JAN 2 2 2018

Edwin Steven
Valley Milk LLC
400 N Washington Rd
Turlock, CA 95380

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct
Facility Number: N-9149
Project Number: N-1172894

Dear Mr. Steven:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District’s analysis of Valley Milk LLC’s application for an Authority to Construct for a new milk processing line, at 400 N Washington Rd, Turlock, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Tim Bush of Permit Services at (559) 230-5913.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Arnaud Marjollet
Director of Permit Services

AM:tb

Enclosures

cc: Tung Le, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Authority to Construct Application Review
Milk Drying Operation with an Indirect Heat Transfer Process Heater

Facility Name: Valley Milk LLC
Mailing Address: 400 N Washington Rd
Turlock, CA 95380
Contact Person: Edwin Steven
Telephone: (575) 693-9238
E-Mail: esteven@valleymilkca.com
Application #: N-9149-9-0
Project #: N-1172894
Deemed Complete: September 7, 2017

Date: January 10, 2018
Engineer: Tim Bush
Lead Engineer: Jerry Sandhu

I. Proposal

Valley Milk LLC has requested an Authority to Construct (ATC) permit for the installation of a new milk drying operation with a 24.3 MMBtu/hr indirect heat transfer process heater served by a baghouse. Dried milk is sent through a mill, sifter, and storage tanks that are enclosed and vented through the proposed baghouse. This ATC permit is a replacement unit for the equipment under ATC permit N-9149-7-0. The applicant undersized the required burner in the milk dryer on ATC permit N-9149-7-0 and is now proposing a larger burner. Additionally, ATC permit N-9149-7-0 was a replacement unit for ATC permit N-9149-3-0. See Appendix F for copies of ATCs N-9149-3-0 and N-9149-7-0. Therefore, a permit condition on ATC permit N-9149-9-0 will be included to require the deletion of corresponding ATC permits N-9149-3-0 and 7-0 prior to or at the same time this replacement ATC permit is issued. The draft ATC is included in Appendix A.

- This Authority to Construct (ATC) cancels and supersedes ATCs N-9149-3-0 and N-9149-7-0. [District Rule 2201]

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (2/18/16)
Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4002 National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4202 Particulate Matter – Emission Rate (12/17/92)
Rule 4301 Fuel Burning Equipment (12/17/92)
Rule 4304 Equipment Tuning Procedure for Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters (10/19/95)
Rule 4305 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 2 (8/21/03)
Rule 4306 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3 (10/16/08)
Rule 4309 Dryers, Dehydrators, and Ovens (12/15/05)
Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr (10/16/08)
Rule 4351 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 1 (8/21/03)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387: CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location

The facility is located at 400 North Washington Road in Turlock, CA. The equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

Pasteurized and concentrated milk is pumped into two milk dryer feed tanks. From the dryer feed tanks the milk is pumped into the milk dryer where it is atomized into a fine mist and the water is rapidly evaporated by large volumes of hot air from the indirect-fired process heater. The hot air exits the milk dryer and is vented through the proposed baghouse as the milk powder falls to the bottom of the dryer into the fluid bed drying chamber. From the drying chamber the milk powder is further processed through the vibro-fluidizer where hot and cold air are used to dry, condition, and cool the milk powder to the designed temperature and moisture content. The exhaust air in the vibro-fluidizer is vented through the proposed baghouse and the milk powder is collected and dropped into a mill for particle size reduction and then into a sifter for particle sizing and separation. The properly sized milk powder is conveyed into storage tanks awaiting packaging. The mill, sifter, and storage tanks are enclosed and also vented through the proposed baghouse.

V. Equipment Listing

N-9149-9-0: MILK DRYING OPERATION CONSISTING OF A MUNTER VARIMAX NATURAL GAS INDIRECT-FIRED PROCESS HEATER EQUIPPED WITH A 24.3 MMBTU/HR ALZETA CSB243-HA ULTRA-LOW NOX BURNER AND WITH AN INTEGRATED STATIC FLUID BED DRYING CHAMBER, A VIBRO-FLUIDIZER, A MILK POWDER MILL, A MILK POWDER SIFTER, ONE 500 CUBIC FOOT START-UP MILK POWDER STORAGE SILO, AND TWO 3,900 CUBIC FOOT (EACH) MILK POWDER STORAGE SILOS ALL SERVED BY A 65,600 SCFM GEA PROCESS ENGINEERING, INC MODEL HUDSON STYLE BAGHOUSE
VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The natural gas-fired process heater will be equipped with an ultra-low NOx burner capable of achieving NOx and CO emissions of 5 ppmvd @ 3% O2 and 150 ppmvd @ 3% O2, respectively, and is fired on PUC-quality natural gas.

Ultra-Low NOx burners reduce NOx formation by producing lower flame temperatures (and longer flames) than conventional burners. Conventional burners thoroughly mix all the fuel and air in a single stage just prior to combustion, whereas low-NOx burners delay the mixing of fuel and air by introducing the fuel (or sometimes the air) in multiple stages. Generally, in the first combustion stage, the air-fuel mixture is fuel rich. In a fuel rich environment, all the oxygen will be consumed in reactions with the fuel, leaving no excess oxygen available to react with nitrogen to produce thermal NOx. In the secondary and tertiary stages, the combustion zone is maintained in a fuel-lean environment. The excess air in these stages helps to reduce the flame temperature so that the reaction between the excess oxygen with nitrogen is minimized.

The fluid bed drying chamber, vibro-fluidizer, mill, sifter, and storage silos will be enclosed and vented through the proposed baghouse for particulate matter (PM) control. The proposed baghouse will control PM_{10} emissions with an expected efficiency of at least 99.0% and is proposed to operate with a maximum emission concentration limit of 0.00675 gr/dscf.

Filtering Velocity Calculation for the GEA Process Engineering, Inc. Baghouse:
Maximum Air Flow: 65,600 cfm
Filter Area: 10,550 ft^2

Filtering Velocity = \frac{65,600 \text{ cfm}}{10,550 \text{ ft}^2} = 6.2 \text{ fpm}

The filtering velocity is below the typical values found in the Air Pollution Engineering Manual (Reference from Air Pollution Engineering Manual, Air & Waste Management Association –1992 Table 5, page 128). Additionally, the filter media, air temperature, and material filtered are other designed parameters affecting baghouse performance. The baghouse serving the milk dryer has been specifically designed by the baghouse manufacturer for this operation. Therefore, the baghouse is expected to operate within the recommended design parameters.

The baghouse serving the milk drying operation will be equipped with a pressure differential gauge. Therefore, monitoring of the baghouse pressure drop across the filters will be required. The following permit conditions will be included in the ATC and PTO to ensure proper operation of the baghouse:

- The baghouse shall be equipped with a pressure differential gauge to indicate the pressure drop across the filter media. The gauge shall be maintained in good working condition at all times and shall be located in an easily accessible location. [District Rule 2201]

- When in operation, the differential pressure of the baghouse shall not be less than 1 inch water column nor greater than 10 inches water column. [District Rule 2201]

- Differential operating pressure shall be monitored and recorded on each day that the baghouse operates. [District Rule 2201]
Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the baghouse shall be maintained on the premises. [District Rule 2201]

Records of all maintenance of the baghouse, including all change outs of filter media, shall be maintained. [District Rules 1070 and 2201]

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

- To streamline emission calculations, PM2.5 emissions are assumed to be equal to PM10 emissions. Only if needed to determine if a project is a Federal major modification for PM2.5 will specific PM2.5 emission calculations be performed.
- NOx, CO, VOC, PM$_{10}$, and SOx will be emitted from the combustion of natural gas in the process heater and PM will be emitted from the drying, milling, sifting, conveying, and storage of the produced milk powder.
- The indirect process heater will be fired on PUC-regulated natural gas.
- Natural gas heating value of 1,000 Btu/scf (District Practice).
- F-Factor for Natural Gas of 8,578 dscf/MMBtu corrected to 60°F (40 CFR 60, Appendix B).
- 100% of the particulate matter emitted from the baghouse will be PM$_{10}$.
- The baghouse will control 99% of the PM$_{10}$ emissions.
- Maximum Daily Milk Powder Production Rate is 125.0 tons/day (Per applicant).

B. Emission Factors

For the proposed process heater, the emission factor (EF) for the combustion of natural gas for NOx, CO, and VOC emissions will be based on the applicant’s proposed emission rates. The EF for PM$_{10}$ when burning PUC quality natural gas is based on the emission factor from similar units per District practices. The EF for SOx, when burning natural gas is based on mass balance with 1.0 gr-S/100 ft$^3$ per District Policy APR 1720.

