

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary

Table of Contents

Acronyms

1.	Introduction	1-1
1.1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM	1-1
1.1.1	EPA's Standard Setting Process.....	1-2
1.1.2	Federal PM2.5 NAAQS and Implementation.....	1-3
1.2	Federal Requirements	1-6
1.3	Extensive Public Process.....	1-8
2.	Air Quality Challenges and Trends.....	2-1
2.1	PM2.5 Challenges and Trends in the San Joaquin Valley	2-1
2.1.1	Unique Climate and Geography	2-1
2.1.2	The Valley's Carrying Capacity	2-3
2.1.3	Population Growth in the San Joaquin Valley	2-4
2.2	PM2.5 Emissions Inventory Trends	2-5
2.3	PM2.5 Air Quality Trends.....	2-7
2.3.1	Air Monitoring Network.....	2-8
2.3.2	Air Quality Progress	2-9
2.3.3	Impact of Exceptional Drought-Related Weather Conditions on Valley PM2.5 Concentrations	2-11
3.	Health Impacts and Health Risk Reduction Strategy.....	3-1
3.1	PM2.5 Pollution Defined	3-1
3.1.1	Nature and Formation of PM2.5.....	3-1
3.1.2	PM2.5 Species in the Valley	3-2
3.2	Health Impacts of PM2.5.....	3-5
3.3	Health Risk Reduction Strategy	3-5
3.3.1	Background of the Health Risk Reduction Strategy	3-6

3.4	Toxicity of Chemical Species	3-10
3.5	Particle Size and Deposition	3-16
3.6	Exposure to Ultrafine Particles (PM 0.1)	3-18
3.7	Population Proximity and Intake Fraction	3-20
4.	Attainment Strategy for PM2.5	4-1
4.1	Comprehensive Existing Regulatory Control Strategy	4-2
4.1.1	District Rules Contributing to Continued PM2.5 Improvement	4-3
4.1.2	CARB Rules Contributing to Continued PM2.5 Improvement	4-9
4.2	Comprehensive Incentive-Based Strategy	4-9
4.2.1	District Incentive-Based Strategy Contributing to Continued PM2.5 Improvement.....	4-10
4.3	New District Emission Reduction Measures For Expeditious Attainment.....	4-11
4.3.1	Evaluating Control Measures for New Control Strategy Opportunities	4-13
4.3.2	New District Control Measure Commitments	4-15
4.3.3	New/Enhanced Incentive-Based Control Measure Commitments	4-22
4.3.4	Implementation of Regulatory Measures	4-24
4.4	CARB Emission Reduction Commitment for the San Joaquin Valley	4-25
4.4.1	Commitment to Act on Proposed State Measures for the Valley	4-27
4.4.2	Commitment to Achieve Aggregate Emission Reductions	4-29
4.4.3	Implementing the State Measures for the Valley	4-31
4.4.4	2016 State SIP Strategy Measures.....	4-31
4.4.5	Proposed State Measures For The Valley	4-34
5.	Demonstration of Federal Requirements for 1997 PM2.5 Standard.....	5-1
5.1	5% Plan Control Strategy Requirements	5-3
5.2	5% Plan Demonstration	5-3
5.3	Attainment Demonstration and Modeling	5-4
5.3.1	Summary of Modeling Results	5-4
5.4	Reasonable Further Progress (RFP)	5-9
5.5	Quantitative Milestones.....	5-9
5.6	Contingency Measures	5-9
5.7	Fulfillment of Serious Area Permitting Requirements	5-10
5.8	Transportation Conformity	5-10

6.	Demonstration of Federal Requirements for the 2006 PM2.5 Standard: Serious Plan and Extension Request	6-1
6.1	Demonstration of Impracticability	6-3
6.2	Compliance with the Applicable SIP	6-3
6.3	Most Stringent Measures	6-7
6.4	Attainment Demonstration and Modeling	6-8
6.4.1	Summary of Modeling Results	6-8
6.4.2	Attainment Demonstration.....	6-12
6.5	Reasonable Further Progress (RFP)	6-13
6.6	Quantitative Milestones.....	6-13
6.7	Contingency Measures	6-13
6.8	Fulfillment of Serious Area Permitting Requirements	6-13
6.9	Transportation Conformity	6-14
7.	Demonstration of Federal Requirements for the 2012 PM2.5 Standard.....	7-1
7.1	The Valley's Attainment Classification for the 2012 PM2.5 NAAQS	7-1
7.2	Federal Requirements	7-2
7.3	Best Available Control Measures (BACM)	7-3
7.4	Attainment Demonstration and Modeling	7-3
7.4.1	Summary of Modeling Results	7-4
7.4.2	Attainment Demonstration.....	7-8
7.5	Reasonable Further Progress (RFP)	7-8
7.6	Quantitative Milestones.....	7-9
7.7	Contingency Measures	7-9
7.8	Fulfillment of Serious Area Permitting Requirements	7-9
7.9	Transportation Conformity	7-10
A.	Ambient PM2.5 Data Analysis.....	A-1
A.1	PM2.5 Concentrations—Measurement and Influences.....	A-1
A.1.1	PM2.5 Monitor Types	A-2
A.1.2	Meteorological Influences on PM2.5 Concentrations	A-2
A.2	Attainment Demonstration—Design Values.....	A-6
A.3	Ambient PM2.5 Concentration Data Trends	A-20
A.3.1	Days over the 24-Hour PM2.5 Standard of 65 ug/m ³	A-20
A.3.2	PM2.5 Driven Air Quality Index Analysis.....	A-40

