and record the hours of operation for the rolling 12 month period. The hours of operation shall be calculated by summing the hours of operation from the previous 12 months. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

• {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

**Rule 4801  Sulfur Compounds**

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO₂) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Volume } \text{SO}_2 = \frac{(n \times R \times T)}{P}
\]

\[
n = \text{moles SO}_2
\]

\[
T \text{ (standard temperature)} = 60 \degree \text{F or } 520 \degree \text{R}
\]

\[
R \text{ (universal gas constant) } = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \degree \text{R}}
\]

Since 1.0 ppmv is ≤ 2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATCs as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

• {modified 4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

**California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)**

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.
Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

The following requirements apply to new engines (those installed after 1/1/05):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements for New Emergency IC Engines Powering Electrical Generators</th>
<th>Proposed Method of Compliance with Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency engine(s) must be fired on CARB diesel fuel, or an approved alternative diesel fuel.</td>
<td>The applicant has proposed the use of CARB certified diesel fuel. The proposed permit condition, requiring the use of CARB certified diesel fuel, is included on the permits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The engine(s) must meet the emission standards in Table 1 of the ATCM for the specific power rating and model year of the proposed engine.</td>
<td>The applicant has proposed the use of engines that are certified to the latest EPA Tier Certification standards for the applicable horsepower range, guaranteeing compliance with the emission standards of the ATCM. Additionally, the proposed diesel PM emissions rates are less than or equal to 0.15 g/bhp-hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The engine may not be operated more than 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes unless the PM emissions are ( \leq 0.01 ) g/bhp-hr, then the engine is allowed 100 hours per year. Emissions from each engine are certified at 0.04 g/bhp-hr and 0.08 g/bhp-hr, respectively. Therefore, the engines are allowed 50 hours.</td>
<td>The following conditions will be included on the permits:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-2106-193-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{modified 4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.04 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-2106-194-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{modified 4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.08 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-2106-193-0 and ‘-194-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{modified 4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Regulatory Purposes and Emergency Situations**

Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours in any rolling 12 month period. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engines, with a PM10 emissions rate greater than 0.01 g/bhp-hr and located at schools, may not be operated for maintenance and testing whenever there is a school sponsored activity on the grounds. Additionally, engines located within 500 feet of school grounds may not be operated for maintenance and testing between 7:30 AM and 3:30 PM</th>
<th>The District has verified that this engine is not located within 500’ of a school.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours shall be installed upon engine installation, or by no later than January 1, 2005, on all engines subject to all or part of the requirements of sections 93115.6, 93115.7, or 93115.8(a) unless the District determines on a case-by-case basis that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator’s compliance history.</td>
<td>The following condition will be included on the permits: {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator’s compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An owner or operator shall maintain monthly records of the following: emergency use hours of operation; maintenance and testing hours of operation; hours of operation for emission testing; initial start-up testing hours; hours of operation for all other uses; and the type of fuel used. All records shall be retained for a minimum of 36 months.</td>
<td>The following condition will be included on the permits: {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

CEQA requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The District adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities;
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced;
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible; and
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Determination

District is a Lead Agency & GHG emissions increases are from the combustion of fossil fuel other than jet fuels

It is determined that no other agency has prepared or will prepare an environmental review document for the project. Thus the District is the Lead Agency for this project.

On December 17, 2009, the District's Governing Board adopted a policy, APR 2005, *Addressing GHG Emission Impacts for Stationary Source Projects Under CEQA When Serving as the Lead Agency*, for addressing GHG emission impacts when the District is Lead Agency under CEQA and approved the District's guidance document for use by other agencies when addressing GHG impacts as lead agencies under CEQA. Under this policy, the District's determination of significance of project-specific GHG emissions is founded on the principal that projects with GHG emission reductions consistent with AB 32 emission reduction targets are considered to have a less than significant impact on global climate change. Consistent with District Policy 2005, projects complying with an approved GHG emission reduction plan or GHG mitigation program, which avoids or substantially reduces GHG emissions within the geographic area in which the project is located, would be determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emission.