F Factor for Natural Gas: 8,578 scf/MMBtu
Molar Specific Volume of Gas: 379.5 ft$^3$/lb-mole
Molecular Weight for NOx: 46 lb/lb-mole
Molecular Weight for CO: 28 lb/lb-mole
Molecular Weight for VOC: 16 lb/lb-mole

\[
\text{PE}_2_{NOx, CO, & VOC} = \text{Heat Input (MMBtu/day, MMBtu/yr)}
\times \text{Emission Concentration} \times 10^{-6} \text{ (ppmv)}
\times \text{Molecular Weight (lb/lb-mole)} \times 8,578 \text{ scf/MMBtu}
\times \frac{1 \text{ lb-mole}}{379.5 \text{ ft}^3} \times \frac{20.95}{(20.95 - \text{O}_2 \text{%)}}
\]
The EFs are summarized in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>EF\textsubscript{Process Heater}</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>5.0 ppmvd @ 3% \textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} (0.0061 lb/MBBtu)</td>
<td>Applicant's Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>0.00285 lb/MBBtu</td>
<td>District Policy APR-1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0.003 lb/MBBtu</td>
<td>District Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>150 ppmvd @ 3% \textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} (0.1108 lb/MBBtu)</td>
<td>Applicant's Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>13 ppmvd @ 3% \textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} (as CH\textsubscript{4}) (0.0055 lb/MBBtu)</td>
<td>Applicant's Proposal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the proposed baghouse serving the milk powder drying, milling, sifting, conveying, and storage equipment, the applicant is proposing to utilize a PM\textsubscript{10} emission concentration limit of 0.00675 gr/dscf and the corresponding baghouse blower exhaust flow rate of 65,600 scfm.

\[ \text{EF}_{\text{PM10/Baghouse}} = 0.00675 \text{ gr/dscf} \]

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

2. Post Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

Emissions due to the combustion of natural gas from the Process Heater:

Emissions from the combustion of natural gas in the proposed process heater is based on the worst-case of operating 24 hours/day and 8,760 hours/year at a heat input rate of 24.3 MMBtu/hr. Therefore:

The PE2 for each pollutant is calculated with the following equation:

\[ \text{PE2} = \text{EF} \times \text{Heat Input} \times \text{Operating Schedule} \times \text{Op. Sched. (hr/day or hr/year)} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>( \text{EF}_2 ) (lb/MMBtu)</th>
<th>Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)</th>
<th>Operating Schedule (hr/day)</th>
<th>Daily PE2 (lb/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>0.00285</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.1108</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant</td>
<td>$\text{EF}_2$ (lb/MMBtu)</td>
<td>Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)</td>
<td>Operating Schedule (hr/year)</td>
<td>Annual PE2 (lb/year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>1,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0.00285</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.1108</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>23,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>1,171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PM$_{10}$ Emissions from Dry Material Handling:**

PM$_{10}$ emissions from the dry material handling will be controlled by the proposed baghouse based on a baghouse emission concentration of 0.00675 gr/dscf and exhaust flow rate of 65,600 scfm operating at 1,440 min/day (24 hr/day). The facility is also proposing to limit the facility-wide PM$_{10}$ emissions to not exceed 29,000 lb/year. The PM$_{10}$ emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{PE}_2^{\text{PM}_{10}/\text{Dry Material Handling}} = \text{Operating Time (min/day or min/year)} \times \text{EF}_{\text{PM}_{10}/\text{Baghouse}} \times 65,600 \text{ scfm} \times 1 \text{ lb/7,000 gr}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission Factor (EF$_{\text{Dry Material Handling}}$)</th>
<th>Daily PE2 (lb/day)</th>
<th>Annual PE2 (lb/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.00675 gr/dscf</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>29,000 (SLC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Daily and Annual PE2:**

The total daily and annual emissions are the combined total from the combustion of natural gas in the process heater and emissions from the equipment served by the proposed baghouse. Therefore:

Daily $\text{PE}_{\text{Total}} = \text{Daily PE}_{\text{Process heater}} + \text{Daily PE}_{\text{PM}_{10}/\text{Dry Material Handling}}$

Annual $\text{PE}_{\text{Total}} = \text{Annual PE}_{\text{Process heater}} + \text{Annual PE}_{\text{PM}_{10}/\text{Dry Material Handling}}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>PE$_{\text{Natural Gas}}$ (lb/day)</th>
<th>PE$_{\text{Dry Material Handling}}$ (lb/day)</th>
<th>PE$_{\text{Total}}$ (lb/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>92.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>PE$_{\text{Natural Gas}}$ (lb/year)</th>
<th>PE$_{\text{Dry Material Handling}}$ (lb/year)</th>
<th>PE$_{\text{Total}}$ (lb/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>1,298</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>29,000 (SLC)</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>29,000 (SLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>23,586</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>23,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>1,171</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1,171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATC) or Permits to Operate (PTO) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>SOx</th>
<th>PM₁₀</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-1-0 (ATC Permit)²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-2-0 (ATC Permit)²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-3-0 (ATC Permit)²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-4-0 (ATC Permit)²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-5-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>1,747</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>29,000 (³)</td>
<td>10,632</td>
<td>1,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-6-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>1,747</td>
<td>820</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,632</td>
<td>1,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-7-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>1,052</td>
<td>494</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,218</td>
<td>952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-8-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,546</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,134</strong></td>
<td><strong>29,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>40,482</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,112</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE2 is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCs which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>SOx</th>
<th>PM₁₀</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-1-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-2-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-4-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-5-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>1,747</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>29,000 (⁴)</td>
<td>10,632</td>
<td>1,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-6-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>1,747</td>
<td>820</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,632</td>
<td>1,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-8-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-9-0 (ATC Permit)</td>
<td>1,298</td>
<td>607</td>
<td></td>
<td>23,586</td>
<td>1,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,792</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,247</strong></td>
<td><strong>29,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>44,850</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,331</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Unless otherwise noted, the annual PE for this facility was obtained from project #N-1163349.
² These permit units will be deleted prior to or at the same time ATC permits N-9149-5-0 thru ²-8-0 are implemented; therefore, the emissions from this unit will be set equal to zero.
³ ATC Permits N-9149-5-0 thru ²-8-0 will be limited by a facility-wide PM₁₀ emissions limit (SLC) of 29,000 lb/year.
⁴ ATC Permits N-9149-5-0 thru ²-9-0 will be limited by a facility-wide PM₁₀ emissions limit (SLC) of 29,000 lb/year.
5. Major Source Determination

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with a SSPE2 equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. For the purposes of determining major source status the following shall not be included:

- any ERCs associated with the stationary source
- Emissions from non-road IC engines (i.e. IC engines at a particular site at the facility for less than 12 months)
- Fugitive emissions, except for the specific source categories specified in 40 CFR 51.165

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule 2201 Major Source Determination (lb/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Source Threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Source?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: PM2.5 assumed to be equal to PM10

As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and is not becoming a Major Source as a result of this project.

Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(iii). Therefore the PSD Major Source threshold is 250 tpy for any regulated NSR pollutant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSD Major Source Determination (tons/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Facility PE before Project Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Major Source Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Major Source ? (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown above, the facility is not an existing PSD major source for any regulated NSR pollutant expected to be emitted at this facility.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

The BE calculation (in lb/year) is performed pollutant-by-pollutant for each unit within the project to calculate the QNEC, and if applicable, to determine the amount of offsets required.

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, BE = PE1 for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to District Rule 2201.

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Since this facility is not a major source for any of the pollutants addressed in this project, this project does not constitute an SB 288 major modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201 states that a Federal Major Modification is the same as a "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

Since this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutants, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification.

9. Rule 2410 — Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination

Rule 2410 applies to any pollutant regulated under the Clean Air Act, except those for which the District has been classified nonattainment. The pollutants which must be addressed in the PSD applicability determination for sources located in the SJV and which are emitted in this project are: (See 52.21 (b) (23) definition of significant)
• NO2 (as a primary pollutant)
• SO2 (as a primary pollutant)
• CO
• PM
• PM10

I. Project Emissions Increase - New Major Source Determination

The post-project potentials to emit from all new and modified units are compared to the PSD major source thresholds to determine if the project constitutes a new major source subject to PSD requirements.

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(i). The PSD Major Source threshold is 250 tpy for any regulated NSR pollutant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSD Major Source Determination: Potential to Emit (tons/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PE from New and Modified Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD Major Source threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New PSD Major Source?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in the table above, the potential to emit for the project, by itself, does not exceed any PSD major source threshold. Therefore Rule 2410 is not applicable and no further analysis is required.

10. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix E.

VIII. Compliance Determination

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis. Unless specifically exempted by Rule 2201, BACT shall be required for the following actions*:
a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an Adjusted Increase in Permitted Emissions (AIPE) exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB 288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

**a. New emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Daily PE2 (lb/day)</th>
<th>BACT Threshold (lb/day)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>BACT Triggered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/year</td>
<td>44,850</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As determined in the table above, BACT is triggered for NOx and VOC emissions from the process heater.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Daily PE2 (lb/day)</th>
<th>BACT Threshold (lb/day)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>BACT Triggered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/year</td>
<td>44,850</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As determined in the table above, BACT is triggered for PM10 emissions from the dry material handling operation.

**b. Relocation of emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day**

As discussed in Section I above, there are no emissions units being relocated from one stationary source to another; therefore BACT is not triggered.
c. Modification of emissions units – AIPE > 2 lb/day

As discussed in Section I above, there are no modified emissions units associated with this project. Therefore BACT is not triggered.

d. SB 288/Federal Major Modification

As discussed in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8 above, this project does not constitute an SB 288 and/or Federal Major Modification for any pollutant. Therefore BACT is not triggered for any pollutant.

2. BACT Guideline

Process Heater:

There is no current BACT guideline for this source category. Therefore, a project specific BACT analysis will be performed for this process heater.

Dry Material Handling:

The District’s current BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 8.4.3, covers dry material handling operations (See Appendix B), which applies to the milk drying, milling, sifting, conveying, and storage processes. Therefore, relevant information will be cited from the referenced BACT Guideline without further analysis.

3. Top-Down BACT Analysis

The process heater serving the milk dryer triggers BACT for NOx and VOC emissions. Pursuant to the top-down BACT analysis in Appendix C of this document, BACT is satisfied with the following control methods:

NOx:  5.0 ppmvd @ 3% O₂ (or less).

The applicant is proposing the above control methods; therefore BACT requirements are satisfied for the proposed process heater.