B.	Emissions Inventory	B-1
B.1	Emissions Inventory Tables	B-2
B.2	Emissions Inventory Summary and Methodology	B-17
C.	Stationary Source Control Measure Analyses	C-5
C.1	Rule 4103 (Open Burning)	C-15
C.2	Rule 4104 (Emissions From The Reduction Of Animal Matter)	C-31
C.3	Rule 4106 (Particulate Matter Emissions From Prescribed/Hazard Reduction Burning).....	C-35
C.4	Rule 4203 (Incineration of Combustible Refuse)	C-46
C.5	Rule 4204 (Cotton Gins)	C-50
C.6	Rule 4301 (Fuel Burning Equipment).....	C-67
C.7	Rule 4306 and 4320 (Boilers, Process Heaters, and Steam Generators Greater than 5 MMBtu/hr)	C-68
C.8	Rule 4307 (Emissions From Boilers Steam Generators And Process Heaters- 2.0 Mmbtu/Hr To 5.0 Mmbtu/Hr)	C-95
C.9	Rule 4308 (Emissions from Small Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters- 0.075 MMBtu/hr to less than 2.0 MMBtu/hr)	C-116
C.10	Rule 4309 (Emissions from Dryers, Dehydrators, and Ovens)	C-135
C.11	Rule 4311 (Emissions from Flares).....	C-143
C.12	Rule 4313 (Lime Kilns)	C-162
C.13	Rule 4352 (Solid Fuel-Fired Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters)	C-163
C.14	Rule 4354 (Emissions from Glass Melting Furnaces)	C-189
C.15	Rule 4550 (Conservation Management Practices)	C-196
C.16	Rule 4692 (Commercial Charbroiling).....	C-204
C.17	Rule 4702 (Emissions from Internal Combustion Engines).....	C-212
C.18	Rule 4703 (NOx Emissions from Stationary Gas Turbines).....	C-241
C.19	Rule 4901 (Wood Burning Fireplaces and Wood Burning Heaters).....	C-248
C.20	Rule 4902 (Residential Water Heaters)	C-283
C.21	Rule 4905 (Natural Gas-Fired, Fan-Type Central Furnaces).....	C-290
C.22	Regulation VIII (Fugitive PM10 Prohibitions)	C-294
C.23	Rule 9510 (Indirect Source Review)	C-301
C.24	Lawn and Garden Equipment Strategy	C-304
C.25	Ammonia in the San Joaquin Valley	C-311
	Rule 4570 (Confined Animal Facilities)	C-311
	Ammonia Emissions From Agricultural Fertilizer	C-339
	Rule 4565 (Biosolids, Animal Manure, And Poultry Litter Operations)	C-343

Rule 4566 (Organic Material Composting Operations).....	C-351
C.26 Emission Inventory Code (EIC) Table.....	C-359
D. Mobile Source Control Measures	1
D.1 Chapter I. Clean Air Act Requirements for Emission Control Measures	3
D.2 Chapter II. Process for Determining BACM and MSM	6
D.3 Chapter III. Step 1: Mobile Source Emissions of Direct PM2.5 and NOx	16
D.4 Chapter IV. Steps 2 and 3: Identification and Evaluation of Measures	17
D.5 Chapter V. Step 4: Adoption of Mobile Source Control Measures	97
D.6 Chapter VI. Conclusion: Findings of MSM and BACM Analysis	98
E. Incentive-Based Strategy	E-4
E.1 Overview of District's Incentive Program	E-5
E.1.1 SIP Creditability for Incentive-Based Emissions Reductions	E-5
E.1.2 Incentive Funding	E-6
E.2 Current Incentive Programs	E-8
E.2.1 Heavy Duty Trucks	E-8
E.2.2 Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Vehicles, Medium-Duty Vehicles	E-10
E.2.3 Mobile Agricultural Equipment	E-12
E.2.4 Locomotives	E-14
E.2.5 School Bus Replacement and Retrofit	E-15
E.2.6 Alternative Fuel Infrastructure.....	E-16
E.2.7 Community-Based Incentive Programs	E-17
E.2.8 Agricultural Irrigation Pump Engine Replacement Incentive Measure	E-19
E.2.9 Residential Wood Combustion	E-19
E.2.10 Commercial Charbroiling	E-20
E.3 Emission Reductions and Incentive Funding Needs for 2018 PM2.5 Plan	E-21
E.4 Proposed Incentive-based Measures	E-23
E.4.1 Enhancement of the Burn Cleaner Program.....	E-23
E.4.2 Commercial Underfired Charbroiler Incentive Program	E-24
E.4.3 Agricultural Internal Combustion Engine Incentive Program.....	E-24
E.5 Other District Incentive Program Enhancements	E-24
E.5.1 Heavy-Duty Truck Incentive Program Enhancements	E-24
E.5.2 Passenger Car, Light-, and Medium-duty Incentive Program Improvements	E-26

