

October 5, 2022

Elizabeth Mendez
Southwest Traders, Inc.
27565 Diaz Rd
Temecula, CA 92590

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct
Facility Number: N-9411
Project Number: N-1223319

Dear Ms. Mendez:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Southwest Traders, Inc.'s application for an Authority to Construct for an 835 horsepower Tier 2 certified diesel engine to provide emergency power in the event of an electrical outage, at 4747 Frontier Way in Stockton, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project has been posted on the District's website (www.valleyair.org). After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Kevin Perez of Permit Services at (209) 557-6458.

Sincerely,



Brian Clements
Director of Permit Services

BC:kp

Enclosures

cc: Courtney Graham, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email

Samir Sheikh
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-8000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: (661) 392-5500 FAX: (661) 392-5585

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

Authority to Construct

Application Review

Diesel-Fired Emergency Standby IC Engine

Facility Name: Southwest Traders, Inc. Date: October 5, 2022
Mailing Address: 27565 Diaz Rd Engineer: Kevin Perez
Temecula, CA 92590 Lead Engineer: James Harader
Contact Person: Elizabeth Mendez
Telephone: (209) 518-1262
E-mail: lizm@southwesttraders.com
Application #: N-9411-2-0
Project #: N-1223319
Deemed Complete: July 19, 2022

I. Proposal

Southwest Traders, Inc. has submitted an Authority to Construct (ATC) application for an 835 BHP (intermittent) diesel-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electrical generator. The facility received an ATC for a 762 bhp diesel-fired emergency IC engine but installed the 835 bhp engine instead. ATC N-9411-1-0 shall be cancelled upon implementation of the ATC under this project. The following condition will be included on ATC N-9411-2-0:

- Authority to Construct N-9411-1-0 shall be cancelled upon implementation of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

The 835 BHP engine was installed on February 27, 2018. Pursuant to District Practice, if the installed engine meets the BACT requirements at the time of installation (February 2018) then the current BACT analysis will be limited to the types of controls that can be applied to the specific equipment that was installed.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (8/15/19)
Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (8/15/19)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1 (8/21/03)
Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines (8/19/21)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice
Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary
Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387:
CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location

The equipment will be located at 4747 Frontier Way in Stockton, CA.

The District has verified that the equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The emergency standby engine powers an electrical generator. Other than emergency standby operation, the engine may be operated up to 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

V. Equipment Listing

N-9411-2-0: 835 BHP (INTERMITTENT) PERKINS MODEL 2506C-E15TAG4 TIER
2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE
POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The applicant has proposed to install a Tier 2 certified diesel-fired IC engine that is fired on very low-sulfur diesel fuel.

The proposed engine meets the Tier 2 Certification requirements for emergency standby engines; therefore, the engine meets the Tier 2 EPA emissions standards for diesel particulate matter, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide.

The use of CARB certified diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SO_x emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

Emergency operating schedule:	24 hours/day
Non-emergency operating schedule:	50 hours/year
Density of diesel fuel:	7.1 lb/gal
EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F):	9,051 dscf/MMBtu
Fuel heating value:	137,000 Btu/gal
BHP to Btu/hr conversion:	2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr
Thermal efficiency of engine:	commonly ≈ 35%
PM ₁₀ fraction of diesel exhaust:	0.96 (CARB, 1988)
Conversion factor:	1.34 bhp/kw

The engine has certified NO_x + VOC emissions of 4.77 g/bhp-hr. It will be assumed the NO_x + VOC emission factor is split 95% NO_x and 5% VOC (per the Carl Moyer program).

B. Emission Factors

Emission Factors			
Pollutant	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Emission Factor (g/kw-hr)	Source
NO _x	4.54	6.08	Tier 2 Standards
SO _x	0.0051	0.0068	Mass Balance Equation Below
PM ₁₀	0.15	0.2	Tier 2 Standards
CO	2.61	3.5	Tier 2 Standards
VOC	0.24	0.32	Tier 2 Standards

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb-S}}{\text{lb-fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb-fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \times \frac{2 \text{ lb-SO}_2}{1 \text{ lb-S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{137,000 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ bhp input}}{0.35 \text{ bhp out}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{\text{bhp-hr}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{\text{lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{\text{g-SO}_x}{\text{bhp-hr}}$$

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0.

2. Post-Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

The daily and annual PE2 are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Daily PE2 (lb-pollutant/day)} = \text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \\ \times \text{operation (hr/day)} / 453.6 \text{ g/lb}$$

$$\text{Annual PE2 (lb-pollutant/yr)} = \text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/yr)} / 453.6 \text{ g/lb}$$

Post Project Emissions (PE2)						
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/year)	Daily PE2 (lb/day)	Annual PE2 (lb/yr)
NO _x	4.54	835	24	50	200.6	418
SO _x	0.0051	835	24	50	0.2	0
PM ₁₀	0.15	835	24	50	6.6	14
CO	2.61	835	24	50	115.3	240
VOC	0.24	835	24	50	10.6	22

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATCs) or Permits to Operate (PTOs) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

SSPE1 is summarized in the following table. SSPE values are taken from the engineering evaluation from project N-1172920.

