April 21, 2022

Robert Andrade  
City of Patterson  
PO Box 667  
Patterson, CA 95363

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct  
Facility Number: N-7345  
Project Number: N-1220271

Dear Mr. Andrade:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District’s analysis of City of Patterson’s application for an Authority to Construct for a 536 bhp (Tier 2 Certified) diesel-fired internal combustion engine powering an electrical generator, at Baldwin Rd, Patterson.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project has been posted on the District’s website (www.valleyair.org). After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Matthew Robinson of Permit Services at (209) 557-6454.

Sincerely,

Brian Clements  
Director of Permit Services

BC:mr

Enclosures

cc: Courtney Graham, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email
I. Proposal

City of Patterson is proposing to reactivate a previously permitted 536 bhp Caterpillar model 3456 DITA diesel-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electrical generator. This engine was originally installed in 2008 and permitted in 2009 as N-7345-2-0 in project N-1084111; however the permit was cancelled in 2015. Permit cancellation in 2015 is attributed to miscommunication between SJVAPCD and City of Patterson; the equipment was not removed from service.

Re-permitting this equipment requires treating it as a new emissions unit for new source review, and satisfaction of current District Rules. However, pursuant to District policy, BACT requirements at the original time of installation will be applied (2nd quarter 2008). This engine will be re-permitted as permit unit N-7345-5-0.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (8/15/19)
Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (8/15/19)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1 (8/21/03)
Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines (8/19/21)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
III. Project Location

The equipment will be located at the Pressure Zone 2 Reservoir on Baldwin Rd (GPS coordinate 37°27'24.43"N, 121° 9'51.57"W) in Patterson, CA 95363.

The District has verified that the equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The emergency standby engine powers an electrical generator. Other than emergency operation, the engine may be operated up to 50 hours per year.

V. Equipment Listing

N-7345-5-0: 536 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL 3456 DITA TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR (CATERPILLAR SR4B)

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The applicant has proposed to install a Tier 2 Certified diesel-fired IC engine.

The proposed engines meet the latest Tier Certification requirements for emergency standby engines; therefore, the engine meets the latest ARB/EPA emissions standards for diesel particulate matter, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide (see Appendix C for a copy of the emissions data sheet and/or the ARB/EPA executive order).

The use of CARB certified diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SO\(_x\) emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

The PCV system reduces crankcase VOC and PM\(_{10}\) emissions by at least 90% over an uncontrolled crankcase vent.
VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

Emergency operating schedule: 24 hours/day
Non-emergency operating schedule: up to 50 hours/year
Density of diesel fuel: 7.1 lb/gal
EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F): 9,051 dscf/MMBtu
Fuel heating value: 137,000 Btu/gal
BHP to Btu/hr conversion: 2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr
Thermal efficiency of engine: commonly ≈ 35%
PM\textsubscript{10} fraction of diesel exhaust: 0.96 (CARB, 1988)
Conversion factor: 1.34 bhp/kw

B. Emission Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>CARB Executive Order U-R-001-0238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>Mass Balance Equation Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>CARB Executive Order U-R-001-0238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>CARB Executive Order U-R-001-0238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>CARB Executive Order U-R-001-0238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\frac{0.000015lb}{lb-fuel} \times \frac{7.1lb-fuel}{gallon} \times \frac{2lb-SO_2}{1lb-S} \times \frac{1gal}{137,000Btu} \times \frac{1bhp}{35bhpout} \times \frac{2.5425Btu}{bhp-hr} \times \frac{453.6g}{lb} = 0.0051 \frac{g-SO_2}{bhp-hr}
\]

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)

Since the proposed engine is a new emissions unit, PE1 is zero for each pollutant.