E.5.3 Agricultural Equipment Incentive Program Enhancements.....E-26

E.5.4 Alternative Fuel Infrastructure Program ImprovementsE-28

E.5.5 Commercial Zero Emission Lawn and Garden Equipment IncentivesE-29

F. Public Education and Technology Advancement F-1

 F.1 Technology Advancement Program..... F-1

 F.1.1 Technology Focus Areas F-1

 F.1.2... District Action to Promote the Use of Natural Gas Technology for Goods Movement..... F-2

 F.1.3 Demonstration Projects F-3

 F.2 Public Education and Participation F-5

 F.2.1 Public Education and Outreach Activities F-6

 F.2.2 Public Education and Outreach Programs F-8

 F.2.3 Public Participation F-11

G. Precursor Demonstration G-1

H. RFP, Quantitative Milestones, and Contingency H-1

 H.1 Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) H-1

 H.1.1 RFP Plan Requirements H-2

 H.1.2 Determination of RFP Years H-3

 H.1.3 RFP Milestone Requirement Targets and Attainment Demonstrations H-4

 H.1.4 RFP Calculation Methodology and Demonstration..... H-5

 H.2 Quantitative Milestones..... H-9

 H.2.1 Quantitative Milestone Requirements H-9

 H.2.2 Stationary Sources Quantitative Milestone Commitments H-11

 H.2.3 Mobile Sources Quantitative Milestone Commitments H-14

 H.3 Contingency Measures H-17

I. New Source Review and Emission Reduction Credits I-1

 I.1 Introduction..... I-1

 I.2 Pre-Baseline Emission Reduction Credits I-1

J. Modeling Emission Inventory	1
J-1 Development of PM2.5 Emissions Inventories	9
J-2 Estimation of Base Year Modeling Inventory	16
J-3 Methodology for Developing Base Case, Baseline, and Future Projected Emissions Inventories	36
J-4 Quality Assurance of Modeling Inventories	68
Bibliography	77
Appendix A: Day-of-week Redistribution Factors by Vehicle Type and County	81
Appendix B: Hour-of-day Profiles by Vehicle Type and County	82
Appendix C: Scaling Procedures after DTIM Processing	85
Appendix D: Additional Temporal Profiles	87
K. Modeling Attainment Demonstration	1
K-1 Introduction	10
K-2 Approaches	11
K-3 Meteorological Modeling	20
K-4 Emissions	39
K-5 PM2.5 Modeling	45
K-6 References	86
L. Modeling Protocol	1
L-1. Introduction	9
L-2. Description of the Conceptual Model for the Nonattainment Area	11
L-3. Selection of Modeling Periods	11
L-4. Development of Emissions Inventories	14
L-5. Models and Inputs	14
L-6. Meteorological Model Performance	27
L-7. Photochemical Model Performance	29
L-8. Attainment Demonstration	34
L-9. Procedural Requirements	48
M. Summary of Significant Comments and Responses	M-1
Attachment A San Joaquin Valley Supplement to the 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan	A-1