SSPE1 (lb/year)					
	NO_x	SO_x	PM₁₀	CO	VOC
SSPE1	297	0	4	89	16

4. Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCs which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

For this project the change in emissions for the facility is due to the installation of the new emergency standby IC engine. Additionally, ATC 1-0 will be cancelled, since it was not implemented. Thus:

SSPE2 (lb/year)					
Permit Unit	NO _x	SO _x	PM ₁₀	CO	VOC
N-9411-1-0 (cancelled)	0	0	0	0	0
N-9411-2-0	418	0	14	240	22
SSPE2	418	0	14	240	22

5. Major Source Determination

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with a SSPE2 equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. For the purposes of determining major source status the following shall not be included:

- any ERCs associated with the stationary source
- Emissions from non-road IC engines (i.e. IC engines at a particular site at the facility for less than 12 months)
- Fugitive emissions, except for the specific source categories specified in 40 CFR 51.165

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination (lb/year)						
	NO _x	SO _x	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	CO	VOC
SSPE1	297	0	4	4	89	16
SSPE2	418	0	14	14	240	22
Major Source Threshold	20,000	140,000	140,000	140,000	200,000	20,000
Major Source?	No	No	No	No	No	No

As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and is not becoming a Major Source as a result of this project.

Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:

The facility is not an existing Major Source for PSD for at least one pollutant. Therefore the facility is not an existing Major Source for PSD.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre Project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to District Rule 2201

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Since this facility is not a major source for any of the pollutants addressed in this project, this project does not constitute an SB 288 major modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201 states that a Federal Major Modification is the same as a "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

Since this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutants, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification.

9. Rule 2410 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination

The project potential to emit, by itself, will not exceed any PSD major source thresholds. Therefore Rule 2410 is not applicable and no further discussion is required.

10. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix D.

VIII. Compliance

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following¹:

- a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

As discussed in Section I, the facility is proposing to install a new emergency standby IC engine. Additionally, as determined in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project does not result in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, respectively. Therefore, BACT can only be triggered if the daily emissions exceed 2.0 lb/day for any pollutant.

The daily emissions from the new engine are compared to the BACT threshold levels in the following table:

¹ Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability				
Pollutant	Daily Emissions for the new unit (lb/day)	BACT Threshold (lb/day)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	BACT Triggered?
NO _x	200.6	> 2.0	n/a	Yes
SO _x	0.2	> 2.0	n/a	No
PM ₁₀	6.6	> 2.0	n/a	Yes
CO	115.3	> 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr	329	No
VOC	10.6	> 2.0	n/a	Yes

As shown above, BACT will be triggered for NO_x, PM₁₀, and VOC emissions from the engine for this project.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (In effect on February 2018 when the engine was installed), which appears in Appendix B of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines.

3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, “A top down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District’s NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis.”

Pursuant to the attached top down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix B of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

- NO_x: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower
- VOC: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower
- PM₁₀: 0.15 g/bhp-hr

The facility has proposed to install a 835 bhp Tier 2 certified IC engine (with a PM₁₀ emissions rate of 0.15 g/bhp-hr), which meets BACT at the time of installation (February 2018); therefore, BACT is satisfied for NO_x, VOC, and PM₁₀.

B. Offsets

1. Offset Applicability

Pursuant to Section 4.6.2 of this rule, offsets are not required for emergency IC engines. The engine in this project is an emergency IC engine; therefore, this exemption is applicable to this project.

However, even when there is an applicable exemption, the SSPE2 values are compared to the offset threshold to determine if offsets are triggered. In its PAS database, the District keeps track of facilities where offsets are triggered but an exemption applies. The SSPE2 values are compared to the offset trigger thresholds in the following table:

Offset Determination (lb/year)					
	NOx	SOx	PM₁₀	CO	VOC
SSPE2	418	0	14	240	22
Offset Thresholds	20,000	54,750	29,200	200,000	20,000
Offsets Triggered?	No	No	No	No	No

2. Quantity of Offsets Required

As shown in the table above, no offset thresholds are exceeded with this project. Further, as previously stated, the offset exemption from Section 4.6.2 of District Rule 2201 is applicable to this project; therefore, offset calculations are not necessary and offsets are not required.

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, SB288 Major Modifications, and Federal Major Modifications

As shown in Sections VII.C.5, VII.C.7, and VII.C.8, this facility is not a new Major Source, not an SB 288 Major Modification, and not a Federal Major Modification, respectively.

- b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant

As calculated in Section VII.C.2, daily emissions for NO_x and CO are greater than 100 lb/day.

c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 are compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

Offset Thresholds				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/year)	SSPE2 (lb/year)	Offset Threshold	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	297	418	20,000 lb/year	No
SO _x	0	0	54,750 lb/year	No
PM ₁₀	18	14	29,200 lb/year	No
CO	329	240	200,000 lb/year	No
VOC	38	22	20,000 lb/year	No

As detailed above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for offset purposes.

d. Any project with a Stationary Source Project Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant

For this project, the proposed engine is the only emissions unit that will generate an increase in Potential to Emit. Since the proposed engine emissions are well below 20,000 lb/year for all pollutants (See Section VII.C.2), the SSIPE for this project will be below the public notice threshold.

e. Any project which results in a Title V significant permit modification

Since this facility does not have a Title V operating permit, this change is not a Title V significant Modification, and therefore public noticing is not required.