The dry material handling operation triggers BACT for PM₁₀ emissions. Pursuant to the top-down BACT analysis in Appendix C of this document, BACT is satisfied with the following control method:

PM₁₀:  Processing equipment all enclosed and vented to a fabric filter baghouse, or equivalent (99% or greater control efficiency).

The applicant is proposing the above control method; therefore BACT requirements are satisfied for the proposed milk drying, milling, sifting, conveying, and storage operations.
B. Offsets

1. Offset Applicability

Offset requirements shall be triggered on a pollutant by pollutant basis and shall be required if the SSPE2 equals or exceeds the offset threshold levels in Table 4-1 of Rule 2201.

The SSPE2 is compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offset Determination (lb/year)</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>SOx</th>
<th>PM_{10}</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2</td>
<td>4,792</td>
<td>2,247</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>44,850</td>
<td>4,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offset Thresholds</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>54,750</td>
<td>29,200</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offsets triggered?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Quantity of Offsets Required

As seen above, the SSPE2 is not greater than the offset thresholds for all the pollutants; therefore offset calculations are not necessary and offsets will not be required for this project.

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications,

b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,

c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed,

d. Any project with an SSIPE of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant, and/or

e. Any project which results in a Title V significant permit modification

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications

New Major Sources are new facilities, which are also Major Sources. As shown in Section VII.C.5 above, the SSPE2 is not greater than the Major Source threshold for any pollutant. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project for new Major Source purposes.
As demonstrated in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project does not constitute an SB 288 or Federal Major Modification; therefore, public noticing for SB 288 or Federal Major Modification purposes is not required.

b. PE > 100 lb/day

Applications which include a new emissions unit with a PE greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant will trigger public noticing requirements. As seen in Section VII.C.2 above, this project does not include a new emissions unit which has daily emissions greater than 100 lb/day for any pollutant, therefore public noticing for PE > 100 lb/day purposes is not required.

c. Offset Threshold

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 are compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>Offset Threshold</th>
<th>Public Notice Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>4,546</td>
<td>4,792</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>2,134</td>
<td>2,247</td>
<td>54,750 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>29,200 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>40,482</td>
<td>44,850</td>
<td>200,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>4,112</td>
<td>4,331</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As detailed above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for offset purposes.

d. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a SSIPE of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE = SSPE2 – SSPE1. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSIIPE (lb/year)</th>
<th>SSIPE Public Notice Threshold</th>
<th>Public Notice Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>4,792</td>
<td>4,546</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>2,247</td>
<td>2,134</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>44,850</td>
<td>40,482</td>
<td>4,368</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>4,331</td>
<td>4,112</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>20,000 lb/year</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As demonstrated above, the SSIPeEs for all pollutants were less than 20,000 lb/year.

*The ATC permits previously issued under projects #N-1151582 and N-1163349 were never installed and ATC permit N-9149-7-0 is being replaced by the ATC permit under this project. As seen above, annual CO emissions for ATC N-9149-9-0 are greater than 20,000 lb/year and the unit is part of the SLC with PM10 emissions of 29,000 lb/year. Therefore, therefore public noticing for SSIPE purposes will be performed.

e. Title V Significant Permit Modification

Since this facility does not have a Title V operating permit, this change is not a Title V significant Modification, and therefore public noticing is not required.

2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, public noticing is required for this project for PM10 and CO emissions for an SSIPE greater than 20,000 lb/year. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emission Limits (DELs)

DELs and other enforceable conditions are required by Rule 2201 to restrict a unit’s maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT.

For the proposed natural gas fired process heater, the DELs will be based on the maximum process heater fuel combustion rate and emission factors. For the milk drying, milling, sifting, conveying, and storage operations, the DELs will be based on the maximum quantity of milk powder produced and emission rate in pounds emitted per ton of milk powder produced. The following conditions will be placed on the ATC and PTO to enforce the requirements of this section:

**Proposed Rule 2201 (DEL) Conditions:**

- {Modified 3200} Emissions from combustion of natural gas in the process heater shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmv NOx @ 3% O2 or 0.0061 lb-NOx/MMBtu (referenced as NO2), 0.00285 lb-SOx/MMBtu, 0.003 lb-PM10/MMBtu, 150 ppmvd CO @ 3% O2 or 0.1108 lb-CO/MMBtu, or 13 ppmvd VOC @ 3% O2 or 0.0055 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320]

- {4355} The unit shall only be fired on PUC-regulated natural gas. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]
• The quantity of milk powder produced shall not exceed 125 tons in any single day. [District Rule 2201]

• PM$_{10}$ emissions from the baghouse serving the milk powder production equipment shall not exceed 0.729 pounds per ton of milk powder produced$^{(5)}$. [District Rule 2201]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Process Heater:

This process heater is subject to District Rule 4305, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 2, District Rule 4306, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 3, and District Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr. Source testing requirements, in accordance with District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320 will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4320 of this evaluation.

Milk Drying, Milling, Sifting, Conveying, and Storage:

According to District Policy APR 1705, non-combustion equipment served by a baghouse/dust collector or cyclone with expected PM$_{10}$ emissions of 30 pounds per day or greater shall be source tested upon initial start-up. Units with PM$_{10}$ emissions in excess of 70 pounds per day should also be tested on an annual basis. Pursuant to Section VII.C.2. of this document, the PM$_{10}$ emissions from the baghouse serving the milk drying, milling, sifting, conveying, and storage equipment will exceed 70 pounds per day. Therefore, initial startup and annual source testing of the proposed baghouse will be required. The following permit conditions will be included on the ATC permit to enforce the source testing requirements:

• Source testing to measure PM$_{10}$ emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the milk drying operation shall be conducted within 60 days of initial start-up and annually thereafter. [District Rule 2201]

2. Monitoring

Process Heater:

As required by District Rule 4305, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 2, District Rule 4306, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 3, and District Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr, this unit is subject to monitoring requirements. Monitoring requirements, in accordance with District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320 will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4320 of this evaluation.

---

$^{(5)}$ DEL for Milk Powder Processing Baghouse (PM$_{10}$) = 91.1 lb-PM$_{10}$/day ÷ 125 tons Milk Powder Produced = 0.729 lb-PM$_{10}$/ton of milk powder produced
Milk Drying, Milling, Sifting, Conveying, and Storage:

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Process Heater:

As required by District Rule 4305, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 2, District Rule 4306, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 3, and District Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr, this unit is subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320 will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4320 of this evaluation.

Milk Drying, Milling, Sifting, Conveying, and Storage:

The following recordkeeping requirements will also be included in the ATC and PTO to verify compliance with the daily emission limits:

- The permittee shall maintain a daily record of the total quantity of dried milk produced (in tons per day). [District Rule 2201]

- The permittee shall maintain a rolling 12-consecutive month total of the facility-wide PM$_{10}$ emissions (in pounds). The rolling 12-consecutive month total shall be updated at least once each month. [District Rules 1070 and 2201]

- All records shall be maintained and retained for a period of at least 5 years and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070 and 2201]

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District’s Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix D of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO$_x$, CO, and SO$_x$. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO$_x$, CO, or SO$_x$. 
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The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state’s PM$_{10}$ as well as federal and state PM$_{2.5}$ thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$.

**Rule 2410  Prevention of Significant Deterioration**

As shown in Section VII.C.9 above, this project does not result in a new PSD major source or PSD major modification. No further discussion is required.

**Rule 2520  Federally Mandated Operating Permits**

Since this facility’s potential emissions do not exceed any major source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a major source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

**Rule 4001  New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)**

This rule incorporates NSPS from Part 60, Chapter 1, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR); and applies to all new sources of air pollution and modifications of existing sources of air pollution listed in 40 CFR Part 60.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc applies to Small Industrial-Commercial-Industrial Steam Generators between 10 MMBtu/hr and 100 MMBtu/hr (post-6/9/89 construction, modification or, reconstruction). The proposed 24.3 MMBtu/ process heater is within the heat input range; therefore, this subpart applies to this emission unit.

**60.42c – Standards for Sulfur Dioxide**

Since coal will not combusted by the process heater, the requirements of this section are not applicable.

**60.43c – Standards for Particulate Matter**

The process heater will not be fired on coal, mixtures of coal with other fuels, wood, mixtures of wood with other fuels, or oil; therefore the process heater is not subject to the requirements of this section.

**60.44c – Compliance and Performance Tests Methods and Procedures for Sulfur Dioxide**

Since the process heater in this project is not subject to the sulfur dioxide requirements of this subpart, testing to demonstrate compliance is not required.

**60.45c – Compliance and Performance Test Methods and Procedures for Particulate Matter**

Since the process heater in this project is not subject to the particulate matter requirements of this subpart, testing to demonstrate compliance is not required.
60.46c – Emission Monitoring for Sulfur Dioxide

Since the process heater in this project is not subject to the sulfur dioxide requirements of this subpart, no monitoring is required.

60.47c – Emission Monitoring for Particulate Matter

Since the process heater in this project is not subject to the particulate matter requirements of this subpart, no monitoring is required.

60.48c – Reporting and Recordingkeeping Requirements

Section 60.48c (a) states that the owner or operator of each affected facility shall submit notification of the date of construction or reconstruction, anticipated startup, and actual startup, as provided by §60.7 of this part. This notification shall include:

1. The design heat input capacity of the affected facility and identification of fuels to be combusted in the affected facility.

   The design heat input capacity and type of fuel combusted at the facility will be listed on each unit's equipment description. No conditions are required to show compliance with this requirement.

2. If applicable, a copy of any Federally enforceable requirement that limits the annual capacity factor for any fuel mixture of fuels under §60.42c or §40.43c.