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	San Joaquin Valley Air Basin	2-2
Figure 2-2	Atmosphere without and with Temperature Inversion	2-3
Figure 2-3	Valley PM2.5 Winter Emissions Inventory Trend	2-6
Figure 2-4	Valley Winter NOx Emissions Inventory Trend	2-7
Figure 2-5	Valley Air Monitoring Sites	2-8
Figure 2-6	Valley 24-hour PM2.5 Design Value Trend	2-10
Figure 2-7	Valley Annual PM2.5 Design Value Trend	2-11
Figure 2-8	Drought Extent and Severity in California	2-12
Figure 2-9	Seasonal Average Stability and PM2.5 Concentrations	2-13
Figure 3-1	Visual Comparison of PM10, PM2.5, Human Hair, and Fine Beach Sand	3-1
Figure 3-2	Bakersfield PM2.5 Speciation (Average 2011 to 2013)	3-3
Figure 3-3	Fresno PM2.5 Speciation (Average 2011 to 2013)	3-3
Figure 3-4	Modesto PM2.5 Speciation (Average 2011 to 2013)	3-4
Figure 3-5	OM Source Contributions in 2025	3-4
Figure 3-6	Annual Average PM2.5 Chemical Composition	3-13
Figure 3-7	Relationships between Particle Size Distribution and Respiratory Deposition Zones	3-17
Figure 3-8	Particle Number Deposition Fraction (DF) and Total Particle Deposition of PM 0.1 at Rest and Exercise	3-18
Figure 3-9	Electron Micrograph of an Ultrafine Particle	3-19
Figure 3-10	Simplified Intake Fraction Model	3-20
Figure A-1	Drought Extent and Severity in California	A-3
Figure A-2	Seasonal Average Stability and PM2.5 Concentrations	A-5
Figure A-3	San Joaquin County 24-hr Design Value Trend	A-12
Figure A-4	San Joaquin County Annual Design Value Trend	A-12
Figure A-5	Stanislaus County 24-Hour Design Value Trend	A-13
Figure A-6	Stanislaus County Annual Design Value Trend	A-13
Figure A-7	Merced County 24-Hour Design Value Trend	A-14
Figure A-8	Merced County Annual Design Value Trend	A-14
Figure A-9	Madera County 24-Hour Design Value Trend	A-15
Figure A-10	Madera County Annual Design Value Trend	A-15
Figure A-11	Fresno County 24-Hour Design Value Trend	A-16
Figure A-12	Fresno County Annual Design Value Trend	A-16
Figure A-13	Kings County 24-Hour Design Value Trend	A-17
Figure A-14	Kings County Annual Design Value Trend	A-17
Figure A-15	Tulare County 24-Hour Design Value Trend	A-18
Figure A-16	Tulare County Annual Design Value Trend	A-18
Figure A-17	Kern County 24-Hour Design Value Trend	A-19
Figure A-18	Kern County Annual Design Value Trend	A-19
Figure A-19	San Joaquin County - Days Over the 24-hour 65 µg/m ³ Standard	A-21
Figure A-20	Stanislaus County – Days Over the 24-hour 65 µg/m ³ Standard	A-22
Figure A-21	Merced County - Days Over the 24-hour 65 µg/m ³ Standard	A-23
Figure A-22	Madera County - Days Over the 24-hour 65 µg/m ³ Standard	A-24

Figure A-23	Fresno County - Days Over the 24-hour 65 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard	A-25
Figure A-24	Kings County - Days Over the 24-hour 65 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard	A-26
Figure A-25	Tulare County - Days Over the 24-hour 65 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard	A-27
Figure A-26	Kern County - Days Over the 24-hour 65 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard.....	A-28
Figure A-27	San Joaquin County - Days Over the 24-hour 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard	A-30
Figure A-28	Stanislaus County - Days Over the 24-hour 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard.....	A-31
Figure A-29	Merced County - Days Over the 24-hour 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard	A-32
Figure A-30	Madera County – Days Over the 24-hour 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard	A-33
Figure A-31	Fresno County - Days Over the 24-hour 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard	A-34
Figure A-32	Kings County - Days Over the 24-hour 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard	A-35
Figure A-33	Tulare County - Days Over the 24-hour 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard	A-36
Figure A-34	Kern County - Days Over the 24-hour 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ Standard.....	A-37
Figure A-35	Air Quality Index (AQI) Categories	A-42
Figure A-36	Stockton-Hazelton PM2.5 AQI Trend	A-43
Figure A-37	Modesto-14 th Street PM2.5 AQI Trend	A-44
Figure A-38	Merced-M Street PM2.5 AQI Trend	A-45
Figure A-39	Madera-City PM2.5 AQI Trend	A-45
Figure A-40	Fresno-First/Garland PM2.5 AQI Trend.....	A-46
Figure A-41	Corcoran PM2.5 AQI Trend.....	A-47
Figure A-42	Visalia-Church PM2.5 AQI Trend	A-48
Figure A-43	Bakersfield-Planz PM2.5 AQI Trend.....	A-49
Figure A-44	Bakersfield-California PM2.5 AQI Trend.....	A-50
Figure A-45	County-Day AQI Frequencies during the Winter Season.....	A-51
Figure A-46	Percent AQI Days in Stockton 2000	A-52
Figure A-47	Percent AQI Days in Stockton 2017	A-52
Figure A-48	Percent AQI Days in Fresno 2000	A-53
Figure A-49	Percent AQI Days in Fresno 2017	A-53
Figure A-50	Percent AQI Days in Bakersfield-CA 2000	A-54
Figure A-51	Percent AQI Days in Bakersfield-CA 2017	A-54
Figure D-1	Process for Determining BACM and MSM	6
Figure D-2	Adopted Light-Duty Vehicle Control Programs	18
Figure D-3	Timeline for Implementation of Light-Duty Control Measures.....	31
Figure D-4	Adopted Heavy-Duty Vehicle Control Programs.....	35
Figure D-5	Timeline for Implementation of Heavy-Duty Control Measures	59
Figure D-6	Adopted Off-Road Source Control Programs	65
Figure D-7	Timeline for Implementation of Off-Road Control Measures	90
Figure D-8	Key Technology Assessment Findings.....	95
Figure H-1	Public Process of Rule Development and Implementation	H-4
Figure J-1	Spatial coverage and parameter summary of modeling domains.....	14
Figure J-2	Wilderness mask on ca-4km state domain.....	25
Figure J-3	Example of population surrogate before (a) and after (b) wilderness mask application	26
Figure J-4	New spatial surrogate of fireplaces (2017)	30
Figure J-5	Map of residential wood curtailment areas	31