2. Public Notice Action

As demonstrated above, this project will require public noticing. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be electronically published on the District's website prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emissions Limits

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Rule 2201 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the

emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.54 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 2.61 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.24 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.15 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4702, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with District Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District's Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix C of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO_x, CO, and SO_x. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO_x, CO, or SO_x.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state's PM₁₀ as well as federal and state PM_{2.5} thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}.

Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration

As shown in Section VII.C.9 above, this project does not result in a new PSD major source or PSD major modification. No further discussion is required.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility's potential to emit does not exceed any Major Source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a Major Source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII - Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement Subpart IIII requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Emissions (RICE)

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement NESHAP regulations for Area Source requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 – *Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources* specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

An HRA is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (Appendix C), the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an HRA was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project.

RMR Summary			
Categories	Emergency IC Engine (Unit 2-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals
Prioritization Score	32.30	32.30	>1
Acute Hazard Index	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	0.00
Chronic Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.00
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	6.66E-07	6.66E-07	8.03E-07
T-BACT Required?	No		
Special Permit Conditions?	Yes		

Discussion of T-BACT

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District’s thresholds for

triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District's Risk Management Policy is expected.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification not have acute or chronic indices, or a cancer risk greater than the District's significance levels (i.e. acute and/or chronic indices greater than 1 and a cancer risk greater than 20 in a million). As outlined by the Technical Services Memo in Appendix C of this report, the emissions increases for this project were determined to be less than significant.

The following conditions will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance with the RMR:

- {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.15 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Rule 4201 limits particulate matter emissions from any single source operation to 0.1 g/dscf, which, as calculated below, is equivalent to a PM₁₀ emission factor of 0.4 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr.

$$0.1 \frac{\text{grain-PM}}{\text{dscf}} \times \frac{\text{g}}{15.43\text{grain}} \times \frac{1 \text{ Btu}_{in}}{0.35 \text{ Btu}_{out}} \times \frac{9,051 \text{ dscf}}{10^6 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{1 \text{ bhp-hr}} \times \frac{0.96 \text{ g-PM}_{10}}{1 \text{ g-PM}} = 0.4 \frac{\text{g-PM}_{10}}{\text{bhp-hr}}$$

The new engine has a PM₁₀ emission factor less than 0.4 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, compliance is expected and the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines. Except as provided in Section 4.0, the provisions of this rule apply to any internal combustion engine, rated greater than 50 bhp, that requires a PTO.

The proposed engine is also subject to District Rule 4702, Internal Combustion Engines. Since emissions limits of District Rule 4702 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4701 requirements for emergency engines, compliance with District Rule 4702 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4701.

Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines

Emergency standby engines are subject to District Rule 4702 requirements. Emergency standby engines are defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4702 as follows:

3.15 Emergency Standby Engine: an internal combustion engine which operates as a temporary replacement for primary mechanical or electrical power during an unscheduled outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the operator. An engine shall be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used only for the following purposes: (1) periodic maintenance, periodic readiness testing, or readiness testing during and after repair work; (2) unscheduled outages, or to supply power while maintenance is performed or repairs are made to the primary power supply; and (3) if it is limited to operate 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes. An engine shall not be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used: (1) to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or (2) to produce power for the utility electrical distribution system, or (3) in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract.

Emergency standby engines cannot be used to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or to produce power for the electrical distribution system, or in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract. The following conditions will be included on the permit:

- {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

The 100 hour requirement is less stringent than the Air Toxic Control Measure operating limitations for emergency standby engines. Therefore, compliance with the applicable Air Toxic Control Measure requirements ensures compliance with the 100 hour requirement.

Operation of emergency standby engines are limited to 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes. The Air Toxic Control Measure for Stationary Compression Ignition Engines (Stationary ATCM) limits this engine's maintenance and testing to 50 hours/year; therefore, compliance is expected. The following conditions will be included on the permit:

- {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

The following exemption in Section 4.2 of District Rule 4702 applies to emergency standby engines:

4.2 Except for the requirements of Section 5.10 and Section 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to:

4.2.1 An emergency standby engine as defined in Section 3.0 of this rule, and provided that it is operated with a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an emergency engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Pursuant to the exemption in Section 4.2, the following requirements of Section 5.9 are applicable to emergency standby engines

Section 5.10 requires the owner to:

5.10.2 Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.

5.10.3 Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.

5.10.4 Install and operate a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate or Permit-Exempt Equipment Registration condition. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier. The following condition will be included on the permit:

- {4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]

Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier. The following condition will be included on the permit:

- {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]

Install and operate a nonresettable elapsed time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable elapsed time meter, the operator may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and EPA and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate condition. The operator shall properly maintain and operate the nonresettable elapsed time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The following condition will be included on the permit:

- {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

The exemption in Rule 4702 Section 4.2 for emergency standby engines requires the engines to comply with Section 6.2.3, shown below.