2. Post-Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

The daily and annual PE2 are calculated as follows:

Daily PE2 (lb-pollutant/day) = \[ EF \frac{g-pollutant}{bhp-hr} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/day) / 453.6 g/lb}\]

Annual PE2 (lb-pollutant/yr) = \[ EF \frac{g-pollutant}{bhp-hr} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/yr) / 453.6 g/lb}\]
Post-project potential emission calculations are summarized in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)</th>
<th>Rating (bhp)</th>
<th>Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)</th>
<th>Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/year)</th>
<th>Daily PE2 (lb/day)</th>
<th>Annual PE2 (lb/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATCs) or Permits to Operate (PTOs) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Potential to emit from all valid ATCs, PTOs, and ERCs are tabulated below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-7345-3-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCs which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

For this project the change in emissions for the facility is due to the installation of the new emergency standby IC engine. Thus:
5. Major Source Determination

**Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:**

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with a SSPE2 equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. For the purposes of determining major source status the following shall not be included:

- any ERCs associated with the stationary source
- Emissions from non-road IC engines (i.e. IC engines at a particular site at the facility for less than 12 months)
- Fugitive emissions, except for the specific source categories specified in 40 CFR 51.165

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Unit</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X}</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{X}</th>
<th>PM\textsubscript{10}</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-7345-5-0</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and is not becoming a Major Source as a result of this project. PM\textsubscript{2.5} is assumed to be equal to PM\textsubscript{10}; specific PM2.5 calculations are only performed if AAQA is required.

**Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:**

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(iii). Therefore the PSD Major Source threshold is 250 tpy for any regulated NSR pollutant.
As shown above, the facility is not an existing PSD major source for any regulated NSR pollutant expected to be emitted at this facility.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre Project Potential to Emit for:
- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to District Rule 2201

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Per section VII.C.5 above, this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutant addressed in this project. Thus, this project does not constitute an SB 288 major modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201 states that a Federal Major Modification is the same as a "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

Per section VII.C.5 above, this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutant. Thus, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification.
9. **Rule 2410 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination**

The project potential to emit, by itself, will not exceed any PSD major source thresholds. Therefore Rule 2410 is not applicable and no further discussion is required.

10. **Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)**

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District’s PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix E.

**VIII. Compliance**

**Rule 2201  New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule**

**A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)**

1. **BACT Applicability**

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following:

a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

As discussed in Section I, the facility is proposing to install a new emergency standby IC engine. Additionally, as determined in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project does not result in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, respectively. Therefore, BACT can only be triggered if the daily emissions exceed 2.0 lb/day for any pollutant.

The daily emissions from each new engine are compared to the BACT threshold levels in the following table:

1 Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.
### New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Daily Emissions for the new unit (lb/day)</th>
<th>BACT Threshold (lb/day)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>BACT Triggered?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen in the table, the proposed engine triggers BACT for NO\textsubscript{X} and VOC emissions.

2. **BACT Guideline**

BACT Guideline 3.1.1, which appears in Appendix B of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines.

3. **Top Down BACT Analysis**

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, “A top down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District’s NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis.”

Pursuant to the attached top down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix B of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

- **NO\textsubscript{X}**: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower
- **VOC**: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower

The facility has proposed to install 536 bhp Tier 2 certified IC engine (with a PM\textsubscript{10} emissions rate of 0.067 g/bhp-hr), and using very low sulfur diesel fuel. Therefore, BACT is satisfied for NO\textsubscript{X} and VOC emissions.
B. Offsets

1. Offset Applicability

Pursuant to Section 4.6.2 of this rule, offsets are not required for emergency IC engines. The engine in this project is an emergency IC engine; therefore, this exemption is applicable to this project.