The California Air Resources Board (ARB) adopted a Cap-and-Trade regulation as part one of the strategies identified for AB 32. This Cap-and-Trade regulation is a statewide plan, supported by a CEQA compliant environmental review document, aimed at reducing or mitigating GHG emissions from targeted industries. Facilities subject to the Cap-and-Trade regulation are subject to an industry-wide cap on overall GHG emissions. Any growth in emissions must be accounted for under that cap such that a corresponding and equivalent reduction in emissions must occur to allow any increase. Further, the cap decreases over time, resulting in an overall decrease in GHG emissions.
Under District policy APR 2025, CEQA Determinations of Significance for Projects Subject to ARB’s GHG Cap-and-Trade Regulation, the District finds that the Cap-and-Trade is a regulation plan approved by ARB, consistent with AB32 emission reduction targets, and supported by a CEQA compliant environmental review document. As such, consistent with District Policy 2005, projects complying with Cap-and-Trade requirements are determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions.

The GHG emissions increases associated with this project result from the combustion of fossil fuel(s), other than jet fuel, delivered from suppliers subject to the Cap-and-Trade regulation. Therefore, as discussed above, consistent with District Policies APR 2005 and APR 2025, the District concludes that the GHG emissions increases associated with this project would have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact on global climate change.

**District CEQA Findings**

The District is the Lead Agency for this project because there is no other agency with broader statutory authority over this project. The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the activity will occur at an existing facility and the project involves negligible expansion of the existing or former use. Furthermore, the District determined that the activity will not have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, the District finds that the activity is categorically exempt from the provisions of CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guideline § 15301 (Existing Facilities), and finds that the project is exempt per the common sense exemption that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (CEQA Guidelines §15061(b)(3)).

**Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination**

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project’s potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project’s potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent’s ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

The criteria pollutant emissions and toxic air contaminant emissions associated with the proposed project are not significant, and there is minimal potential for public concern for this particular type of facility/operation. Therefore, an Indemnification Agreement and/or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.
IX. Recommendation

Compliance with all applicable rules and regulations is expected. Pending a successful NSR Public Noticing period, issue ATCs C-2106-193-0 and 194-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft ATCs in Appendix A.

X. Billing Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Number</th>
<th>Fee Schedule</th>
<th>Fee Description</th>
<th>Annual Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-2106-193-0</td>
<td>3020-10-F</td>
<td>1,195 bhp IC engine</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2106-194-0</td>
<td>3020-10-E</td>
<td>903 bhp IC engine</td>
<td>$723</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendixes

A: Draft ATCs
B: BACT Guideline
C: BACT Analysis
D: HRA Summary
E: Quarterly Net Emissions Change
F: Compliance Certification
G: Emissions Data Sheets
APPENDIX A
Draft ATCs
San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: C-2106-193-0
ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: NAS LEMOORE
MAILING ADDRESS: BUILDING 750 CODE 50800
LEMOORE, CA 93246-5001

LOCATION: NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE
750 ENTERPRISE AVE
LEMOORE, CA 93246-5001

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
1,195 BHP (INTERMITTENT) KOHLER MODEL KD27V12 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

CONDITIONS

1. The facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit in accordance with the timeframes and procedures of District Rule 2520. [District Rule 2520] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

2. No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

3. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

4. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

5. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

6. This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

7. Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (559) 230-5950 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Samir Sheikh, Executive Director / APCO

Brian Clements, Director of Permit Services
C-2106-193-0 • Sep 23 2021 1:00PM • HRGSM • Joint Inspection NOT Required

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726 • (559) 230-5900 • Fax (559) 230-6061
8. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.20 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.42 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.05 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rules 2201 and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

9. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.04 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

10. This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

11. During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

12. An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

13. This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

14. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

15. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours in any rolling 12 month period. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

16. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

17. On a monthly basis, the permittee shall calculate and record the hours of operation for the rolling 12 month period. The hours of operation shall be calculated by summing the hours of operation from the previous 12 months. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

18. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: C-2106-194-0
ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: NAS LEMOORE
MAILING ADDRESS: BUILDING 750 CODE 50800
                  LEMOORE, CA 93246-5001

LOCATION: NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE
          750 ENTERPRISE AVE
          LEMOORE, CA 93246-5001