6.2.3 An owner claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and provided to the APCO upon request. The records shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 6.2.3.1 Total hours of operation,*
- 6.2.3.2 The type of fuel used,*
- 6.2.3.3 The purpose for operating the engine,*
- 6.2.3.4 For emergency standby engines, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation shall be reported, and*
- 6.2.3.5 Other support documentation necessary to demonstrate claim to the exemption.*

Records of the total hours of operation, type of fuel used, purpose for operating the engine, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation, and other support documentation must be maintained. All records shall be retained for a period of at least five years, shall be readily available, and be made available to the APCO upon request. The following conditions will be included on the permit:

- {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO₂) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = (n \times R \times T) \div P$$

n = moles SO₂

T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R

$$R \text{ (universal gas constant)} = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot ^\circ\text{R}}$$

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} - \text{S}}{\text{lb} - \text{fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb}}{\text{gal}} \times \frac{64 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_2}{32 \text{ lb} - \text{S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ MMBtu}}{9,051 \text{ scf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{0.137 \text{ MMBtu}} \times \frac{\text{lb} - \text{mol}}{64 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_2} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} - \text{mol} \cdot ^\circ\text{R}} \times \frac{520^\circ\text{R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times 1,000,000 = 1.0 \text{ ppmv}$$

Since 1.0 ppmv is \leq 2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this engine is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

The purpose of this airborne toxic control measure (ATCM) is to reduce diesel particulate matter (PM) emissions from portable diesel-fueled engines having a rated brake horsepower of 50 and greater (> 50 bhp).

93115.5 Fuel Requirements:

This regulation stipulates that new diesel-fueled stationary CI engines shall use one of the following fuels:

1. CARB Diesel Fuel; or
2. an alternative diesel fuel that is:
 - a. biodiesel;
 - b. A biodiesel blend that does not meet the definition of CARB diesel Fuel;
 - c. A Fischer-Tropsch fuel;
 - d. An emulsion of water in diesel fuel; or
3. any alternative diesel fuel that is not identified in section 93115.5(a)(2) above and meets the requirements of the Verification Procedure
4. an alternative fuel; or
5. CARB diesel fuel utilizing fuel additives that have been verified through the Verification Procedure; or
6. any combination of 93115.5(a)(1) through (5) above

The engine involved with this project will be required to use CARB certified diesel fuel only. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

93115.6 Emergency Standby Diesel-Fueled CI Engine (>50 bhp) Operating Requirements and Emission Standards:

Section 93115.6(a)(1) discusses requirements for engines located on school grounds or within 500 feet of school grounds.

The District has verified that this engine is not located within 500 feet of a school.

Section 93115.6(a)(3) states that the engine must meet the emission standards outlined in Table 1 of the ATCM and that the engine may not be operated for more than 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes unless the PM emissions are ≤ 0.01 g/bhp-hr, then the engine is allowed 100 hours per year.

The applicant has proposed the use of an engine that is certified to EPA Tier 2 Certification standards for the applicable horsepower range, guaranteeing compliance with the emission standards of the ATCM. Additionally, the proposed diesel PM emissions rate is less than or equal to 0.15 g/bhp-hr. The following conditions will be included in the permit.

- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.15 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

93115.10 Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Monitoring Requirements:

Section 93115.10(d)(1) states that a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours shall be installed upon engine installation, or by no later than January 1, 2005, on all engines subject to all or part of the requirements of sections 93115.6, 93115.7, or 93115.8(a) unless the District determines on a case-by-case basis that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history.

The following condition will be included on the permit to comply with this requirement:

- {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Sections 93115.10(f)(1) and 93115.10(f)(2) state that an owner or operator of an emergency standby diesel-fueled CI engine shall maintain monthly records of the following:

- (A) emergency use hours of operation;
- (B) maintenance and testing hours of operation;
- (C) hours of operation for emission testing;
- (D) initial start-up testing hours;
- (E) hours of operation for all other uses;
- (F) and the type of fuel used.

All records shall be retained for a minimum of 36 months.

The following condition will be included on the permit to comply with this requirement:

- {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.

- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the project qualifies for ministerial approval under the District's Guideline for Expedited Application Review (GEAR). Section 21080 of the Public Resources Code exempts from the application of CEQA those projects over which a public agency exercises only ministerial approval. Therefore, the District finds that this project is exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project's potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project's potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent's ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

As described above, the project requires only ministerial approval, and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. As such, an Indemnification Agreement or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

IX. Recommendation

Pending a successful NSR public noticing period, issue Authority to Construct N-9411-2-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft ATC in Appendix A.

X. Billing Information

Billing Schedule			
Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description	Fee Amount
N-9411-2-0	3020-10-E	835 bhp IC engine	\$723

Appendices

- A. Draft ATC
- B. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
- C. RMR and AAQA
- D. QNEC Calculations

Appendix A
Draft ATC

San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT
DRAFT

PERMIT NO: N-9411-2-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: SOUTHWEST TRADERS, INC.