Figure J-6 Block diagram for on-road processing.....	41
Figure J-7 Hot spot areas in San Joaquin Air Basin.....	60
Figure J-8 Hot spot areas for application of under-fired charbroiling PM _{2.5} reductions	64
Figure J-9 Example of a spatial plot by source category.....	69
Figure J-10 Screen capture of a SMOKE-generated QA report.....	70
Figure J-11 Screenshot of comparison of inventories report.....	74
Figure J-12 Daily variation of NO _x emissions for mobile sources for San Luis Obispo .	75
Figure K-1 WRF modeling domains (D01 36km; D02 12km; and D03 4km).....	21
Figure K-2 Meteorological observation sites in San Joaquin Valley. The numbers correspond to the sites listed in Table 8.....	24
Figure K-3 Distribution of model daily mean bias for Modesto, Fresno, Visalia, Bakersfield and SJV. Results are shown for wind speed (top), temperature (middle), and Relative Humidity (bottom).....	31
Figure K-4 Distribution of model daily mean error for Modesto, Fresno, Visalia, Bakersfield and SJV. Results are shown for wind speed (top), temperature (middle), and Relative Humidity (bottom).....	32
Figure K-5 Comparison of modeled and observed hourly wind speed (left column), 2-meter temperature (middle column), and relative humidity (right column). Results for Modesto are shown in the top row, Fresno in the middle row, and Visalia in the bottom row.....	33
Figure K-6 Comparison of modeled and observed hourly wind speed (left column), 2-meter temperature (middle column), and relative humidity (right column). Results for Bakersfield are shown in the top row and SJV in the bottom row.....	34
Figure K-7 Surface wind field at 13:00 PST January 20, 2013.....	36
Figure K-8 Surface wind field at 01:00 PST January 21, 2013.....	37
Figure K-9 Surface wind field at 08:00 PST January 21, 2013.....	38
Figure K-10 Hot spot areas for application of under-fired charbroiling and residential wood combustion (RWC) PM _{2.5} reductions (note: for RWC, the Madera hotspot encompasses the entire county and not just the city).....	42
Figure K-11 Monthly average biogenic ROG emissions for 2013.....	44
Figure K-12 CMAQ modeling domains utilized in the modeling assessment.	46
Figure K-13 Bugle plot of quarterly PM _{2.5} model performance in terms of MFB and MFE at the four CSN sites in the SJV (i.e., Bakersfield, Fresno, Modesto, and Visalia).....	53
Figure K-14 Comparison of annual PM _{2.5} model performance to other modeling studies in Simon et al. (2012). Red symbols represent performance at the four CSN sites in the SJV.....	54
Figure K-15 Spatial distribution of projected 2020 annual PM _{2.5} DVs within the SJV nonattainment area. All grid cells have DVs not greater than 15.04 µg/m ³ except for a few cells surrounding the Lemoore Naval facility.....	81
Figure K-16 Spatial distribution of projected 2020 24-hour PM _{2.5} DVs within the SJV nonattainment area. All grid cells have DVs not greater than 65.4 µg/m ³ except a few cells surrounding the Lemoore Naval facility.....	82
Figure K-17 Spatial distribution of projected 2024 24-hour PM _{2.5} DVs within the SJV nonattainment area. All grid cells have DVs not greater than 35.4 µg/m ³ except for a few cells located to the southeast of the main Fresno metropolitan area, as well as	