   This requirement is not applicable since the process heater is not subject to §60.42c or §40.43c.

3. The annual capacity factor at which the owner or operator anticipates operating the affected facility based on all fuels fired and based on each individual fuel fired.

   The facility has not proposed an annual capacity factor; therefore one will not be required.

4. Notification if an emerging technology will be used for controlling SO₂ emissions. The Administrator will examine the description of the control device and will determine whether the technology qualifies as an emerging technology. In making this determination, the Administrator may require the owner or operator of the affected facility to submit additional information concerning the control device. The affected facility is subject to the provisions of §60.42c (a) or (b)(1), unless and until this determination is made by the Administrator.

   This requirement is not applicable since the process heater will not be equipped with an emerging technology used to control SO₂ emissions.
Section 60.48c (g) states that the owner or operator of each affected facility shall record and maintain records of the amounts of each fuel combusted during each day unless an applicable alternative is provided per Sections 60.48(g)(2) or 60.48(g)(3). Section 60.48(g) (2), which allows monthly records, applies because only natural gas will be burned. Therefore, monthly fuel records will be required. The following conditions will be listed on the ATC permit and PTO:

- A non-resettable, totalizing mass or volumetric fuel flow meter to measure the amount of fuel combusted in the unit shall be installed, utilized and maintained. [40 CFR 60.48 (c)(g)]

- The permittee shall monthly records of the natural gas combusted by this unit. [40 CFR 60.48c (g)(2)]

Section 60.48c (i) states that all records required under this section shall be maintained by the owner or operator of the affected facility for a period of two years following the date of such record. District Rules 4306 and 4320 are more stringent and requires that records be kept for five years. Therefore, compliance is expected with this section.

Compliance with the requirements of this Rule is expected.

**Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs)**

This rule incorporates NESHAPs from Part 61, Chapter I, Subchapter C, Title 40, CFR and the NESHAPs from Part 63, Chapter I, Subchapter C, Title 40, CFR; and applies to all sources of hazardous air pollution listed in 40 CFR Part 61 or 40 CFR Part 63.


The District has not been delegated the authority to implement NSPS regulations for Area Source requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit(s).

**Rule 4101 Visible Emissions**

Rule 4101 states that no person shall discharge into the atmosphere emissions of any air contaminant aggregating more than 3 minutes in any hour which is as dark as or darker than Ringelmann 1 (or 20% opacity). Opacity is expected to be less than 20% provided that the equipment is maintained and operated properly. The following condition will be listed on each ATC and PTO to ensure compliance with the visible emission requirement:

- \{15\} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Per District Policy SSP 1005, the visible emissions from a baghouse/dust collector shall not equal or exceed 5% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour. If the equipment is properly maintained this requirement should not be exceeded.
The following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance with this visible emission requirement.

- Visible emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse shall not equal or exceed 5% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour. [District Rule 2201]

**Rule 4102 Nuisance**

Rule 4102 prohibits discharge of air contaminants which could cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to the public. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, compliance with this rule is expected. The following condition will be listed on each the permit ATC and PTO to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

**California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)**

District Policy APR 1905 – *Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources* specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

An HRA is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than or equal to one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (Appendix D), the total facility prioritization score including this project was less than or equal to one. Therefore, no future analysis is required to determine the impact from this project and compliance with the District’s Risk Management Policy is expected.

The prioritization score for this project is shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Prioritization Score</th>
<th>Acute Hazard Index</th>
<th>Chronic Hazard Index</th>
<th>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</th>
<th>T-BACT Required?</th>
<th>Special Permit Requirements?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit 9-0 (Milk Drying Operation)</td>
<td>0.16¹</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Totals</td>
<td>0.16¹</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Totals</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹The project passed on prioritization with a score of less than 1; therefore, no further analysis was required.

The following conditions will be added the ATC to ensure compliance:

- The process heater exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (a flapper type is acceptable), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
The height of the process heater exhaust stack from the ground shall be at least 118 feet. [District Rule 4102]

**Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration**

Section 3.1 prohibits discharge of dust, fumes, or total particulate matter into the atmosphere from any single source operation in excess of 0.1 grain per dry standard cubic foot.

**Process Heater**

F-Factor for NG: 8,578 dscf/MMBtu at 60 °F
PM10 Emission Factor: 0.003 lb-PM10/MMBtu
Percentage of PM as PM10 in Exhaust: 100%
Exhaust Oxygen (O2) Concentration: 3%

\[
\text{Excess Air Correction to F Factor} = \frac{20.9}{(20.9 - 3)} = 1.17
\]

\[
GL = \left( \frac{0.003 \text{ lb} - \text{PM}}{\text{MMBtu}} \times \frac{7,000 \text{ grain}}{\text{lb} - \text{PM}} \right) \left( \frac{8,578 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{MMBtu}} \times \frac{1.17}{\text{MMBtu}} \right)
\]

\[GL = 0.002 \text{ grain/dscf} < 0.1 \text{ grain/dscf}\]

Since 0.002 grain/dscf is less than 0.1 grain/dscf, compliance with this rule is expected.

**Dry Material Handling Operation**

The dry material handling operation will be served by a baghouse with a proposed particulate matter (PM) emission rate concentration of 0.00675 gr/dscfm, which is less than this rule required PM emission rate concentration of 0.1 gr/dscf. Therefore, as long as the equipment is properly maintained and operated, compliance with District Rule 4201 requirements is expected.

As shown above compliance with District Rule 4201 requirements is expected for these permit units. The following condition will be listed on each ATC permit and PTO to ensure compliance:

Therefore, compliance with District Rule 4201 requirements is expected and a permit condition will be listed on the permit as follows:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

**Rule 4202 Particulate Matter Emission Rate**

The purpose of this rule is to limit particulate matter emissions by establishing allowable emission rates. Per section 4.1, particulate matter emissions from any source operation shall not exceed the allowable hourly emission rate as calculated using the following applicable formulas:
\[ E_{\text{Max}} = 3.59 \times P^{0.62} \text{ if } P \leq 30 \text{ tons/hr} \]
\[ E_{\text{Max}} = 17.31 \times P^{0.16} \text{ if } P > 30 \text{ tons/hr} \]

Where, \( E_{\text{Max}} \) = Emissions in lb/hr
\( P \) = Process weight rate in tons/hr

\[ P = 125 \text{ tons/day} \div 24 \text{ hrs/day} \]
\[ = 5.21 \text{ tons/hr} \]

Since the process rate for this unit is less than 30 tons/hr, the formula for the maximum allowable hourly emission rate is:

\[ E_{\text{Max}} = 3.59 \times P^{0.62} \]

\[ E_{\text{Max}} = 3.59 \times 5.21^{0.62} \]
\[ = 10.0 \text{ lb-PM/hr} \]

Based on the assumption that 100\% of the PM is PM10.

\[ E_{\text{Proposed}} = 91.1 \text{ lb-PM10/day} \div (1.0 \text{ lb-PM10/lb-PM} \times 24 \text{ hr/day}) \]
\[ = 3.8 \text{ lb-PM/hr} \]

Since \( E_{\text{Proposed}} < E_{\text{Max}} \), compliance with this rule is expected.

**Rule 4301 Fuel Burning Equipment**

This rule specifies maximum emission rates in lb/hr for SO\(_2\), NO\(_2\), and combustion contaminants (defined as total PM in Rule 1020). This rule also limits combustion contaminants to \( \leq 0.1 \text{ gr/scf} \). According to AP 42 (Table 1.4-2, footnote c), all PM emissions from natural gas combustion are less than 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Rule 4301 Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Heater (lb/hr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule Limit (lb/hr)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table indicates compliance with the maximum lb/hr emissions in this rule; therefore, continued compliance is expected.
Rule 4304 Equipment Tuning Procedure for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters

Pursuant to District Rules 4305 and 4306, Section 6.3.1, the process heater is not required to tune since it follows a District approved Alternate Monitoring scheme where the applicable emission limits are periodically monitored. Therefore, the unit is not subject to this rule.

Rule 4305 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 2

The subject unit is subject to Rule 4305, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 2.

In addition, the unit is also subject to District Rule 4320.

Since emissions limits of Rule 4320 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4305 requirements, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4305.

Therefore, compliance with District Rule 4305 requirements is expected and no further discussion is required.

Rule 4306 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3

The unit is subject to District Rule 4306, Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3.

In addition, the unit is also subject to District Rule 4320.

Since emissions limits of District Rule 4320 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4306 requirements, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4306.

Therefore, compliance with District Rule 4306 requirements is expected and no further discussion is required.

Rule 4309 Dryers, Dehydrators, and Ovens

The purpose of this rule is to limit emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and carbon monoxide (CO) from dryers, dehydrators, and ovens. This rule applies to any dryer, dehydrator, or oven that is fired on gaseous fuel, liquid fuel, or is fired on gaseous and liquid fuel sequentially, and the total rated heat input for the unit is 5.0 million British thermal units per hour (5.0 MMBtu/hr) or greater.

Section 3.10 of this rule defines a dryer as a device in which material is dried or cured in direct contact with the products of combustion.
The proposed milk drying operation is served by a 24.3 MMBtu/hr natural gas indirect-fired process heater and is not subject to the requirements of this rule. Therefore, further discussion is required.

**Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr**

Pursuant to Section 2.0 of District Rule 4320, the process heater is subject to District Rule 4320. The following table details compliance with the requirements of this rule for these boilers and process heater.