MAILING ADDRESS: 27565 DIAZ RD
TEMECULA, CA 92590

LOCATION: 4747 FRONTIER WAY
STOCKTON, CA 95215

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

835 BHP (INTERMITTENT) PERKINS MODEL 2506C-E15TAG4 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

CONDITIONS

1. Authority to Construct N-9411-1-0 shall be cancelled upon implementation of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]
2. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
3. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
4. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
5. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
6. {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
7. {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]
8. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.54 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 2.61 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.24 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-6400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Samir Sheikh, Executive Director / APCO

Brian Clements, Director of Permit Services

N-9411-2-0 : Oct 5 2022 9:55AM -- PEREZK : Joint Inspection NOT Required

9. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.15 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
10. {4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
11. {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
12. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
13. {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
14. {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
15. {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
16. {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
17. {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

DRAFT

Appendix B
BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

Current BACT Guideline

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.1
Last Update: 4/29/2022
Emergency Diesel-Fired IC Engine > 50 bhp Powering an Electrical Generator

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
CO	EPA Tier 4 Final certification level or equivalent for applicable horsepower range**		
NO _x	EPA Tier 4 Final certification level or equivalent for applicable horsepower range**		
PM ₁₀	EPA Tier 4 Final certification level or equivalent for applicable horsepower range**		
SOX	Very low sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less)		
VOC	EPA Tier 4 Final certification level or equivalent for applicable horsepower range**		

****The following emission levels are equivalent to the EPA Tier 4 Final certification levels:**
 50 - < 75 bhp: 3.5 g-(NO_x + VOC)/bhp-hr, 0.02 g-PM/bhp-hr, 3.7 g-CO/bhp-hr
 75 - < 175 bhp: 0.30 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 0.015 g-PM/bhp-hr, 3.7 g-CO/bhp-hr, 0.14 g-VOC/bhp-hr
 175 - = 750 bhp: 0.30 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 0.015 g-PM/bhp-hr, 2.6 g-CO/bhp-hr, 0.14 g-VOC/bhp-hr
 > 750 bhp: 0.50 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 0.02 g-PM/bhp-hr, 2.6 g-CO/bhp-hr, 0.14 g-VOC/bhp-hr

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

BACT Guideline at time of installation San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.1
Last Update: 9/10/2013
Emergency Diesel IC Engine

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
CO	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		
NOX	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		
PM10	0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)		
SOX	Very low sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less)		
VOC	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		

*Note: The certification requirements are as follows: for emergency engines $50 \leq \text{bhp} < 75$ - Tier 4 Interim; for emergency engines $75 \leq \text{bhp} < 750$ - Tier 3; for emergency engines ≥ 750 bhp - Tier 2.

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine

This equipment was installed on February 27, 2018 without an ATC. Pursuant to District practice regarding *BACT for equipment installed without an ATC*, if the equipment was installed with BACT then the current BACT analysis is limited to the types of controls that can be added to the specific equipment that was already installed (i.e. add-on controls).

Because this engine was installed on February 27, 2018, it was subject to BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (9/10/2013). BACT for the engine at the time of installation was:

NO_x: Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range

PM₁₀: 0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent

VOC: Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range

This engine is a Tier 2 certified unit. At the time this engine was installed (2/27/2018), the District had made a determination that Tier 4F certified engines were not readily available for bhp ratings greater than 750. Therefore, Tier 2 was the latest available certification standard for the proposed 835 bhp engine. The engine was therefore installed with BACT for NO_x, PM₁₀, and VOC, and the current BACT analysis will be limited to the types of controls that can be applied to the engine that has already been installed (i.e. add-on controls).

1. BACT Analysis for NO_x:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The Current BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (4/29/2022) identifies only the following option:

- *EPA Tier 4 Final certification level or equivalent for applicable horsepower range***

The Tier 4 Final emission certification level for a 835 bhp IC engine is 0.50 g/bhp-hr. The NO_x emission standard for the proposed engine is 4.54 g/bhp-hr so this engine will need to be equipped with an add-on control device to meet the Tier 4 Final certification standard. The following add-on control device has been identified as technologically feasible:

- Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR)

SCR decreases NO_x emissions by using a catalyst and the injection of a reductant such as ammonia or urea to convert NO_x into water and nitrogen. This is accomplished when the catalyst lowers the temperature of the reaction that is needed to convert NO_x into water and nitrogen. Once the engine exhaust heats up to at least 260 °C, the catalyst activates and the reductant is added into the exhaust stream. The aforementioned

chemical reaction then takes place which reduces the NOx emissions by approximately 95%.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR)

(A). Emission Reduction:

Based on the NOx potential emissions calculated in Section VII.C.2 of this evaluation and assuming a NOx control efficiency of 95% from the installation of an SCR system, the amount of NOx emissions reduction is calculated below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NOx Emission Reductions} &= \text{Annual PE}_{\text{NOx}} \times 1 \text{ tons}/2,000 \text{ lb} \times \text{Overall Control Eff.} \\ &= 418 \text{ lb/year} \times 1 \text{ tons}/2,000 \text{ lb} \times 0.95 \\ &= \mathbf{0.20 \text{ ton/year}} \end{aligned}$$

(B). Total Capital Cost Investment (TCI)

Based on ARB's 2010 article titled "Analysis of the Technical Feasibility and Costs of After-Treatment Controls on New Emergency Standby Engines"², the average capital cost of installing an SCR system on an engine is \$80/hp.

Based on the Consumer Price Index Inflation Calculator (https://www.bls.gov/data/inflation_calculator.htm), the average capital cost from 2010 is adjusted to \$108 in July 2022.