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
903 BHP (INTERMITTENT) VOLVO MODEL TWD1643GE TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

CONDITIONS

1. The facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit in accordance with the timeframes and procedures of District Rule 2520. [District Rule 2520] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

2. No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

3. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

4. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

5. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

6. This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

7. Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (559) 230-5950 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Samir Sheikh, Executive Director / APCO

Brian Clements, Director of Permit Services
C-2106-194-0 · Sep 23 2021 · 1:08PM · CONSIGM · Joint Inspection NOT Required
8. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.0 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.5 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.06 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rules 2201 and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

9. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.08 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

10. This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

11. During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

12. An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

13. This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

14. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

15. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours in any rolling 12 month period. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

16. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

17. On a monthly basis, the permittee shall calculate and record the hours of operation for the rolling 12 month period. The hours of operation shall be calculated by summing the hours of operation from the previous 12 months. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

18. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
APPENDIX B
BACT Guideline
**Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.1**

**Last Update: 6/13/2019**

**Emergency Diesel-Fired IC Engine**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Achieved in Practice or in the SIP</th>
<th>Technologically Feasible</th>
<th>Alternate Basic Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>0.15 g/bhp-hr or the latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent (ATCM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>Very low sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source.
APPENDIX C
BACT Analysis
Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine

This application was deemed complete on July 12, 2021. Therefore, BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (June 13, 2019) was in effect at the time the project was deemed complete and will be used for the proposed emergency diesel IC engines. In accordance with the District BACT policy, information from that guideline will be utilized without further analysis.

1. BACT Analysis for NOx, VOC, and CO Emissions:

   C-2106-193-0 and ‘-194-0

   a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

   BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

   • Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range

   To determine the latest applicable Tier level, the following steps were taken:

   • Conduct a survey of all the emergency IC engines permitted in the District to determine the latest EPA Tier certification level that has been permitted for the proposed engine size

   • Conduct a survey of the major IC engine manufacturers/genset vendors to determine the latest EPA Tier certification level that is readily available for the proposed engine size and use

   • Review Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines to determine the latest Tier certification level required in California for the proposed engine size

   Survey of Permitted Units:

   The proposed emergency IC engines are rated at 1,195 bhp and 903 bhp. Based on the latest survey of all permitted emergency IC engines powering electrical generators in the horsepower range applicable to the proposed units, the District found that a Tier 4F certification level is the highest certification level that has been permitted for an IC engine of the size associated with the proposed project.

   IC Engine Availability:

   The facility has a contract with the construction company Harper Construction who is contractually obligated to use Kohler generator sets. Based on Kohler’s correspondence to the District, they do not supply any Tier 4F generator set that would meet the requirements of the proposed project. If the facility were required to install a Tier 4F engine, they would need to break their contract with Harper Construction, rebid the project, change approved project plans, and get the new plans approved, which would be lengthy process and unduly delay the operation of the proposed facility. Therefore, the
District finds a Tier 4F certified engine is not available in the size required for the proposed projects.

**Stationary IC Engine Airborne Toxic Control Measure:**

Title 17 CCR, Section 93115.6(a)(3)(A) (CARB stationary diesel engine ATCM) applies to emergency standby diesel-fired engines and requires that such engines be certified to the emission level in Table 1 (below). Please note that these emission requirements are at least as stringent or more stringent than the emission requirements in 40 CFR Subpart III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Engine Power</th>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>Model Year(s)</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>NMHC+NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HP &gt; 750 (kW &gt; 560)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.15 (0.20)</td>
<td>4.8 (6.4)</td>
<td>2.6 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2008+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For IC engines rated greater than or equal to 750 hp, Tier 2 is required.

**Conclusion:**

The proposed standby IC engines are rated at 1,195 bhp and 903 bhp. Tier 4F IC engine generator sets were determined not to be readily available for the applicable horsepower range. After taking Tier 4F IC engine availability and Air Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) requirements into consideration, the District has determined the latest available EPA Tier certification level in this case is Tier 2 certification.