However, even when there is an applicable exemption, the SSPE2 values are compared to the offset threshold to determine if offsets are triggered. In its PAS database, the District keeps track of facilities where offsets are triggered but an exemption applies. The SSPE2 values are compared to the offset trigger thresholds in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offset Determination (lb/year)</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>SOx</th>
<th>PM$_{10}$</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offset Thresholds</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>54,750</td>
<td>29,200</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offsets Triggered?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

a. New Major Sources, SB288 Major Modifications, and Federal Major Modifications

As shown in Sections VII.C.5, VII.C.7, and VII.C.8, this facility is not a new Major Source, not an SB 288 Major Modification, and not a Federal Major Modification, respectively. Therefore, public notice is not required under this section.

b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant

As calculated in Section VII.C.2, daily emissions for NOx is greater than 100 lb/day. Thus, public notice is required under this section.

c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 are compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.
### Offset Thresholds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>Offset Threshold</th>
<th>Public Notice Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54,750 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29,200 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>200,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As detailed above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for offset purposes.

d. **Any project with a Stationary Source Project Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant**

For this project, the proposed engine is the only emissions unit that will generate an increase in Potential to Emit. Since the proposed engine emissions are well below 20,000 lb/year for all pollutants (See Section VII.C.2), the SSIPE for this project will be below the public notice threshold.

e. **Any project which results in a Title V significant permit modification**

Since this facility does not have a Title V operating permit, this change is not a Title V significant Modification, and therefore public noticing is not required.

### 2. Public Notice Action

As demonstrated above, this project will require public noticing. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be electronically published on the District’s website prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

### D. Daily Emissions Limits

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Rule 2201 to restrict a unit’s maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on each ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:
• (4771) Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.03 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.45 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.213 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]

• (4772) Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.067 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]

• (4258) Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4702, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with District Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District’s Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix D of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NOx, CO, and SOx. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NOx, CO, or SOx.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state’s PM10 as well as federal and state PM2.5 thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM10 and PM2.5.
Rule 2410  Prevention of Significant Deterioration

As shown in Section VII.C.9 above, this project does not result in a new PSD major source or PSD major modification. No further discussion is required.

Rule 2520  Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility's potential to emit does not exceed any Major Source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a Major Source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

Rule 4001  New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR 60 Subpart III - Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement Subpart III requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

Rule 4002  National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants


The District has not been delegated the authority to implement NESHAP regulations for Area Source requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

Rule 4101  Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Rule 4102  Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:
California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 – Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

An HRA is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (Appendix D), the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an HRA was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project.

RMR Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Prioritization Score</th>
<th>Acute Hazard Index</th>
<th>Chronic Hazard Index</th>
<th>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</th>
<th>T-BACT Required</th>
<th>Special Permit Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-0</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>NA(^1)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>NA(^1)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Totals</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>NA(^1)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>NA(^1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Totals</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00E-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Acute Hazard Indices were not calculated for Units 1 since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

Discussion of T-BACT

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District’s thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District’s Risk Management Policy is expected.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification not have acute or chronic indices, or a cancer risk greater than the District’s significance levels (i.e. acute and/or chronic indices greater than 1 and a cancer risk greater than 20 in a million). As outlined by the Technical Services Memo in Appendix D of this report, the emissions increases for this project were determined to be less than significant.

The following conditions will be listed on the ATCs as a mechanism to ensure compliance with the RMR:
• {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

• {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.067 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]

• {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

Rule 4201  Particulate Matter Concentration

Rule 4201 limits particulate matter emissions from any single source operation to 0.1 g/dscf, which, as calculated below, is equivalent to a PM10 emission factor of 0.4 g-PM10/bhp-hr.

\[
0.1 \frac{\text{grain}}{\text{dscf}} \times \frac{g}{15.43 \text{grain}} \times \frac{1 \text{ Btu}_{in}}{0.35 \text{ Btu}_{out}} \times \frac{9.05 \text{ dscf}}{10^6 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{2542.5 \text{ Btu}}{1 \text{ bhp-hr}} \times \frac{0.96 g}{1 g} \times \frac{\text{PM}_{10}}{\text{PM}_{10}} = 0.4 \frac{g}{\text{PM}_{10}} \frac{\text{bhp-hr}}{
\]

The new engines have a PM10 emission factor less than 0.4 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, compliance is expected and the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

• {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

Rule 4701  Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines. Except as provided in Section 4.0, the provisions of this rule apply to any internal combustion engine, rated greater than 50 bhp, that requires a PTO.