In addition, according to the ARB's article identified above, this average capital cost does not include the cost of installation, which according to the SCR manufacturers could increase capital cost by 25% to over 100%. To be conservative, the District will assume a minimum 25% SCR installation cost. Thus:

$$\text{SCR Cost} = \text{Cost/hp} \times \text{BHP rating} = \$108/\text{hp} \times 835 \text{ bhp} = \$90,180$$

$$\text{Cost of Installation} = \text{SCR Cost} \times 25\% = \$90,180 \times 0.25 = \$22,545$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total Capital Investment} &= \text{SCR Cost} + \text{Cost of Installation} = \$90,180 + \$22,545 \\ &= \mathbf{\$112,725} \end{aligned}$$

² <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/regact/2010/atcm2010/atcmappb.pdf>

Annualized Capital Costs

Annualized Capital Investment = Total Capital Investment x Amortization Factor

$$\text{Amortization Factor} = \frac{0.04(1.04)^{10}}{(1.04)^{10} - 1} = 0.123 \text{ per District policy, amortizing over 10 years at 4\%.}$$

Therefore, Annualized Capital Investment = \$112,725 x 0.123 = **\$13,898**

(C). Cost Effectiveness of SCR with 95% Control Efficiency

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cost Effectiveness} &= (\text{Annualized Capital Costs, \$/year}) \div (\text{Emission Reduction, ton-NO}_x/\text{year}) \\ &= (\$13,898/\text{year}) \div (0.20 \text{ ton-NO}_x/\text{year}) \\ &= \$69,997/\text{ton-NO}_x \end{aligned}$$

As shown above, the cost of SCR system with 95% control efficiency is \$69,997 per ton, which is greater than the District's NO_x cost effectiveness threshold of \$32,900/ton. Therefore, the NO_x control option is not cost effective and is being removed from consideration for this project.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for NO_x and VOC will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine. The applicant is proposing such a unit. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

2. BACT Analysis for PM₁₀ Emissions:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The current BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (4/29/2022) identifies only the following option:

- *EPA Tier 4 Final certification level or equivalent for applicable horsepower range***

The Tier 4 Final emission level standard is 0.02 g/bhp-hr for an 835 bhp IC engine. The PM₁₀ emission standard for the proposed engine is 0.15 g/bhp-hr so this engine will need to be equipped with an add-on control device to meet the Tier 4 Final certification standard. The following add-on control device has been identified as technologically feasible:

- Diesel Particulate Filter (DPF)

A DPF decreases PM₁₀ emissions by passing the diesel exhaust through a wall flow membrane composed of nano-porous materials that allows gases to pass through while capture solid particulates. DPFs can lower emissions from engines by 85%.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

Diesel Particulate Filter (DPF)

(A). Emission Reduction:

Based on the PM₁₀ potential emissions calculated in Section VII.C.2 of this evaluation and assuming a PM₁₀ control efficiency of 85% from the installation of a DPF, the amount of PM₁₀ emissions reduction is calculated below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PM}_{10} \text{ Emission Reductions} &= \text{Annual PE}_{\text{PM}_{10}} \times 1 \text{ tons}/2,000 \text{ lb} \times \text{Overall Control Eff.} \\ &= 14 \text{ lb/year} \times 1 \text{ tons}/2,000 \text{ lb} \times 0.85 \\ &= \mathbf{0.006 \text{ ton/year}} \end{aligned}$$

(B). Total Capital Cost Investment (TCI)

Based on ARB's 2010 article titled "Analysis of the Technical Feasibility and Costs of After-Treatment Controls on New Emergency Standby Engines"³, the cost of installing a DPF on an engine is \$39/hp which included price of installation.

Based on the Consumer Price Index Inflation Calculator (https://www.bls.gov/data/inflation_calculator.htm), the average capital cost from 2010 is adjusted to \$52 in July 2022.

$$\text{DPF Cost} = \text{Cost/hp} \times \text{BHP rating} = \$52/\text{hp} \times 835 \text{ bhp} = \$43,420$$

$$\text{Capital Investment} = \mathbf{\$43,420}$$

Annualized Capital Costs

$$\text{Annualized Capital Investment} = \text{Total Capital Investment} \times \text{Amortization Factor}$$

³ <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/regact/2010/atcm2010/atcmappb.pdf>

Amortization Factor = $\frac{0.04(1.04)^{10}}{(1.04)^{10} - 1}$ = 0.123 per District policy, amortizing over 10 years at 4%.

Therefore, Annualized Capital Investment = \$43,420 x 0.123 = **\$5,353**

(C). Cost Effectiveness of DPF with 85% Control Efficiency

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cost Effectiveness} &= (\text{Annualized Capital Costs, \$/year}) \div (\text{Emission Reduction, ton-PM}_{10}/\text{year}) \\ &= (\$5,353/\text{year}) \div (0.006 \text{ ton-PM}_{10}/\text{year}) \\ &= \$899,713/\text{ton-PM}_{10}\end{aligned}$$

As shown above, the cost of a DPF with 85% control efficiency is \$899,713 per ton, which is greater than the District's PM₁₀ cost effectiveness threshold of \$11,900/ton. Therefore, the PM₁₀ control option is not cost effective and is being removed from consideration for this project.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM₁₀ will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine. The applicant is proposing such a unit. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

3. BACT Analysis for VOC:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The current BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (4/29/2022) identifies only the following option:

- *EPA Tier 4 Final certification level or equivalent for applicable horsepower range***