surrounding the Lemoore Naval facility	84
Figure K-18 Spatial distribution of projected 2025 annual PM2.5 DVs within the SJV nonattainment area. All grid cells have DVs not greater than 12.04 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ except for a few cells surrounding the Lemoore Naval facility and Visalia	85
Figure L 5-1. The three nested grids for the WRF model (D01 36km; D02 12km; and D03 4km)	16
Figure L 5-2. CMAQ modeling domains used in this SIP modeling platform. The outer domain (dashed black line) represents the extent of the California statewide domain (shown here with a 4 km horizontal resolution, but utilized in this modeling platform with a 12 km horizontal resolution). Nested higher resolution 4 km modeling domains are highlighted in green and red for the Northern/Central California and Southern California, respectively. The smaller SJV PM2.5 4 km domain (colored in blue) is nested within the Northern California 4 km domain.....	21
Figure L 5-3. Comparison of MOZART (red) simulated CO (left), ozone (center), and PAN (right) to observations (black) along the DC-8 flight track. Shown are mean (filled symbol), median (open symbols), 10th and 90th percentiles (bars) and extremes (lines). The number of data points per 1-km wide altitude bin is shown next to the graphs. Adapted from Figure 2 in Pfister et al. (2011).....	25
Figure L 8-1. Example showing how the location of the MDA8 ozone for the top ten days in the reference and future years are chosen.....	37

TABLE OF TABLES

Table 1-1	PM2.5 NAAQS and District Actions.....	1-3
Table 1-2	Statutory Requirements.....	1-6
Table 2-1	Estimated Valley and State Populations by County, 2015-2030	2-4
Table 2-2	Rainfall Totals for Select Cities Across California	2-12
Table 3-1	Summaries of PM2.5 Species	3-2
Table 4-1	District Rules Reducing PM and NOx Emissions in the Valley.....	4-4
Table 4-2	District Regulations Achieving New Emissions Reductions after 2013	4-5
Table 4-3	Emission Reductions from District Measures	4-12
Table 4-4	Regulatory Measures	4-12
Table 4-5	Incentive-Based Measures.....	4-13
Table 4-6	Incentive Funding Needed for Expeditious Attainment.....	4-24
Table 4-7:	Emission Reductions from State Measures.....	4-26
Table 4-8:	State Measures and Schedule for the San Joaquin Valley	4-28
Table 4-9:	San Joaquin Valley Expected Emission Reductions from State Measures Reductions shown in tons per day (tpd)	4-30
Table 5-1	Summary of 5% Plan Requirements	5-2
Table 5-2	Summary of Emission Reductions in Valley Demonstrating 5% Annual Reductions through Attainment (2013-2020).....	5-4
Table 5-3	Valley Model-Ready Annual Emissions for 2013 and 2020.....	5-6
Table 5-4	Projected Future Year 2020 Annual PM2.5 DVs at Each Monitor	5-8
Table 5-5	Projected Future Year 2020 24-hour PM2.5 DVs at Each Monitor.....	5-9
Table 6-1	Summary of Serious Nonattainment Area Plan Requirements.....	6-3
Table 6-2	Summary of Commitments in District 2012 PM2.5 Plan.....	6-4
Table 6-3	Valley Model-Ready Annual Emissions for 2013 and 2024.....	6-10
Table 6-4	Projected Future Year 2024 24-hour PM2.5 DVs at Each Monitor.....	6-12
Table 7-1	Summary of Moderate and Serious Nonattainment Area Plan Requirements	7-2
Table 7-2	Valley Model-Ready Annual Emissions for 2013 and 2025.....	7-5
Table 7-3	Projected Future Year 2025 Annual PM2.5 DVs at Each Monitor	7-7