**Section 5.2 NO\textsubscript{x} and CO Emission Limits**

Section 5.2, NO\textsubscript{x} and CO emission limits: The proposed process heater is subject to the emission limits listed in Table 1, Category B. All ppmv emission limits specified in this section are referenced at dry stack gas conditions and 3.0 percent (%) by volume stack gas oxygen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Rule 4320 Emissions Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Units with a total rated heat input &gt; 20.0 MMBtu/hr, except for Categories C through G units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The applicant has proposed to install a process heater with an ultra-low NO\textsubscript{x} burner to achieve a NO\textsubscript{x} emissions limit of 5.0 ppmv and a CO emissions limit of 150 ppmv. The proposed NO\textsubscript{x} and CO emission limits will meet the requirements of Section 5.2.

The following conditions will be included on the permit:

- \{3200\} Emissions from combustion of natural gas in the process heater shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmvd NO\textsubscript{x} @ 3% O\textsubscript{2} or 0.0061 lb-NO\textsubscript{x}/MMBtu (referenced as NO\textsubscript{2}), 0.00285 lb-SO\textsubscript{x}/MMBtu, 0.003 lb-PM10/MMBtu, 150 ppmvd CO @ 3% O\textsubscript{2} or 0.1108 lb-CO/MMBtu, or 13 ppmvd VOC @ 3% O\textsubscript{2} or 0.0055 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320]
Section 5.4 Particulate Matter Control Requirements

Section 5.4 of the rule requires one of four options for control of particulate matter: 1) combustion of PUC-quality natural gas, commercial propane, butane, or liquefied petroleum gas, or a combination of such gases, 2) limit fuel sulfur content to no more than five (5) grains of total sulfur per one hundred (100) standard cubic, 3) install and properly operate an emission control system that reduces SO2 emissions by at least 95% by weight; or limit exhaust SO2 to less than or equal to 9 ppmv corrected to 3.0% O2 or 4) refinery units, which require modification of refinery equipment to reduce sulfur emissions, shall be in compliance with the applicable requirement in Section 5.4.1 no later than July 1, 2013.

The facility has proposed that the process heater will be fired exclusively on PUC natural gas. Therefore, this requirement has been satisfied.

Section 5.6 Startup and Shutdown Provisions

Section 5.6 states that on and after the full compliance deadline in Section 5.0, the applicable emission limits of Sections 5.2 Table 1 and 5.5.2 shall not apply during start-up or shutdown provided an operator complies with the requirements specified in Sections 5.6.1 through 5.6.5.

The facility has not proposed to use the startup and shutdown provisions. Therefore, the requirements of this section do not apply.

Section 5.7 Monitoring Provisions

Section 5.7 requires either use of an APCO approved Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) for NOx, CO, and oxygen, or implementation of an APCO-approved Alternate Monitoring System.

In order to satisfy the requirements of District Rule 4320, the applicant has proposed to use pre-approved alternate monitoring scheme A (pursuant to District Policy SSP-1105), which requires that monitoring of NOx, CO, and O2 exhaust concentrations shall be conducted at least once per month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable analyzer.

The following conditions will be included on the ATC permit order to ensure compliance with the requirements of the proposed alternate monitoring plan:

- {4315} The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NOx, CO, and O2 at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable emission monitor that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within 5 days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]
• {4316} If either the NOx or CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the allowable emissions concentration, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than 1 hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer readings continue to exceed the allowable emissions concentration after 1 hour of operation after detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following 1 hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

• {4317} All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

• {4318} The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NOx, CO, and O2 measurements, (2) the O2 concentration in percent and the measured NOx and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions within the acceptable range. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

5.7.6 Monitoring SOx Emissions

Section 5.7.6.1 requires operators complying with Sections 5.4.1.1 or 5.4.1.2 shall provide an annual fuel analysis to the District unless a more frequent sampling and reporting period is included in the Permit To Operate. Sulfur analysis shall be performed in accordance with the test methods in Section 6.2.

Section 5.7.6.2 requires operators complying with Section 5.4.1.3 by installing and operating a control device with 95% SOx reduction shall propose the key system operating parameters and frequency of the monitoring and recording. The monitoring option proposed shall be submitted for approval by the APCO.

Section 5.7.6.3 requires operators complying with Section 5.4.1.3 shall perform an annual source test unless a more frequent sampling and reporting period is included in the Permit To Operate. Source tests shall be performed in accordance with the test methods in Section 6.2.

The following conditions will be included on the ATC permit:
• This unit shall only be fired on PUC or FERC regulated natural gas. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]

• (4356) Permittee shall determine sulfur content of combusted gas annually or shall demonstrate that the combusted gas is provided from a PUC or FERC regulated source. [District Rules 1081 and 4320]

Section 5.8 Compliance Determination

Section 5.8.1 requires that the operator of any unit have the option of complying with either the applicable heat input (lb/MMBtu), emission limits or the concentration (ppmv) emission limits specified in Section 5.2. The emission limits selected to demonstrate compliance shall be specified in the source test proposal pursuant to Rule 1081 (Source Sampling).

Therefore, the following condition will be included on the ATC permit:

• (4350) The source test plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

Section 5.8.2 requires that all emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. Unless otherwise specified in the Permit to Operate, no determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0. Therefore, the following permit condition will be listed on the ATC permit:

• (4351) All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. No determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4320. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

Section 5.8.4 requires that for emissions monitoring pursuant to Sections 5.7.1 and 6.3.1 using a portable NOx analyzer as part of an APCO approved Alternate Emissions Monitoring System, emission readings shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15-consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings evenly spaced out over the 15-consecutive-minute period. Therefore, the following condition will be on the ATC permit:
• {4317} All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

Section 5.8.5 requires that for emissions source testing performed pursuant to Section 6.3.1 for the purpose of determining compliance with an applicable standard or numerical limitation of this rule, the arithmetic average of three (3) 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two (2) of three (3) runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC permit:

• {4352} For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

Section 6.1 Recordkeeping

Section 6.1 requires that the records required by Sections 6.1.1 through 6.1.5 shall be maintained for five calendar years and shall be made available to the APCO and EPA upon request. Failure to maintain records or information contained in the records that demonstrate noncompliance with the applicable requirements of this rule shall constitute a violation of this rule.

Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC permit:

• {Modified 2983} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, and 4320].

Section 6.2 Test Methods

Section 6.2 identifies test methods to be used when determining compliance with the rule. The following conditions will be listed on the ATC permit:

• {109} Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081]

• {4346} NOx emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100 on a ppmv basis, or EPA Method 19 on a heat input basis. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]
• {4347} CO emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

• {4348} Stack gas oxygen (O2) shall be determined using EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

Section 6.3 Compliance Testing

Section 6.3.1 requires that this unit be tested to determine compliance with the applicable requirements of section 5.2 not less than once every 12 months (no more than 30 days before or after the required annual source test date). Upon demonstrating compliance on two consecutive compliance source tests, the following source test may be deferred for up to thirty-six months.

Section 6.3.1.1 Units that demonstrate compliance on two consecutive 12-month source tests may defer the following 12-month source test for up to 36 months (no more than 30 days before or after the required 36-month source test date). During the 36-month source testing interval, the operator shall tune the unit in accordance with the provisions of Section 5.5.1, and shall monitor, on a monthly basis, the unit's operational characteristics recommended by the manufacturer to ensure compliance with the applicable emission limits specified in Section 5.2.

Section 6.3.1.2 Tune-ups required by Sections 5.5.1 and 6.3.1 do not need to be performed for units that operate and maintain an APCO approved CEMS or an APCO approved Alternate Monitoring System where the applicable emission limits are periodically monitored.

Section 6.3.1.3 If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits specified in Section 5.2, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every 12 months.

The following conditions will be listed on the ATC permit:

• {110} The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081]

• 4344} Source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions from this unit while fired on natural gas shall be conducted within 60 days of initial start-up. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320].

• {4345} Source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions from this unit while fired on natural gas shall be conducted at least once every twelve (12) months. After demonstrating compliance on two (2) consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every thirty-six (36) months. If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve (12) months. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

Sections 6.3.2.1 through 6.3.2.7 address the requirements of group testing which is not proposed in this project. Therefore these sections are not applicable.
Conditions will be incorporated into the ATC permits and PTOs in order to ensure compliance with each section of this rule. Compliance with the requirements of District Rule 4320 is expected.

**Rule 4351 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 1**

This rule applies to boilers, steam generators, and process heaters at NOx Major Sources that are not located west of Interstate 5 in Fresno, Kings, or Kern counties. If applicable, the emission limits, monitoring provisions, and testing requirements of this rule are satisfied when the unit is operated in compliance with Rule 4320. This facility is not a Major Source for NOx emissions. Therefore, compliance with this rule is expected.

**Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds**

Section 3.1 prohibits emissions of sulfur compounds as SO₂ in excess of 0.2% by volume (2,000 ppmv) averaged over 15 minutes.