The proposed engine is also subject to District Rule 4702, Internal Combustion Engines. Since emissions limits of District Rule 4702 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4701 requirements for emergency engines, compliance with District Rule 4702 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4701.

Rule 4702  Internal Combustion Engines

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines.
This rule applies to any internal combustion engine rated at 25 brake horsepower or greater. The proposed engine are rated at or above 25 bhp; therefore, this engine is subject to the requirements of this rule.

Pursuant to Section 4.2, except for the requirements of Sections 5.10 and 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to an “emergency standby engine” (section 3.15) or a “low-use engine” (section 3.26), provided that the engine is operated with an operating non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. The following conditions will be included in permit N-7345-5-0:

- This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

- An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]

- This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702]

Section 5.10 requires complying with the following requirements:

- Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.

- Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.

- Install and operate a non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative device.
The following conditions will be included in permit N-7345-5-0:

- This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]

- During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]

Section 6.2.3 requires that an owner claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and provided to the APCO upon request. The records shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Total hours of operation,
- The type of fuel used,
- The purpose for operating the engine,
- For emergency standby engines, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation shall be reported
- Other support documentation necessary to demonstrate claim to the exemption.

The following conditions will be included in permit N-7345-5-0:

- The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
All records shall be maintained and retained on site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Compliance is expected with this rule.

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO\(_2\)) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Volume } SO\(_2\) = \frac{(n \times R \times T)}{P}
\]

\(n = \text{moles } SO\(_2\)\)

\(T = \text{(standard temperature)} = 60 ^{\circ}F \text{ or } 520 ^{\circ}R\)

\(R = \text{(universal gas constant)} = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot ^{\circ}R}\)

\[\frac{0.000015 \text{ Sb} - S}{\text{lb - fuel}} \times \frac{7.11 \text{ lb}}{\text{gal}} \times \frac{64 \text{ lb} - SO\(_2\)}{32 \text{ lb} - S} \times \frac{1 \text{ MMBtu}}{9.051 \text{ scf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{0.137 \text{ MMBtu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ lb} - \text{mol}}{64 \text{ lb} - SO\(_2\)} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} - \text{ft}^3}{1 \text{ lb} - \text{mol} - ^{\circ}R} \times \frac{520 ^{\circ}R}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times \frac{1,000,000}{1,000} = 1.0 \text{ ppmv}\]

Since 1.0 ppmv is \(\leq 2,000\) ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATCs as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- \{4258\} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this engine is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

§93115.5 - Fuel and Fuel Additive Requirements for New and In-Use Stationary CI Engines That Have a Rated Brake Horsepower of Greater than 50 (>50 bhp)

This regulation also stipulates that as of January 1, 2006 an owner or operator of a new or in-use stationary diesel-fueled CI emergency standby engine shall fuel the engine with CARB Diesel Fuel.

Since the engine involved in this project is an in-use stationary diesel-fueled CI emergency standby engine, these fuel requirements are applicable. Therefore, the
following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be included in the permit N-7345-5-0:

- Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

§93115.6 Emergency Standby Diesel-Fueled CI Engine (>50 bhp) Operating Requirements and Emission Standards

Section (a) New Emergency Standby Diesel-Fueled CI Engine (>50 bhp) Operating Requirements and Emission Standards

This section applies to “New” or “New CI Engines”, defined by ATCM as engines installed after January 1, 2005. The proposed engine is 2004 year model that was installed in 2004. Therefore, it is not a New engine and is not subject to the requirements of this section.

Section (b) In-Use Emergency Standby Diesel-Fueled CI Engine (>50 bhp) Operating Requirements and Emission Standards

This section applies to engines that are not “New” as defined above. The proposed engine is an In-Use Emergency Standby Diesel Fueled CI Engine. This section requires:

1. Conditional use before, during, and after rotating outages. The proposed engine is limited to operation during testing and maintenance or emergency, as per District Rule 4702. The following condition will be included in the permit N-7345-5-0

   - This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

2. This section applies to equipment at or near schools. The proposed engine is not at or near a school, thus is not subject to this section.

