Notice of Determination

To: Office of Planning & Research
    Office of Planning and Research
County: Sacramento
Address: 1400 Tenth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
P.O. Box 3044
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
Phone: (916) 322-2318
(916) 445-0813

From: San Joaquin Valley Unified
Air Pollution Control District
Address: 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave.
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Contact: David McDonough
Phone: (559) 230-6000

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public
Resources Code.

State Clearinghouse Number: (if submitted to State Clearinghouse):

Project Title: New Draft Rule 4695 (Brandy and Wine Aging)

Project Location: This rule applies to any brandy and wine aging facility located within the boundaries of the San
Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District.

Project Description: The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (District) is proposing to adopt Draft Rule
4695 (Brandy and Wine Aging). The project would satisfy a commitment in the District's 2007 Ozone Plan to develop
a control measure for volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from brandy and wine aging operations. Actual
controls have already been installed on most brandy aging operations as an alternative emission reduction measure to
comply with the requirements of Rule 4694 (Wine Fermentation and Storage Tanks). Thus, this project is expected to
impact only one existing brandy operation. This control measure could achieve additional emission reductions by
requiring VOC controls on wine aging operations.

This is to advise that the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, acting as a Lead Agency approved
the above described project and has made the following determinations regarding the above described project:

1. The project [ ] will [x] will not have a significant effect on the environment.
2. [ ] An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
   [x] A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. Mitigation measures [ ] were [x] were not made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [ ] was [x] was not adopted for this project.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [ ] was [x] was not adopted for this project.
6. Findings [ ] were [x] were not made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the District's [ ] Findings, [ ] Final EIR, or [x] Negative Declaration, and record of project approval,
is available to the General Public at: San Joaquin Valley APCD, 1990 E. Gettysburg Street, Fresno, CA

Signature (Public Agency): _____________________________
David Warner
Title: Director of Permit Services

Date: SEP 17 2009