From Section VII.B. of this document, the SO₂ emissions from the boilers and process heater are calculated based on an emission factor of 0.00285 lb-SOₓ/MBMtu.

\[
\text{lb-SO}_2/\text{exhaust vol.} = (\text{lb-SO}_2/\text{MBMtu}) \div (\text{F factor}) \\
= (0.00285 \text{ lb-SO}_2/\text{MBMtu}) \div (8,578 \text{ dscf/MMBtu}) \\
= 3.32 \times 10^{-7} \text{ lb-SO}_2/\text{dscf}
\]

Volume SO₂/exhaust vol. = nRT/P

Where, \( n = \text{moles SOx} = (3.32 \times 10^{-7} \text{ lb-SO}_2/\text{dscf}) \div (64 \text{ lb-SO}_2/\text{lb-mol}) \)

\[
= 5.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ lb-mol/dscf}
\]

\( R = \text{Universal gas constant} = 10.73 \text{ psi-ft}^3/\text{lb-mol}^{°}\text{R} \)

\( T = 60^{°}\text{F standard temperature} = 520^{°}\text{ R} \)

\( P = \text{Standard atmospheric pressure} = 14.7 \text{ psi} \)

\[
\text{Volume SO}_2/\text{exhaust vol.} = [(5.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ lb-mol/dscf}) \times (10.73 \text{ psi-ft}^3/\text{lb-mol}^{°}\text{R}) \times (520 ^{°}\text{R})] \div 14.7 \text{ psi} \\
= 1.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ dscf-SO}_2/\text{dscf- exhaust} \\
= 1.9 \text{ ppmv} << 2,000 \text{ ppmv}
\]

Continued compliance with this rule is expected.

**California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)**

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.
California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

CEQA requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The District adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities;
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced;
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible; and
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Determination

**District is a Responsible Agency**

The City of Turlock (City) is the public agency having principal responsibility for approving the project. As such, the City served as the Lead Agency (CCR §15367). In approving the project, the Lead Agency prepared and adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration. The Lead agency filed a Notice of Determination, stating that the environmental document was adopted pursuant to the provisions of CEQA and concluding that the project would not have a significant effect on the environment.

The District is a Responsible Agency for the project because of its discretionary approval power over the project via its Permits Rule (Rule 2010) and New Source Review Rule (Rule 2201), (CCR §15381). As a Responsible Agency the District complies with CEQA by considering the environmental document prepared by the Lead Agency, and by reaching its own conclusion on whether and how to approve the project (CCR §15096).

The District has considered the Lead Agency's environmental document. Furthermore, the District has conducted an engineering evaluation of the project, this document, which demonstrates that Stationary Source emissions from the project would be below the District's thresholds of significance for criteria pollutants. Thus, the District finds that through a combination of project design elements, compliance with applicable District rules and regulations, and compliance with District air permit conditions, project specific stationary source emissions will have a less than significant impact on air quality. The District does not have authority over any of the other project impacts and has, therefore, determined that no additional findings are required (CEQA Guidelines §15096(h)).
Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project’s potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project’s potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent’s ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

The criteria pollutant emissions and toxic air contaminant emissions associated with the proposed project are not significant, and there is minimal potential for public concern for this particular type of facility/operation. Therefore, an Indemnification Agreement and/or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

IX. Recommendation

Compliance with all applicable rules and regulations is expected. Pending a successful NSR Public Noticing period, issue ATC N-9149-9-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft ATC in Appendix A.

X. Billing Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Number</th>
<th>Fee Schedule</th>
<th>Fee Description</th>
<th>Annual Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-9149-9-0</td>
<td>3020-02-H</td>
<td>24.3 MMBtu/hr process heater</td>
<td>$1,128.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendixes

A: Draft ATC
B: BACT Guideline
C: BACT Analysis
D: RMR Summary and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) Summary
E: Quarterly Net Emissions Change
F: ATCs N-9149-3-0 and N-9149-7-0
APPENDIX A
Draft ATC
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: N-9149-9-0
LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: VALLEY MILK LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 400 N WASHINGTON RD
                  TURLOCK, CA 95380
LOCATION: 400 N WASHINGTON RD
           TURLOCK, CA 95380

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
MILK DRYING OPERATION CONSISTING OF A MUNTER VARIMAX NATURAL GAS INDIRECT-FIRED PROCESS
HEATER EQUIPPED WITH A 24.3 MMBTU/HR ALZETA CSB243-HA ULTRA-LOW NOX BURNER AND WITH AN
INTEGRATED STATIC FLUID BED DRYING CHAMBER, A VIBRO-FLUIDIZER, A MILK POWDER MILL, A MILK
POWDER SIFTER, ONE 500 CUBIC FOOT START-UP MILK POWDER STORAGE SILO, AND TWO 3,900 CUBIC FOOT
(EACH) MILK POWDER STORAGE SILOS ALL SERVED BY A 65,600 SCFM GEA PROCESS ENGINEERING, INC
MODEL HUDSON STYLE BAGHOUSE

CONDITIONS

1. This Authority to Construct (ATC) cancels and supersedes ATCs N-9149-3-0 and N-9149-7-0. [District Rule 2201]
2. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
3. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three
   minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
4. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
5. Visible emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the powder milk drying, conveying, and storage operations
   shall not equal or exceed 5% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour.
   [District Rule 2201]
6. The process heater shall only be fired on PUC-quality natural gas. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]
7. A non-resettable, totalizing mass or volumetric fuel flow meter to measure the amount of natural gas combusted in the
   unit shall be installed, utilized and maintained. [40 CFR 60.48c(g)(2)]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-6400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED
AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT.
This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE.
Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been
constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to
determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley
Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to
Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is
responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may
pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director IPCO
Conditions for N-9149-9-0 (continued)

8. The process heater exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (a flapper type is acceptable), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

9. The height of the process heater exhaust stack from the ground shall be at least 118 feet. [District Rule 4102]

10. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District Rule 2201]

11. The baghouse shall be equipped with a pressure differential gauge to indicate the pressure drop across the bags. The gauge shall be maintained in good working condition at all times and shall be located in an easily accessible location. [District Rule 2201]

12. When in operation, the differential pressure of the baghouse shall not be less than 1 inches water column nor greater than 10 inches water column. [District Rule 2201]

13. Material removed from the baghouse shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District Rule 2201]

14. [3458] Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the baghouse shall be maintained on the premises. [District Rule 2201]

15. The cleaning frequency and duration of the baghouse shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District Rule 2201]

16. The quantity of dried milk produced shall not exceed 125 tons in any given day. [District Rule 2201]

17. PM10 emissions from the milk drying operation shall not exceed 0.729 pounds per ton of dried milk produced. [District Rule 2201]

18. Emissions from combustion of natural gas in the process heater shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmv NOx @ 3% O2 or 0.0061 lb-NOx/MMBtu (referenced as NOE), 0.00285 lb-SOx/MMBtu, 0.003 lb-PM10/MMBtu, 150 ppmv CO @ 3% O2 or 0.1108 lb-CO/MMBtu, or 13 ppmv VOC @ 3% O2 or 0.0055 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320]

19. Total annual PM10 emissions from this facility, calculated on a rolling 12-consecutive month total basis, shall not exceed 29,000 pounds. [District Rule 2201]

20. {109} Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081]

21. Source testing to measure PM10 emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the milk drying operation shall be conducted within 90 days of initial start-up, and annually thereafter. [District Rule 2201]

22. Source testing to measure PM10 emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the milk drying operation shall be conducted using EPA Methods 201A and 202. Alternatively, the results of a total particulate matter test using CARB Method 5 may be used to demonstrate compliance with the PM10 emission limit provided the results include both the filterable (front half) and condensable (back half) particulates, and that all particulate matter is assumed to be PM10. Should the permittee decide to use different test methodology, the methodology shall first be approved by the District prior to its use. [District Rule 2201]

23. Source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted within 90 days of initial start-up. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320]

24. Source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted at least once every twelve (12) months. After demonstrating compliance on two (2) consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every thirty-six (36) months. If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve (12) months. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

25. [4346] NOx emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100 on a ppmv basis, or EPA Method 19 on a heat input basis. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]
26. \{4347\} CO emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

27. \{4348\} Stack gas oxygen (O2) shall be determined using EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

28. \{4349\} Fuel sulfur content shall be determined using EPA Method 11 or Method 15. [District Rule 4320]

29. \{4350\} The source test plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

30. \{4351\} All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. No determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4320. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

31. \{4352\} For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

32. \{110\} The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081]

33. \{4315\} The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NOx, CO, and O2 at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable emission monitor that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within 5 days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

34. \{4316\} If either the NOx or CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the allowable emissions concentration, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than 1 hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer readings continue to exceed the allowable emissions concentration after 1 hour of operation after detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following 1 hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

35. \{4317\} All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

36. \{4318\} The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NOx, CO, and O2 measurements, (2) the O2 concentration in percent and the measured NOx and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions within the acceptable range. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

37. \{4356\} Permittee shall determine sulfur content of combusted gas annually or shall demonstrate that the combusted gas is provided from a PUC or FERC regulated source. [District Rules 1081 and 4320]

38. \{4356\} The permittee shall maintain a daily record of the total quantity of dried milk produced (in tons per day). [District Rule 2201]

39. \{40 CFR 60.48c(g)(2)\]
41. The permittee shall maintain a rolling 12-consecutive month total of the facility-wide PM10 emissions (in pounds). The rolling 12-consecutive month total shall be updated at least once each month. [District Rule 2201]

42. Records of all maintenance of the baghouse, including all change outs of filter media, shall be maintained. [District Rules 1070 and 2201]

43. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, and 4320]
APPENDIX B
BACT Guideline
San Joaquin Valley
Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 8.4.3*
Last Update: 4/2/2012

Dry Material Handling Operation - Mixing, Blending, Milling, or Storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP</th>
<th>Technologically Feasible</th>
<th>Alternate Basic Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>Mixer, augers, elevators, conveyors all enclosed and vented to a fabric filter baghouse, or equivalent (99% or greater control efficiency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source

8.4.3
APPENDIX C
BACT Analysis
Top Down BACT Analysis for the Proposed New Process Heater (Rated Heat Input > 20.0 MMBtu/hr):

1. BACT analysis for NOx Emissions:
   a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies
      The District considers the following NOx emission limits:
      
      **Achieved-in-Practice:**
      7.0 ppmvd @ 3% O₂ (0.008 lb/MMBtu)

      **Technologically Feasible:**
      5.0 ppmvd @ 3% O₂ (0.0061 lb/MMBtu)

      **Alternate Basic Equipment:**
      None

   b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options
      The control option listed in Step 1 is technologically feasible.

   c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness
      1. 5.0 ppmvd @ 3% O₂ (0.0061 lb/MMBtu) – Technologically Feasible Option
      2. 7.0 ppmvd @ 3% O₂ (0.008 lb/MMBtu) – Achieved-In-Practice Option

   d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis
      The applicant has proposed the most stringent control option listed in Step 3. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

   e. Step 5 - Select BACT
      The most effective NOx control technology not eliminated in Steps 2 and 4 above is a NOx emissions limit of 5.0 ppmvd @ 3% O₂ (or less). The applicant is proposing a NOx emissions limit of 5.0 ppmvd @ 3% O₂. Therefore, BACT for NOx is being proposed.

2. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:
   a. Step 1 – Identify all control technologies
      The District considers the following control technologies to reduce VOC emissions:

      **Achieved-in-Practice:**
      Use of natural gas fuel with LPG backup.
Technologically Feasible:
None

Alternate Basic Equipment:
None

b. Step 2 – Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is technologically feasible.

c. Step 3 – Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 – Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The above listed control technology is achieved-in-practice; therefore a cost analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 – Select BACT

The most effective VOC control technology not eliminated in Steps 2 and 4 above is the use of natural gas fuel with LPG backup. The applicant is proposing the use of natural gas fuel with no backup fuel. Therefore, BACT for VOC is being proposed.
Top Down BACT Analysis for the Proposed Dry Material Handling Operation

1. BACT analysis for PM$_{10}$ Emissions:

   BACT Guideline 8.4.3 covers dry material handling operations.

   a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

      Achieved-In-Practice:
      Mixers, augers, elevators, conveyors all enclosed and vented to a fabric filter baghouse, or equivalent (99% or greater control efficiency).

      Technologically Feasible:
      None

      Alternate Basic Equipment:
      None

   b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

      The control option listed in Step 1 is technologically feasible.

   c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

      Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1, which is the use of processing equipment all enclosed and vented to a fabric filter baghouse or equivalent (99% or greater control efficiency).

   d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

      The applicant has proposed the most stringent control option listed in Step 3. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

   e. Step 5 - Select BACT

      The most effective NOx control technology not eliminated in Steps 2 and 4 above is the use of processing equipment all enclosed and vented to a fabric filter baghouse or equivalent (99% or greater control efficiency). The applicant is proposing to use processing equipment all enclosed and vented to a baghouse with a control efficiency of at least 99%. Therefore, BACT for PM$_{10}$ is being proposed.
APPENDIX D
RMR Summary and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) Summary
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Risk Management Review
REVISED

To: Kai Chan – Permit Services
From: Cheryl Lawler – Technical Services
Date: January 2, 2018
Facility Name: Valley Milk, LLC
Location: 400 North Washington Road, Turlock
Application #(s): N-9149-9-0
Project #: N-1172894

A. RMR SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Prioritization Score</th>
<th>Acute Hazard Index</th>
<th>Chronic Hazard Index</th>
<th>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</th>
<th>T-BACT Required?</th>
<th>Special Permit Requirements?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit 9-0 (Milk Drying Operation)</td>
<td>0.16¹</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Totals</td>
<td>0.16¹</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Totals</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹The project passed on prioritization with a score of less than 1; therefore, no further analysis was required.

Proposed Permit Requirements

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

Unit 9-0

1. The exhaust stacks shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
2. The stack height shall be at least 118 feet.

B. RMR REPORT

I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on September 7, 2017, to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and an Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for a milk drying operation served by a natural gas process heater and a milk dryer baghouse.
II. Analysis

Toxic emissions for this project were calculated using 2001 Ventura County Air Pollution Control District emission factors for natural gas fired external combustion, and input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD’s Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). In accordance with the District’s Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, May 28, 2015), risks from the project were prioritized using the procedures in the 1990 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines. The prioritization score for this project was less than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, no further analysis was necessary.

The following parameters were used for the review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis Parameters</th>
<th>Unit 9-0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas Process Rates (mmscfd)</td>
<td>0.0243 hr 213 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk Powder Process Rates (tons)</td>
<td>5.208 hr 45,625 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closest Receptor (m)</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical Services also performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NOx, SOx, and PM10 with the emission rates below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit #</th>
<th>NOx (Lbs.)</th>
<th>SOx (Lbs.)</th>
<th>CO (Lbs.)</th>
<th>PM10 (Lbs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hr.</td>
<td>Yr.</td>
<td>Hr.</td>
<td>Yr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-0 (Heater)</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-0 (Baghouse)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Background Site</th>
<th>1 Hour</th>
<th>3 Hours</th>
<th>8 Hours</th>
<th>24 Hours</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>Stockton-Hazelton (2016)</td>
<td>Pass¹</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>Fresno - Garland (2016)</td>
<td>Pass²</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>Stockton-Hazelton (2016)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Pass³</td>
<td>Pass³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>Stockton-Hazelton (2016)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Pass³</td>
<td>Pass³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.
¹The project was compared to the 1-hour NO2 National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on April 12, 2010, using the District’s approved procedures.
²The criteria pollutants are below EPA’s level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).
³The court has vacated EPA’s PM2.5 SILs. Until such time as new SIL values are approved, the District will use the corresponding PM10 SILs for both PM10 and PM2.5 analyses.
III. Conclusion

The prioritization score is less than 1.0. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on Page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

IV. Attachments

A. RMR Request Form & Attachments
B. Heater & Baghouse Convert Calculations
C. Prioritization
D. Facility Summary
E. AAQA Results
## AAQA Summary

### National Ambient Air Quality Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Modeled Conc. (ug/m3)</th>
<th>Background (ug/m3)</th>
<th>Total (ug/m3)</th>
<th>AAQS (ug/m3)</th>
<th>SIL (ug/m3)</th>
<th>Exceeds AAQS</th>
<th>Exceeds SIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO, 1_Hour</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>2041.39</td>
<td>2042.004</td>
<td>23000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, 8_Hour</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>1488.4</td>
<td>1488.776</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2, 1_Hour</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>85.19</td>
<td>85.804</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2, Annual</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>22.86</td>
<td>22.92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10-24Hr, 24_H</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5, 24_Hour</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5, Annual</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx, 1_Hour</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>16.24</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx, 24_Hour</td>
<td>0.0996</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>5.3396</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx, 3_Hour</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.312</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx, Annual</td>
<td>0.0282</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.2382</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## California Ambient Air Quality Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Modeled Conc. (ug/m3)</th>
<th>Background (ug/m3)</th>
<th>Total (ug/m3)</th>
<th>AAQS (ug/m3)</th>
<th>SIL (ug/m3)</th>
<th>Exceeds AAQS</th>
<th>Exceeds SIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO, 1_Hour</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>2047.12</td>
<td>2047.734</td>
<td>23000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, 8_Hour</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>1488.4</td>
<td>1488.776</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2, 1_Hour</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>120.54</td>
<td>121.154</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2, Annual</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>22.86</td>
<td>22.92</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10, Annual</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>26.13</td>
<td>27.152</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES/YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10-24Hr, 24_H</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>93.33</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES/YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5, Annual</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx, 1_Hour</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>20.95</td>
<td>21.24</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx, 24_Hour</td>
<td>0.0996</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>5.3396</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ron refined in AERMOD + both 24-Hr + Annual processed under their respective SIL (attached).*
PLOT FILE OF HIGH 1ST HIGH 24-HR VALUES FOR SOURCE GROUP: ALL

Max: 2.67 [ug/m^3] at (685620.23, 4151768.07)

COMMENTS:

SOURCES:
- 2

RECEPTORS:
- 2940

OUTPUT TYPE:
- Concentration

SCALE: 1:11,223

DATE: 09/19/2017

PROJECT NO: 09/19/2017
APPENDIX E
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District’s PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

\[ QNEC = PE2 - PE1, \text{ where:} \]

\[ QNEC = \text{Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.} \]
\[ PE2 = \text{Post Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.} \]
\[ PE1 = \text{Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.} \]

Using the values in Sections VII.C.2 and VII.C.1 in the evaluation above, quarterly PE2 and quarterly PE1 can be calculated as follows:

The following calculation is typical for NOx, SOx, CO and VOC emissions:

\[ PE2_{\text{quarterly}} = \frac{PE2_{\text{annual}}}{4 \text{ quarters/year}} \]
\[ = \frac{1,298 \text{ lb-NOx/year}}{4 \text{ qtr/year}} \]
\[ = 324.5 \text{ lb-NOx/qtr} \]

\[ PE1_{\text{quarterly}} = \frac{PE1_{\text{annual}}}{4 \text{ quarters/year}} \]
\[ = 0 \text{ lb-NOx/year ÷ 4 qtr/year} \]
\[ = 0 \text{ lb-NOx/qtr} \]