3. This section requires compliance with emission requirements of Table 3: Summary of the Emission Standards and Operating Requirements for In-Use Stationary Emergency Standby Diesel-Fueled CI Engines >50 bhp. Table 3 is included for reference below.
The PM emission factor of the proposed engine is 0.067 g/bhp-hr. Thus, the applicable requirements to the proposed engine are:

Diesel PM: Non-Emergency Use limited to 31-50 hours per year. To ensure compliance, the following condition will be included in the permit N-7345-5-0

- (4920) This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not
exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

Other Pollutants: No increase in HC, NOx, and CO emissions above 10% from Baseline Levels, defined as the emissions required of diesel-fueled engine using CARB diesel fuel as of Jan 1, 2003. The proposed engine is Tier 2 Certified, thus compliance is expected.

§93115.10 – Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Monitoring Requirements
Pursuant to section (f), starting January 1, 2005, each owner or operator of an emergency standby diesel-fueled CI engine shall keep records and prepare a monthly summary that shall list and document the nature of use for each of the following:

a. Emergency use hours of operation;
b. Maintenance and testing hours of operation;
c. Hours of operation for emission testing;
d. Initial start-up hours; and
e. If applicable, hours of operation to comply with the testing requirements of NFPA 25
f. Hours of operation for all uses other than those specified in sections ‘a’ through ‘d’ above; and
g. If applicable, DRP (Demand Response Program) engine hours of operation, and
h. The fuel used.

The following conditions will be included in the permit N-7345-5-0:

- The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, and the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, emergency usage, etc.). For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Compliance is expected with this regulation.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its Environmental Review Guidelines (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:
• Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
• Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
• Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
• Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the project qualifies for ministerial approval under the District’s Guideline for Expedited Application Review (GEAR). Section 21080 of the Public Resources Code exempts from the application of CEQA those projects over which a public agency exercises only ministerial approval. Therefore, the District finds that this project is exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project’s potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project’s potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent’s ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

As described above, the project requires only ministerial approval, and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. As such, an Indemnification Agreement or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

IX. Recommendation

Compliance with all applicable rules and regulations is expected. Pending a successful NSR public noticing period, issue Authority to Construct N-7345-5-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft ATC in Appendix A.
X. Billing Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Number</th>
<th>Fee Schedule</th>
<th>Fee Description</th>
<th>Fee Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-7345-5-0</td>
<td>3020-10-D</td>
<td>536 bhp IC engine</td>
<td>$577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendixes

A. Draft ATC
B. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
C. Emissions Data Sheet and/or ARB/EPA Certification
D. RMR Final Memo and AAQA
E. QNEC Calculations and PAS Emission Profile
Appendix A
Draft ATC
San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: N-7345-5.0
ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: CITY OF PATTERSON
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 667
PATTERSON, CA 95363-0667

LOCATION: BALDWIN RD
PATTERSON, CA 95363

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
536 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL 3456 DITA (TIER 2 CERTIFIED) DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR (CATERPILLAR SR4B)

CONDITIONS

1. (98) No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
2. (15) No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
3. (14) Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/scf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
4. (1898) The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
5. (4258) Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]
6. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.03 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.45 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.213 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
7. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.067 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
8. (4261) This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-6400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2000, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be canceled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Samir Sheikh, Executive Director, APCO

Brian Clements, Director of Permit Services
N-7345-64, April 9, 2020 - NAOMI - ROB680M - Joint Inspection NT Required

Northern Regional Office • 4800 Enterprise Way • Modesto, CA 95356-8718 • (209) 557-6400 • Fax (209) 557-6475
9. (3478) During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]

10. (3807) An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

11. (3808) This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

12. This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator’s compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

13. (4920) This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

14. (3495) The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

15. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

16. (3473) All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
Appendix B
BACT Analysis
Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine

As discussed in Section I, this equipment was previously permitted in 2009 under project N-7345-108411. Permit cancellation in 2015 is attributed to miscommunication. As the equipment was installed under a valid ATC, the BACT guideline that was in effect at the time the original application was deemed complete (11/18/2008) is applicable. Guideline 3.1.3: Emergency IC Engines >400 bhp is considered below.
1. **BACT Analysis for NOₓ Emissions:**

Oxides of nitrogen (NOₓ) are generated from the high temperature combustion of the diesel fuel. A majority of the NOₓ emissions are formed from the high temperature reaction of nitrogen and oxygen in the inlet air. The rest of the NOₓ emissions are formed from the reaction of fuel-bound nitrogen with oxygen in the inlet air.

a. **Step 1 - Identify all control technologies**

   The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.3, 2nd quarter 2008, identifies achieved in practice BACT for NOₓ emissions from emergency diesel IC engines (≥ 400 bhp) as follows:

   1) Certified emissions of 6.9 g-NOₓ/bhp-hr or less

   There are no technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source.

b. **Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options**

   There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. **Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness**

   Ranking is not necessary since the applicant has proposed the achieved in practice option.

d. **Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis**

   The applicant has proposed the only control achieved in practice in the ranking list from Step 3. Therefore, per SJVUAPCD BACT policy, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. **Step 5 - Select BACT**

   BACT for NOₓ emissions from this emergency standby diesel IC engine (≥ 400 bhp) is having certified emissions of 6.9 g-NOₓ/bhp-hr or less. The applicant has proposed to install a 536 bhp emergency standby diesel IC engine with certified emissions of 6.9 g-NOₓ/bhp-hr or less. Therefore, BACT for NOₓ emissions is satisfied.
BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:

2. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) are emitted from the crankcase of the engine as a result of piston ring blow-by.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.3, 2\textsuperscript{nd} quarter 2008, identifies achieved in practice BACT for VOC emissions from emergency diesel IC engines (≥ 400 bhp) as follows:

1) Positive crankcase ventilation (or 90\% efficient control device)

There are no technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

Ranking is not necessary since the applicant has proposed the achieved in practice option.

d. Step 4 - Cost effectiveness analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control achieved in practice in the ranking list from Step 3. Therefore, per SJVUAPCD BACT policy, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for VOC emissions from this emergency standby diesel IC engine (≥ 400 bhp) is having positive crankcase ventilation (or 90\% efficient control device). The applicant has proposed to install a 536 bhp emergency standby diesel IC engine with a positive crankcase ventilation system. Therefore, BACT for VOC emissions is satisfied.
Appendix C
ARB/EPA Emission Certification Data
Pursuant to the authority vested in the Air Resources Board by Sections 43013, 43018, 43101, 43102, 43104 and 43105 of the Health and Safety Code; and

Pursuant to the authority vested in the undersigned by Sections 35515 and 35516 of the Health and Safety Code and Executive Order G-02-003;

IT IS ORDERED AND RESOLVED: That the following compression-ignition engine and emission control system produced by the manufacturer are certified as described below for use in off-road equipment. Production engines shall be in all material respects the same as those for which certification is granted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL YEAR</th>
<th>ENGINE FAMILY</th>
<th>DISPLACEMENT (liters)</th>
<th>FUEL TYPE</th>
<th>USEFUL LIFE (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4CPXL15.8ESK</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPECIAL FEATURES & EMISSION CONTROL SYSTEMS

Direct Diesel Injection, Turbocharger, Charge Air Cooler and Engine Control Module

TYPICAL EQUIPMENT APPLICATION

Loader, Tractor and industrial Equipment

The engine models and codes are attached.