**b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options**

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

**c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness**

Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

**d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis**

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

**e. Step 5 - Select BACT**

BACT for NOx, VOC, and CO will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine. The applicant is proposing such units. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.
2. BACT Analysis for PM\textsubscript{10} Emissions:

C-2106-193-0 and ‘-194-0

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

- 0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)

The latest EPA Tier Certification level for an engine of the proposed model year and horsepower rating is Tier 2 certified. Refer to the Top-Down BACT analysis for NO\textsubscript{x}, CO, and VOC for a discussion regarding the determination of the EPA Tier level to be considered.

Please note the proposed 1,195 bhp and 903 bhp Tier 2 certified IC engines have a PM emission factor of 0.04 g/hp-hr and 0.08 g/hp-hr, respectively. Additionally, the ATCM requires a PM emission standard of 0.15 g/hp-hr for all new emergency standby diesel IC engines.

Therefore, the proposed PM/PM\textsubscript{10} emission factors of 0.04 g/hp-hr and 0.08 g/hp-hr meet BACT requirements, and also satisfy the stationary ATCM requirement for new emergency standby diesel IC engines.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM\textsubscript{10} is emissions of 0.15 g/hp-hr or less. The applicant is proposing engines that meet this requirement. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Risk Management Review and Ambient Air Quality Analysis

To: Mungi Hong – Permit Services
From: Will Worthley – Technical Services
Date: September 15, 2021
Facility Name: NAS LEMOORE
Location: NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE, 750 ENTERPRISE AVE, LEMOORE
Application # (s): C-2106-193-0, -194-0
Project #: C-1211083

1. Summary

1.1 RMR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Prioritization Score</th>
<th>Acute Hazard Index</th>
<th>Chronic Hazard Index</th>
<th>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</th>
<th>T-BACT Required</th>
<th>Special Permit Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>193-0</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>NA(^1)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.13E-09</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194-0</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>NA(^1)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.63E-09</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Totals</td>
<td>30.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.38E-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Totals</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.97E-06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Acute Hazard Index was not calculated for Unit 193 and 194 since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

1.2 AAQA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>1 Hour</th>
<th>3 Hours</th>
<th>8 Hours</th>
<th>24 Hours</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>NA(^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_2)</td>
<td>NA(^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_2)</td>
<td>NA(^2)</td>
<td>NA(^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>NA(^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>NA(^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>NA(^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Results were taken from the attached AAQA Report.
2. The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour, and 24-hour) standards is not required.
3. Modeled PM10 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 1 μg/m³ for the annual concentration.
4. Modeled PM2.5 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 0.2 μg/m³ for the annual concentration.
1.3 Proposed Permit Requirements

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

Unit # 193-0

1. The PM$_{10}$ emissions rate shall not exceed 0.04 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.

Unit # 194-0

1. The PM$_{10}$ emissions rate shall not exceed 0.08 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.

Unit # 193-0 & 194-0

1. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.

2. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year.

2. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on September 13, 2021 to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for the following:

- Unit -193-0: 1,195 BHP (INTERMITTENT) KOHLER MODEL KD27V12 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR
- Unit -194-0: 903 BHP (INTERMITTENT) VOLVO MODEL TWD1643GE TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

3. RMR Report

3.1 Analysis

The District performed an analysis pursuant to the District’s Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, May 28, 2015) to determine the possible cancer and non-cancer health impact to the nearest resident or worksite. This policy requires that an assessment be performed on a unit by unit basis, project basis, and on a facility-wide basis. If a preliminary prioritization analysis demonstrates that:

- A unit’s prioritization score is less than the District’s significance threshold and;
- The project’s prioritization score is less than the District’s significance threshold and;
- The facility’s total prioritization score is less than the District’s significance threshold

Then, generally no further analysis is required.

The District’s significant prioritization score threshold is defined as being equal to or greater than 1.0. If a preliminary analysis demonstrates that either the unit’s or the project’s or the facility’s
total prioritization score is greater than the District threshold, a screening or a refined assessment is required.

If a refined assessment is greater than one in a million but less than 20 in one million for carcinogenic impacts (Cancer Risk) and less than 1.0 for the Acute and Chronic hazard indices (Non-Carcinogenic) on a unit by unit basis, project basis and on a facility-wide basis the proposed application is considered less than significant. For unit’s that exceed a cancer risk of 1 in one million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (TBACT) must be implemented.