The Tier 4 Final emission level standard is 0.14 g/bhp-hr for an 835 bhp IC engine. The VOC emission standard for the proposed engine is 0.24 g/bhp-hr so this engine will need to be equipped with an add-on control device to meet the Tier 4 Final certification standard. The following add-on control device has been identified as technologically feasible:

- Diesel Oxidation Catalyst (DOC)

A DOC decreases VOC emissions by using a catalytic reaction to break down unburned hydrocarbons from the diesel exhaust into less harmful pollutants. The DOC consists of a metal organic framework that catalyzes the reaction contained in a steel housing. DOCs can lower emissions from engines by 50%.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

Diesel Oxidation Catalyst (DOC)

(A). Emission Reduction:

Based on the VOC potential emissions calculated in Section VII.C.2 of this evaluation and assuming a VOC control efficiency of 50% from the installation of a DOC, the amount of VOC emissions reduction is calculated below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VOC Emission Reductions} &= \text{Annual PE}_{\text{VOC}} \times 1 \text{ tons}/2,000 \text{ lb} \times \text{Overall Control Eff.} \\ &= 22 \text{ lb/year} \times 1 \text{ tons}/2,000 \text{ lb} \times 0.50 \\ &= \mathbf{0.006 \text{ ton/year}} \end{aligned}$$

(B). Total Capital Cost Investment (TCI)

Based on ARB's 2004 PowerPoint titled "Public Hearing to Consider the Adoption of the Airborne Toxic Control Measure to Reduce Diesel Particulate Matter Emissions from Station Engines", the estimated capital cost of a DOC is \$10/hp..

Based on the Consumer Price Index Inflation Calculator (https://www.bls.gov/data/inflation_calculator.htm), the average capital cost from 2004 is adjusted to \$15 in July 2022.

$$\text{DOC Cost} = \text{Cost/hp} \times \text{BHP rating} = \$15/\text{hp} \times 835 \text{ bhp} = \$12,525$$

$$\text{Capital Investment} = \mathbf{\$12,525}$$

Annualized Capital Costs

Annualized Capital Investment = Total Capital Investment x Amortization Factor

$$\text{Amortization Factor} = \frac{0.04(1.04)^{10}}{(1.04)^{10} - 1} = 0.123 \text{ per District policy, amortizing over 10 years at 4\%}.$$

$$\text{Therefore, Annualized Capital Investment} = \$12,525 \times 0.123 = \mathbf{\$1,544}$$

(C). Cost Effectiveness of DOC with 50% Control Efficiency

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cost Effectiveness} &= (\text{Annualized Capital Costs, \$/year}) \div (\text{Emission Reduction, ton-VOC/year}) \\ &= (\$1,544/\text{year}) \div (0.006 \text{ ton-VOC/year}) \\ &= \$280,767/\text{ton-VOC}\end{aligned}$$

As shown above, the cost of a DOC with 50% control efficiency is \$280,767 per ton, which is greater than the District's VOC cost effectiveness threshold of \$23,600/ton. Therefore, the VOC control option is not cost effective and is being removed from consideration for this project.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for VOC will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine. The applicant is proposing such a unit. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

Appendix C
Technical Services Memo and AAQA

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

Risk Management Review and Ambient Air Quality Analysis

To: Kevin Perez – Permit Services
 From: Brant A Botill – Technical Services
 Date: August 16, 2022
 Facility Name: SOUTHWEST TRADERS, INC.
 Location: 4747 FRONTIER WAY, STOCKTON
 Application #(s): N-9411-2-0
 Project #: N-1223319

1. Summary

1.1 Risk Management Review (RMR)

Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required	Special Permit Requirements
2-0	32.30	N/A ¹	0.00	6.66E-07	No	Yes
Project Totals	32.30	N/A ¹	0.00	6.66E-07		
Facility Totals	>1	0.00	0.00	8.03E-07		

Notes:

- Acute and chronic hazard indices were not calculated for Unit 2 since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

1.2 Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

Pollutant	Air Quality Standard (State/Federal)				
	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	N/A ²		N/A ²		
NO_x	N/A ²				Pass
SO_x	N/A ²	N/A ²		N/A ²	Pass
PM10				N/A ²	Pass ⁴
PM2.5				N/A ²	Pass ⁵
Ozone	N/A ²		N/A ²		

Notes:

- Results were taken from the attached AAQA Report.
- The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour and 24-hour) standards is not required.
- The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b) (2) unless otherwise noted.
- Modeled PM10 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 1 µg/m³ for the annual concentration.
- Modeled PM2.5 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 0.2 µg/m³ for the annual concentration.

1.3 Proposed Permit Requirements

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

Unit # 2-0

1. The PM₁₀ emissions rate shall not exceed 0.15 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.
2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
3. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year.

2. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on July 26, 2022 to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for the following:

- Unit -2-0: 835 BHP (INTERMITTENT) PERKINS MODEL 2506C-E15TAG4 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

3. RMR Report

3.1 Analysis

The District performed an analysis pursuant to the District's Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, May 28, 2015) to determine the possible cancer and non-cancer health impact to the nearest resident or worksite. This policy requires that an assessment be performed on a unit by unit basis, project basis, and on a facility-wide basis. If a preliminary prioritization analysis demonstrates that:

- A unit's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The project's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The facility's total prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold

Then, generally no further analysis is required.