The following are the exhaust certification standards (STD) or family emission limit(s) (FEL) as applicable, and certification levels (CERT) for hydrocarbon (HC), oxides of nitrogen (NOx), non-methane hydrocarbon plus oxides of nitrogen (NMHC+NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and particulate matter (PM) in grams per kilowatt-hour (g/kw-hr), and the opacity-of-smoke certification standards and certification levels in percent (%) during acceleration (Accel), lugging (Lug), and the peak value from either mode (Peak) for this engine family (Title 13, California Code of Regulations, (13 CCR) Section 2423):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATED POWER CLASS</th>
<th>EMISSION STANDARD CATEGORY</th>
<th>EXHAUST (g/kw-hr)</th>
<th>OPACITY (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>225&lt;kw&lt;560</td>
<td>Tier 2 STD</td>
<td>HC: N/A NOx: N/A</td>
<td>ACCEL: 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A NMHC+NOx: N/A CO: N/A PM: 0.20</td>
<td>LUG: 15</td>
<td>PEAK: 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEL</td>
<td>N/A: N/A</td>
<td>N/A: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A: 0.16</td>
<td>N/A: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.7: 0.09</td>
<td>6: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CERT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That the family emission limit(s) (FEL) is an emission level declared by the manufacturer for use in any averaging, banking and trading program and in lieu of an emission standard for certification. It serves as the applicable emission standard for determining compliance of any engine within this engine family under 13 CCR Sections 2423 and 2427.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That for the listed engine models, the manufacturer has submitted the information and materials to demonstrate certification compliance with 13 CCR Section 2424 (emission control labels), and 13 CCR Sections 2425 and 2426 (emission control system warranty).

Engines certified under this Executive Order must conform to all applicable California emission regulations.

This Executive Order is only granted to the engine family and model-year listed above. Engines in this family that are produced for any other model-year are not covered by this Executive Order.

Executed at El Monte, California on this 15th day of December 2003.

Allen Lyons, Chief
Mobile Source Operations Division
Appendix D
RMR Final Memo and AAQA
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Risk Management Review and Ambient Air Quality Analysis

To: Matthew J Robinson – Permit Services
From: Michael Scott – Technical Services
Date: March 28, 2022
Facility Name: CITY OF PATTERTON
Location: BALDWIN RD, PATTERTON
Application #(s): N-7345-5-0
Project #: N-1220271

Summary

RMR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Prioritization Score</th>
<th>Acute Hazard Index</th>
<th>Chronic Hazard Index</th>
<th>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</th>
<th>T-BACT Required</th>
<th>Special Permit Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Totals</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Totals</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00E-07</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
2. The project passed with a prioritization score less than 1; therefore, no further analysis was required.

AAQA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Air Quality Standard (State/Federal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Results were taken from the attached AAQA Report.
2. The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour, and 24-hour) standards is not required.
3. The criteria pollutants are below EPA’s level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2) unless otherwise noted below.
4. Modeled PM10 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 1 µg/m³ for the annual concentration.
5. Modeled PM2.5 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 0.2 µg/m³ for the annual concentration.
To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

**Unit # 5**

1. The PM$_{10}$ emissions rate shall not exceed 0.067 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.

2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.

3. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year.

**Project Description**

Technical Services received a request on March 16, 2022 to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for the following:

**Unit -5-0: 536 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL 3456 DITA (TIER 2 CERTIFIED) DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINEPOWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR (CATERPILLAR SR4B)**

**RMR Report**

**Analysis**

The District performed an analysis pursuant to the District’s Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, May 28, 2015) to determine the possible cancer and non-cancer health impact to the nearest resident or worksite. This policy requires that an assessment be performed on a unit by unit basis, project basis, and on a facility-wide basis. If a preliminary prioritization analysis demonstrates that:

- A unit’s prioritization score is less than the District’s significance threshold and;
- The project’s prioritization score is less than the District’s significance threshold and;
- The facility’s total prioritization score is less than the District’s significance threshold

Then, generally no further analysis is required.

The District’s significant prioritization score threshold is defined as being equal to or greater than 1.0. If a preliminary analysis demonstrates that either the unit(s) or the project’s or the facility’s total prioritization score is greater than the District threshold, a screening or a refined assessment is required.

If a refined assessment is greater than one in a million but less than 20 in one million for carcinogenic impacts (Cancer Risk) and less than 1.0 for the Acute and Chronic hazard indices (Non-Cancer) on a unit by unit basis, project basis and on a facility-wide basis the proposed application is considered less than significant. For unit’s that exceed a cancer risk of 1 in one million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (TBACT) must be implemented.