Table A-1	Rainfall Totals for Select Cities Across California	A-4
Table A-2	Number of Wildfire Occurrences in California	A-6
Table A-3	General PM2.5 Design Value Calculation Methods	A-7
Table A-4	Single Year 24-hour Average PM2.5 98th Percentile Values ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	A-8
Table A-5	24-hour Average PM2.5 Design Values (Three-Year Averages, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	A-9
Table A-6	Single Year Annual Mean PM2.5 Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	A-10
Table A-7	Annual PM2.5 Design Values (Three-Year Averages, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	A-11
Table A-8	Number of Days Valley Exceeded 65 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ PM2.5 Standard	A-39
Table A-9	Number of Days Valley Exceeded 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ PM2.5 Standard	A-40
Table A-10	PM2.5 AQI Scale	A-41
Table B-1	Directly Emitted PM2.5	B-2
Table B-2	NOx	B-5
Table B-3	SOx.....	B-8
Table B-4	VOC.....	B-11
Table B-5	Ammonia.....	B-14
Table D-1:	Stringency of Control Measures Required	4
Table D-2:	BACM/BACT and MSM Requirements	7
Table D-3:	Implementation and Timing Requirements for BACM and MSM	12
Table D-4:	Direct PM2.5 Emissions from Mobile Sources in the Valley	16
Table D-5:	NOx Emissions from Mobile Sources in the Valley.....	16
Table D-6:	Summary of Most Stringent Light-Duty Control Measures.....	24
Table D-7:	Section 177 States: LD Emission Standards and ZEV Regulation	26
Table D-8:	Boutique Gasoline Fuel Programs in the U.S.	29
Table D-9:	Identification of Light-Duty Control Measures as BACM and/or MSM	33
Table D-10:	California and Federal Heavy-Duty Engine Emission Standards.....	37
Table D-11:	Summary of Most Stringent Heavy-Duty Control Measures	47
Table D-12:	Section 177 for CARB's Heavy-Duty Engine Emission Standards	52
Table D-13:	Identification of Heavy-Duty Measures as BACM and/or MSM.....	61
Table D-14:	Phase-in of Off-Road Engine Standards.....	66
Table D-15:	Summary of Most Stringent Off-Road Mobile Control Measures	78
Table D-16:	Identification of Off-Road Control Measures as BACM and/or MSM	91
Table E-1	Summary of Grant Expenditures and Results.....	E-5
Table E-2	CARB Incentive Based Emission Reduction Commitments.....	E-21
Table E-3	District Incentive Based Emission Reduction Measures	E-22
Table E-4	Incentive Funding Needed for Expeditious Attainment	E-22
Table E-5	Truck Replacement Program Incentive Schedule.....	E-25
Table H-1	Summary of Significant RFP and Quantitative Milestone Dates	H-3
Table H-2	Annual Average Emission Inventory (tpd) (see Appendix B)	H-5
Table H-3	Annual Average Emissions Reduced from Control Measure Commitments (tpd).....	H-5
Table H-4	Projected Attainment Emissions Inventory after Control Measures (tpd)...	H-5
Table H-5	Total Reductions Necessary to Reach Attainment (tpd)	H-6
Table H-6	Milestone Year Fractions Achieved in Each Milestone Year.....	H-6

Table H-7 Target Emissions Levels for RFP Milestone Years (tons per day).....	H-7
Table H-8 Demonstration of Compliance with Linear RFP Targets for 1997 NAAQS	H-8
Table H-9 Demonstration of Compliance with Stepwise RFP Targets for 2006 NAAQS	H-8
Table H-10 Demonstration of Compliance with Stepwise RFP Targets for 2012 NAAQS	H-8
Table H-11 Quantitative Milestone Dates and Deadlines	H-10
Table H-12 San Joaquin Valley Attainment Contingency Reductions	H-19
Table I-1 Estimated PM2.5 Growth, Control, and Estimated Offset Use.....	I-6
Table I-2 Estimated NOx Growth, Control, and Estimated Offset Use	I-8
Table I-3 Estimated SOx Growth, Control, and Estimated Offset Use	I-10
Table I-4 Estimated VOC Growth, Control, and Estimated Offset Use	I-12
Table I-5 List of Emission Reduction Credits PM10 and PM2.5 Precursors.....	I-14
Table J-1 Modeling domain parameters	15
Table J-2 Inventory terms for emission source types	17
Table J-3 Day of week variation factors	19
Table J-4 Daily variation factors	20
Table J-5 Spatial surrogates	23
Table J-6 List of surrogates in which the wilderness mask was applied.....	27
Table J-7 Vintage of travel demand models for link-based and traffic analysis zone ...	43
Table J-8 DTIM emission categories.....	44
Table J-9 Vehicle classification and type of adjustment	45
Table J-10 County-specific factors for 2024	49
Table J-11 County-specific factors for 2025	49
Table J-12 County-specific burn cleaner retention factors	61
Table J-13 Charbroiling reductions by area (SJVUAPCD, 2017)	64
Table J-14 NOx and PM2.5 other source emissions reductions.....	66
Table J-15 District-wide Reduction Factors by Sources	67
Table J-16 Day-of-week adjustment by vehicle class and county	81
Table J-17 Hour-of-day profiles by vehicle type and county	82
Table J-18 Day-of-week temporal profiles from the Agricultural Emissions Temporal and Spatial Allocation Tool (AgTool)	87
Table J-19 Daily temporal profiles from the Agricultural Emissions Temporal and Spatial Allocation Tool (AgTool)	89
Table K-1. Illustrates the data from each year that are utilized in the baseline DV Calculation	12
Table K-2. Average baseline DVs for each FRM monitoring site in the SJV, as well as the yearly annual DVs from 2012-2014 utilized in calculating the baseline DVs.**	13
Table K-4. Description of CMAQ model simulations used to evaluate model performance and project baseline design values to the future years	16
Table K-5. PM2.5 speciation data used for each PM2.5 design site	17
Table K-6. WRF vertical layer structure.....	22
Table K-7. WRF Physics Options.....	22