The District's significant prioritization score threshold is defined as being equal to or greater than 1.0. If a preliminary analysis demonstrates that either the units', the project's or the facility's total prioritization score is greater than the District threshold, a screening or a refined assessment is required.

If a refined assessment is greater than one in a million but less than 20 in a million for carcinogenic impacts (cancer risk) and less than 1.0 for the acute and chronic hazard indices (non-carcinogenic) on a unit by unit basis, project basis and on a facility-wide basis the proposed application is considered less than significant. For units that exceed a cancer risk of one in a million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (TBACT) must be implemented.

Toxic emissions for this project were calculated using the following methods:

- Particulate matter (PM10) emissions for the proposed diesel internal combustion engine was provided by the Permit Engineer. Per OEHHA guidance, all diesel exhaust PM10 is evaluated as diesel particulate matter (CAS# 9901)).

These emissions were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, risks from the proposed unit's toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 2016 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines. The prioritization score for this proposed facility was greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required.

The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2013-2017 from Stockton (rural dispersion coefficient selected) to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Source Process Rates					
Unit ID	Process ID	Process Material	Process Units	Hourly Process Rate	Annual Process Rate
2-0	1	Diesel PM10	Lbs	0.28	14

Point Source Parameters						
Unit ID	Unit Description	Release Height (m)	Temp. (°K)	Exit Velocity (m/sec)	Stack Diameter (m)	Vertical/Horizontal/Capped
2-0	835 BHP DICE	2.96	823	55.25	0.13	Vertical

4. AAQA Report

The District modeled the impact of the proposed project on the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and/or California Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) in accordance with District Policy APR-1925 (Policy for District Rule 2201 AAQA Modeling) and EPA's Guideline for Air Quality Modeling (Appendix W of 40 CFR Part 51). The District uses a progressive three level approach to perform AAQAs. The first level (Level 1) uses a very conservative approach. If this analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or Significant Impact Level (SIL), the analysis proceeds to the second level (Level 2) which implements a more refined approach. For the 1-hour NO₂ standard, there is also a third level that can be implemented if the Level 2 analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or SIL.

The modeling analyses predicts the maximum air quality impacts using the appropriate emissions for each standard's averaging period. Required model inputs for a refined AAQA include background ambient air quality data, land characteristics, meteorological inputs, a receptor grid, and source parameters including emissions. These inputs are described in the sections that follow.

Ambient air concentrations of criteria pollutants are recorded at monitoring stations throughout the San Joaquin Valley. Monitoring stations may not measure all necessary pollutants, so background data may need to be collected from multiple sources. The following stations were used for this evaluation:

Monitoring Stations				
Pollutant	Station Name	County	City	Measurement Year
CO	HAZELTON-HD, STOCKTON	San Joaquin	Stockton	2018
NOx	HAZELTON-HD, STOCKTON	San Joaquin	Stockton	2018
PM10	HAZELTON-HD, STOCKTON	San Joaquin	Stockton	2018
PM2.5	HAZELTON-HD, STOCKTON	San Joaquin	Stockton	2018
SOx	FRESNO - GARLAND	Fresno	Fresno	2018

Technical Services performed modeling for directly emitted criteria pollutants with the emission rates below:

Emission Rates (lbs/hour)						
Unit ID	Process	NOx	SOx	CO	PM10	PM2.5
2-0	1	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹

* The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour, and 24-hour) standards is not required.

Emission Rates (lbs/year)						
Unit ID	Process	NOx	SOx	CO	PM10	PM2.5
2-0	1	417.6	0.50	240.4	13.7	13.7

The AERMOD model was used to determine if emissions from the project would cause or contribute to an exceedance of any state of federal air quality standard. The parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2013-2017 from Stockton (rural dispersion coefficient selected) were used for the analysis:

The following parameters were used for the review:

Point Source Parameters						
Unit ID	Unit Description	Release Height (m)	Temp. (°K)	Exit Velocity (m/sec)	Stack Diameter (m)	Vertical/Horizontal/Capped
2-0	835 BHP DICE	2.96	823	55.25	0.13	Vertical

5. Conclusion

5.1 RMR

The cumulative acute and chronic indices for this facility, including this project, are below 1.0; and the cumulative cancer risk for this facility, including this project, is less than 20 in a million. In addition, the cancer risk for each unit in this project is less than 1.0 in a million. **In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).**

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

5.2 AAQA

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

6. Attachments

- A. Modeling request from the project engineer
- B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
- C. Prioritization score w/ toxic emissions summary
- D. Facility Summary
- E. AAQA results

Appendix D

QNEC Calculations

Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

$QNEC = PE2 - PE1$, where:

- QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- PE2 = Post-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- PE1 = Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

Since this is a new unit, $PE1 = 0$ for all pollutants. Thus, $QNEC = PE2$ (lb/qtr).

Using the PE2 (lb/yr) values calculated in Section VII.C.2, Quarterly PE2 is calculated as follows:

$$PE2_{quarterly} = PE2 \text{ (lb/yr)} \div 4 \text{ quarters/year} = QNEC$$

QNEC		
Pollutant	PE2 Total (lb/yr)	Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)
NO _x	418	104.5
SO _x	0	0.0
PM ₁₀	14	3.5
CO	240	60.0
VOC	22	5.5