Toxic emissions for this project were calculated using the following methods:

Toxic emissions for the proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer. These emissions were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD’s Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). In accordance with the District’s Risk Management Policy, risks from the proposed unit’s toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 2016 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines. The prioritization score for this proposed unit was less than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, no further analysis was necessary.

The following parameters were used for the review:
### Source Process Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit ID</th>
<th>Process ID</th>
<th>Process Material</th>
<th>Process Units</th>
<th>Hourly Process Rate</th>
<th>Annual Process Rate</th>
<th>Receptor Distance (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>LBS</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AAQA Report

The District modeled the impact of the proposed project on the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and/or California Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) in accordance with District Policy APR-1925 (Policy for District Rule 2201 AAQA Modeling) and EPA’s Guideline for Air Quality Modeling (Appendix W of 40 CFR Part 51). The District uses a progressive three level approach to perform AAQAs. The first level (Level 1) uses a very conservative approach. If this analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or Significant Impact Level (SIL), the analysis proceeds to the second level (Level 2) which implements a more refined approach. For the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> standard, there is also a third level that can be implemented if the Level 2 analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or SIL.

The modeling analyses predicts the maximum air quality impacts using the appropriate emissions for each standard’s averaging period. Required model inputs for a refined AAQA include background ambient air quality data, land characteristics, meteorological inputs, a receptor grid, and source parameters including emissions. These inputs are described in the sections that follow.

Ambient air concentrations of criteria pollutants are recorded at monitoring stations throughout the San Joaquin Valley. Monitoring stations may not measure all necessary pollutants, so background data may need to be collected from multiple sources. The following stations were used for this evaluation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Stations</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Measurement Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;x&gt;</td>
<td>TRACY AIRPORT</td>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>Tracy</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>TRACY AIRPORT</td>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>Tracy</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>HAZELTON-HD, STOCKTON</td>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>Stockton</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;x&gt;</td>
<td>Fresno - Garland</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical Services performed modeling for directly emitted criteria pollutants with the emission rates below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Rates (lbs/year)</th>
<th>Unit ID</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>NO&lt;x&gt;</th>
<th>SO&lt;x&gt;</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The AERMOD model was used to determine if emissions from the project would cause or contribute to an exceedance of any state of federal air quality standard. The parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2004-2008 from Tracy (rural dispersion coefficient selected) were used for the analysis:

The following parameters were used for the review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Source Parameters</th>
<th>Unit ID</th>
<th>Unit Description</th>
<th>Release Height (m)</th>
<th>Temp. (°K)</th>
<th>Exit Velocity (m/sec)</th>
<th>Stack Diameter (m)</th>
<th>Vertical/Horizontal/Capped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>536 BHP Emergency DICE</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>77.56</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

**RMR**
The cumulative prioritization score for the facility, including this project, is less than 1.0. *In accordance with the District’s Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).*
To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.
These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

**AAQA**
The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

**Attachments**
A. Modeling request from the project engineer
B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
C. Prioritization score w/ toxic emissions summary
D. Facility Summary
E. AAQA results
Appendix E
QNEC Calculations
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District’s PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

\[ \text{QNEC} = \text{PE2} - \text{PE1}, \]

where:

- \( \text{QNEC} \) = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- \( \text{PE2} \) = Post-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- \( \text{PE1} \) = Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

Since this is a new unit, \( \text{PE1} = 0 \) for all pollutants. Thus, \( \text{QNEC} = \text{PE2} \) (lb/qtr).

Using the \( \text{PE2} \) (lb/yr) values calculated in Section VII.C.2, Quarterly \( \text{PE2} \) is calculated as follows:

\[ \text{PE2}_{\text{quarterly}} = \frac{\text{PE2} \text{ (lb/yr)}}{4 \text{ quarters/year}} = \text{QNEC} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>PE2 Total (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>59.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>