Toxic emissions for this project were calculated using the following methods:

- Toxic emissions for the proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer.

These emissions were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD’s Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). In accordance with the District’s Risk Management Policy, risks from the proposed unit’s toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 2016 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines. The prioritization score for this proposed facility was greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required.

The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2012-2016 from Lemoore (rural dispersion coefficient selected) to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or $X$ divided by the normalized source strength or $Q$) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

### Source Process Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit ID</th>
<th>Process ID</th>
<th>Process Material</th>
<th>Process Units</th>
<th>Hourly Process Rate</th>
<th>Annual Process Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>LBS</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>LBS</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Point Source Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit ID</th>
<th>Unit Description</th>
<th>Release Height (m)</th>
<th>Temp. (°K)</th>
<th>Exit Velocity (m/sec)</th>
<th>Stack Diameter (m)</th>
<th>Vertical/Horizontal/ Capped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>1195 BHP DICE</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>229.31</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>903 BHP DICE</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>42.79</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. AAQA Report

The District modeled the impact of the proposed project on the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and/or California Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) in accordance with District Policy APR-1925 (Policy for District Rule 2201 AAQA Modeling) and EPA’s Guideline for Air Quality Modeling (Appendix W of 40 CFR Part 51). The District uses a progressive three level approach to perform AAQAs. The first level (Level 1) uses a very conservative approach. If this
analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or Significant Impact Level (SIL), the analysis proceeds to the second level (Level 2) which implements a more refined approach. For the 1-hour NO₂ standard, there is also a third level that can be implemented if the Level 2 analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or SIL.

The modeling analyses predicts the maximum air quality impacts using the appropriate emissions for each standard’s averaging period. Required model inputs for a refined AAQA include background ambient air quality data, land characteristics, meteorological inputs, a receptor grid, and source parameters including emissions. These inputs are described in the sections that follow.

Ambient air concentrations of criteria pollutants are recorded at monitoring stations throughout the San Joaquin Valley. Monitoring stations may not measure all necessary pollutants, so background data may need to be collected from multiple sources. The following stations were used for this evaluation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Measurement Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>Hanford</td>
<td>Kings</td>
<td>Hanford</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA RANCHIERIA</td>
<td>Kings</td>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>Hanford</td>
<td>Kings</td>
<td>Hanford</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>Fresno - Garland</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical Services performed modeling for directly emitted criteria pollutants with the emission rates below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Rates (lbs/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The AERMOD model was used to determine if emissions from the project would cause or contribute to an exceedance of any state of federal air quality standard. The parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2012-2016 from Lemoore (rural dispersion coefficient selected) were used for the analysis:

The following parameters were used for the review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Source Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusion

5.1 RMR
The cumulative acute and chronic indices for this facility, including this project, are below 1.0; and the cumulative cancer risk for this facility, including this project, is less than 20 in a million. In addition, the cancer risk for each unit in this project is less than 1.0 in a million. In accordance with the District’s Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

5.2 AAQA
The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

6. Attachments
A. Modeling request from the project engineer
B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
C. Prioritization score w/ toxic emissions summary
D. Facility Summary
E. AAQA results
APPENDIX E
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

\[
\text{QNEC} = \text{PE2} - \text{PE1}, \text{ where:}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{QNEC} & = \text{Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.} \\
\text{PE2} & = \text{Post-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.} \\
\text{PE1} & = \text{Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.}
\end{align*}
\]

Since these are new emission units, \( \text{QNEC} = \text{PE2} \). Using the values in Sections VII.C.2 in the evaluation above, quarterly PE2 can be calculated as follows:

\[
\text{PE2}_{\text{quarterly}} = \frac{\text{PE2}_{\text{annual}}}{4 \text{ quarters/year}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>PE2 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>QNEC (lb/qtr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>138.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>13.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-2106-193-0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>PE2 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>QNEC (lb/qtr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-2106-194-0
APPENDIX F
Compliance Certification
Mr. Errol Villegas  
Manager, Central Region  
San Joaquin Valley  
Air Pollution Control District  
1990 E. Gettysburg Ave.  
Fresno, CA 93726

Subj: COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE, 750 ENTERPRISE AVE, LEMOORE, CA 93246, SJVAPCD FACILITY ID C-2106

Dear Mr. Villegas,

In accordance with Rule 2201, 4.15, “Additional Requirements for the New Major Sources and Federal Major Modifications,” Naval Air Station (NAS) Lemoore is providing this compliance statement related to the following two proposed projects at the above referenced facility:

1. Permit two new emergency standby generators (SJVAPCD Project #1211083); and
2. Permit a new coating operation (SJVAPCD Project #1212200).