Table K-8. Meteorological monitor location and parameter(s) measured	25
Table K-9. Hourly surface wind speed, temperature and relative humidity statistics in Modesto	26
Table K-10. Hourly surface wind speed, temperature and relative humidity statistics in Fresno	27
Table K-11. Hourly surface wind speed, temperature and relative humidity statistics in Visalia.....	28
Table K-12. Hourly surface wind speed, temperature and relative humidity statistics in Bakersfield (valid RH data available from January through May only; statistics are based on the available data)	29
Table K-13. Hourly surface wind speed, temperature and relative humidity statistics in the San Joaquin Valley.....	30
Table K-14. SJV annual modeling emissions for 2013, 2020 (baseline), 2024 (attainment), and 2025 (attainment)*	40
Table K-15. Additional NOx emission reductions (tons/day) implemented in the 2024 and 2025 attainment inventories.*	41
Table K-16. Additional PM2.5 emission reductions (tons/day) implemented in the 2024 and 2025 attainment inventories.*	41
Table K-17. PM2.5 reductions from under-fired charbroiling controls in 2024 and 2025	42
Table K-18. County-specific burn cleaner retention factors for 2024 (the same retention factors were applied for 2025).....	43
Table K-19. CMAQ configuration and settings.	47
Table K-20. Quarterly PM2.5 model performance based on CSN measurement at Fresno –Garland	49
Table K-21. Quarterly PM2.5 model performance based on CSN measurement at Visalia.....	50
Table K-22. Quarterly PM2.5 model performance based on CSN measurement at Bakersfield	51
Table K-23. Quarterly PM2.5 model performance based on CSN measurement at Modesto.	52
Table K-24. Model performance for 24-hour PM2.5 concentrations measured from continuous PM2.5 monitors.....	56
Table K-25. Projected future year 2020 annual PM2.5 DVs at each monitor	58
Table K-26. Projected future year 2020 24-hour PM2.5 DVs at each monitor.....	58
Table K-27. 2020 Annual RRFs for PM2.5 components.....	59
Table K-28. 2020 24-hour RRFs for PM2.5 components	59
Table K-29. Base year Annual PM2.5 compositions.*	
Table K-30. Base year 24-hour PM2.5 standard DV compositions	60
Table K-31. Projected 2020 Annual PM2.5 compositions	61
Table K-32. Projected 2020 24-hour PM2.5 compositions	61

Table K-33. Projected future year 2024 annual PM2.5 DVs at each monitor	63
Table K-34. Projected future year 2024 24-hour PM2.5 DVs at each monitor.....	63
Table K-35. 2024 Annual RRFs for PM2.5 components.....	64
Table K-36. 2024 24-hour RRF for PM2.5 components	64
Table K-37. Projected 2024 Annual PM2.5 compositions	65
Table K-38. Projected 2024 24-hour PM2.5 compositions	65
Table K-39. Projected future year 2025 annual PM2.5 DVs at each monitor	67
Table K-40. Projected future year 2025 24-hour PM2.5 DVs at each monitor.....	67
Table K-41. 2025 Annual RRFs for PM2.5 components.....	68
Table K-42. 2025 24-hour RRFs for PM2.5 components	68
Table K-43. Projected 2025 Annual PM2.5 composition	69
Table K-44. Projected 2025 24-hour PM2.5 composition	69
Table K-45. Difference in Annual PM2.5 DVs between the 2013 baseline run and precursor emission reduction runs	73
Table K-46. Difference in 24-hour PM2.5 DVs between the 2013 baseline run and precursor emission reduction runs	74
Table K-47. Difference in Annual PM2.5 DVs between the 2020 baseline run and precursor emission reduction runs	75
Table K-48. Difference in 24-hour PM2.5 DVs between the 2020 baseline run and precursor emission reduction runs	76
Table K-49. Difference in Annual PM2.5 DVs between the 2024 baseline run and precursor emission reduction runs	77
Table K-50. Difference in 24-hour PM2.5 DVs between the 2024 baseline run and precursor emission reduction runs	78
Table L 3-1. Future attainment year by non-attainment region and NAAQS. 0.08 ppm and 0.075 ppm refer to the 1997 and 2008 8-hour ozone standards, respectively. 15 ug/m3 and 12 ug/m3 refer to the 1997 and 2012 annual PM2.5 standards, respectively. 35 ug/m3 refers to the 2006 24-hour PM2.5 standard, and 1-hr ozone refers to the revoked 1979 0.12 ppm 1-hour ozone standard.....	13
Table L 5-1. WRF vertical layer structure	17
Table L 5-2. WRF Physics Options	18
Table L 5-3. CMAQ v5.0.2 configuration and settings	22
Table L 7-1. Monitored species used in evaluating model performance	30
Table L 8-1. Illustrates the data from each year that are utilized in the Design Value calculation for that year (DV Year), and the yearly weighting of data for the weighted Design Value calculation (or DVR). “obs” refers to the observed metric (8-hr O3, 24- hour PM2.5, or annual average PM2.5)	35