The NAS Lemoore major stationary source is owned and operated by NAS Lemoore that is subject to emissions limitations and are in compliance or on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards.

Based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate and complete.

If you have any questions, please contact Donna Ogilvie, Installation Environmental Program Director at (559) 998-4078 or by email: donna.ogilvie@navy.mil.

Sincerely,

GREGORY J. WOODS  
Commander, Civil Engineer Corps  
U.S. Navy  
Public Works Officer  
By direction of the Commanding Officer

Copy to:  
Donna Ogilvie, NAS Lemoore  
Mungi Hong, SJVAPCD Air Quality Engineer
APPENDIX G
Emissions Data Sheets
**ENGINE INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model:</th>
<th>KD27V12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nameplate kW @ 1800 RPM:</td>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>4-Cycle, 12-V Cylinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration:</td>
<td>Turbocharged, Charge Air Cooled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression ratio:</td>
<td>15:0:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission Control Device:</td>
<td>Direct Diesel Injection, Engine Control Module, Turbocharger, Charge Air Cooler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore:</td>
<td>135 mm (5.31 in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke:</td>
<td>157 mm (6.18 in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement:</td>
<td>27 L (1648 cu. in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA Family:</td>
<td>LLHAL45.0ESP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA Certificate:</td>
<td>LLHAL45.0ESP-002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXHAUST EMISSION DATA:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EPA D2 Cycle 5-mode weighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>0.07 g/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>5.63 g/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.56 g/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.06 g/kWh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TEST METHODS AND CONDITIONS**

Test Methods:
Steady-State emissions recorded per EPA CFR 40 Part 89, and ISO8178-1 during operation at rated engine speed (+/-2%) and stated constant load (+/-2%) with engine temperatures, pressures and emission rated stabilized.

Fuel Specification:
40-48 Cetane Number, 0.05 Wt. % max. Sulfur; Reference ISO8178-5, 49CFR86.1313-98 Type 2-D and ASTM D975 No. 2-D.

Reference Conditions:
25 °C (77 °F) Air Inlet Temperature, 40 °C (104 °F) Fuel Inlet Temperature, 100 kPa (29.53 in Hg) Barometric Pressure; 10.7 g/kg (75 grains H2O/lb.) of dry air Humidity (required for NOx correction); Intake Restriction set to maximum allowable limit for clean filter; Exhaust Back pressure set to maximum allowable limit.

Data was taken from a single engine test according to the test methods, fuel specification and reference conditions stated above and is subjected to instrumentation and engine-to-engine variability. Tests conducted with alternate test methods, instrumentation, fuel or reference conditions can yield different results.

Data and specifications subject to change without notice.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Engine Family</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Applicable Tier</th>
<th>Engine Combustion Cycle</th>
<th>Certification Level Steady-State Discrete Modal Test Results (g/kW-hr)</th>
<th>Engine Operation</th>
<th>Test Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>LVPXL16.1ACW</td>
<td>VPX (VPX)</td>
<td>2 = Tier 2</td>
<td>A = 4 Stroke Compression Ignition</td>
<td>NMHC</td>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>NMHC+NOx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Model Year**: 2020

**Engine Family**: LVPXL16.1ACW

**Manufacturer**: VPX (VPX)

**Applicable Tier**: 2 = Tier 2

**Engine Combustion Cycle**: A = 4 Stroke Compression Ignition

**Certification Level Steady-State Discrete Modal Test Results (g/kW-hr)**: 0.08 (NMHC), 5.4 (NOx), 5.5 (NMHC+NOx), 0.7 (CO), 0.11 (PM), 652.06 (CO2)

**Engine Operation**: C = Constant Speed

**Test Procedure**: 2 = Steady-State 5-Mode Cycle