Annual \( PE2_{PM10} \) (N-9149-9-0) = 29,000 lb-PM10/year (SLC) – Annual \( PE2 \) (N-9149-5-0) – Annual \( PE2 \) (N-9149-6-0) – Annual \( PE2 \) (N-9149-8-0)
\[ = 29,000 \text{ lb-PM10/year} - 864 \text{ lb-PM10/year} - 864 \text{ lb-PM10/year} - 2,331 \text{ lb-PM10/year} \]
\[ = 24,941 \text{ lb-PM10/year} \]

\[ PE2_{\text{quarterly}} = \frac{PE2_{\text{annual}}}{4 \text{ quarters/year}} \]
\[ = \frac{24,941 \text{ lb-PM10/year}}{4 \text{ qtr/year}} \]
\[ = 6,235.25 \text{ lb-PM10/qtr} \]

\[ PE1_{\text{quarterly}} = \frac{PE1_{\text{annual}}}{4 \text{ quarters/year}} \]
\[ = 0 \text{ lb-PM10/year ÷ 4 qtr/year} \]
\[ = 0 \text{ lb-PM10/qtr} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>PE2 (lb/qtr)</th>
<th>PE1 (lb/qtr)</th>
<th>QNEC (lb/qtr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>324.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>324.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>151.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>151.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>6,235.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,235.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>5,896.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,896.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>292.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>292.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX F
ATCs N-9149-3-0 and N-9149-7-0
AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: N-9149-7-0 
LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: VALLEY MILK LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 400 N. WASHINGTON ROAD 
TURLOCK, CA 95380

LOCATION: 400 N. WASHINGTON ROAD 
TURLOCK, CA 95380

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
MILK DRYING OPERATION CONSISTING OF A MUNTER VARIMAX NATURAL GAS INDIRECT-FIRED PROCESS HEATER EQUIPPED WITH A 18.8 MMBTU/HR ALZETA CSB ULTRA LOW NOX BURNER AND WITH AN INTEGRATED STATIC FLUID BED DRYING CHAMBER, A VIBRO-FLUIDIZER, A MILK POWDER MILL, A MILK POWDER SIFTER, ONE 500 CUBIC FOOT START-UP MILK POWDER STORAGE SILO, TWO 3,990 CUBIC FOOT (EACH) MILK POWDER STORAGE SILOS ALL SERVED BY A 65,600 SCFM GEA PROCESS ENGINEERING, INC MODEL HUDSON STYLE BAGHOUSE

ISSUANCE DATE: 04/19/2017

CONDITIONS

1. Authority to Construct permit N-9149-3-0 shall be cancelled prior to the implementation of this ATC permit. [District Rule 2201]

2. No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

3. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

4. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

5. Visible emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the powder milk drying, conveying, and storage operations shall not equal or exceed 5% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour. [District Rule 2201]

6. The process heater shall only be fired on PUC-quality natural gas. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]

7. A non-resettable, totalizing mass or volumetric fuel flow meter to measure the amount of natural gas combusted in the unit shall be installed, utilized and maintained. [40 CFR 60.480(c)(2)]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-6400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadreddin, Executive Director / APCO

Arnaud Marjollet, Director of Permit Services
Northern Regional Office • 4800 Enterprise Way • Modesto, CA 95355-5718 • (209) 557-6400 • Fax (209) 557-6475
8. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (a flapper type is acceptable), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

9. The height of the process heater exhaust stack from the ground shall be at least 118 feet. Upon implementation of this Authority to Construct, this condition could be removed [District Rule 4102]

10. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District Rule 2201]

11. The baghouse shall be equipped with a pressure differential gauge to indicate the pressure drop across the bags. The gauge shall be maintained in good working condition at all times and shall be located in an easily accessible location. [District Rule 2201]

12. Material removed from the baghouse shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District Rule 2201]

13. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the baghouse shall be maintained on the premises. [District Rule 2201]

14. The cleaning frequency and duration of the baghouse shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District Rule 2201]

15. The quantity of dried milk produced shall not exceed 125 tons in any given day. [District Rule 2201]

16. PM10 emissions from the milk drying operation shall not exceed 0.729 pounds per ton of dried milk produced. [District Rule 2201]

17. Emissions from combustion of natural gas in the process heater shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmvd NOx @ 3% O2 or 0.0061 lb-NOx/MMBtu (referenced as NO2), 0.00285 lb-SOx/MMBtu, 0.003 lb-PM10/MMBtu, 150 ppmvd CO @ 3% O2 or 0.1108 lb-CO/MMBtu, or 13 ppmvd VOC @ 3% O2 or 0.0055 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320]

18. Total annual PM10 emissions from this facility, calculated on a rolling 12-consecutive month total basis, shall not exceed 29,000 pounds. [District Rule 2201]

19. Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081]

20. Source testing to measure PM10 emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the milk drying operation shall be conducted within 90 days of initial start-up, and annually thereafter. [District Rule 2201]

21. Source testing to measure PM10 emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the milk drying operation shall be conducted using EPA Methods 201A and 202. Alternatively, the results of a total particulate matter test using CARB Method 5 may be used to demonstrate compliance with the PM10 emission limit provided the results include both the filterable (front half) and condensable (back half) particulates, and that all particulate matter is assumed to be PM10. Should the permittee decide to use different test methodology, the methodology shall first be approved by the District prior to its use. [District Rule 2201]

22. Source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted within 90 days of initial start-up. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320]

23. Source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted at least once every twelve (12) months. After demonstrating compliance on two (2) consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every thirty-six (36) months. If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve (12) months. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

24. NOx emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100 on a ppmv basis, or EPA Method 19 on a heat input basis. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

25. CO emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]
26. Stack gas oxygen (O2) shall be determined using EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

27. Fuel sulfur content shall be determined using EPA Method 11 or Method 15. [District Rule 4320]

28. The source test plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

29. All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. No determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4320. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

30. For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

31. The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081]

32. When in operation, the differential pressure of the baghouse shall not be less than 1 inches water column nor greater than 10 inches water column. [District Rule 2201]

33. Differential operating pressure of the baghouse shall be monitored and recorded on each day that it operates. [District Rule 2201]

34. The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NOx, CO, and O2 at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable emission monitor that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within 5 days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

35. If either the NOx or CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the allowable emissions concentration, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than 1 hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer readings continue to exceed the allowable emissions concentration after 1 hour of operation after detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following 1 hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

36. All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

37. The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NOx, CO, and O2 measurements, (2) the O2 concentration in percent and the measured NOx and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions within the acceptable range. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

38. Permittee shall determine sulfur content of combusted gas annually or shall demonstrate that the combusted gas is provided from a PUC or FERC regulated source. [District Rules 1081 and 4320]

39. The permittee shall maintain a daily record of the total quantity of dried milk produced (in tons per day). [District Rule 2201]

40. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the natural gas combusted by this unit. [40 CFR 60.48c(g)(2)]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE
41. The permittee shall maintain a rolling 12-consecutive month total of the facility-wide PM10 emissions (in pounds). The rolling 12-consecutive month total shall be updated at least once each month. [District Rule 2201]

42. Records of all maintenance of the baghouse, including all change outs of filter media, shall be maintained. [District Rules 1070 and 2201]

43. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, and 4320]
AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: N-9149-3-0
LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: VALLEY MILK LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 346 E F ST
              OAKDALE, CA 95361
LOCATION: 4407 W MAIN ST
              TURLOCK, CA

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
Milk drying operation consisting of a natural gas indirect-fired process heater equipped
with a 23.58 MMBTU/HR Eclipse model Minnox burner and with an integrated static fluid bed
drying chamber, a vibro-fluidizer, a milk powder mill, a milk powder sifter, one 500 cubic foot
start-up powder silo, two 3,900 cubic foot (each) powder storage silos all served by a 65,000
SCFM GEA process engineering, Inc model Hudson style baghouse

CONDITIONS

1. No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
2. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three
   minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
3. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
4. Visible emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the powder milk drying, conveying, and storage operations
   shall not equal or exceed 5% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour.
   [District Rule 2201]
5. The process heater shall only be fired on PUC-quality natural gas. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]
6. A non-resettable, totalizing mass or volumetric fuel flow meter to measure the amount of natural gas combusted in the
   unit shall be installed, utilized and maintained. [40 CFR 60.48c(g)(2)]
7. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper
   okay), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-6400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO
OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO OPERATE.
Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with
the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all
Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this
Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with
all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadedin, Executive Director / APCO

Arnaud Marjollet, Director of Permit Services

Northern Regional Office • 4800 Enterprise Way • Modesto, CA 95356-8718 • (209) 557-6400 • Fax (209) 557-6475
8. The height of the process heater exhaust stack from the ground shall be at least 118 feet. Upon implementation of this Authority to Construct, this condition could be removed. [District Rule 4102]

9. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District Rule 2201]

10. The baghouse shall be equipped with a pressure differential gauge to indicate the pressure drop across the bags. The gauge shall be maintained in good working condition at all times and shall be located in an easily accessible location. [District Rule 2201]

11. Material removed from the baghouse shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District Rule 2201]

12. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the baghouse shall be maintained on the premises. [District Rule 2201]

13. The cleaning frequency and duration of the baghouse shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District Rule 2201]

14. The quantity of dried milk produced shall not exceed 125 tons in any given day. [District Rule 2201]

15. PM10 emissions from the milk drying operation shall not exceed 0.7224 pounds per ton of dried milk produced. [District Rule 2201]

16. Emissions from combustion of natural gas in the process heater shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmvd NOX @ 3% O2 or 0.0062 lb-NOX/MMBtu (referenced as NO2), 0.00285 lb-SOX/MMBtu, 0.003 lb-PM10/MMBtu, 50 ppmvd CO @ 3% O2 or 0.037 lb-CO/MMBtu, 13 ppmvd VOC @ 3% O2 or 0.0055 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320]

17. Total annual PM10 emissions from this facility, calculated on a rolling 12-month total basis, shall not exceed 29,000 pounds. [District Rule 2201]

18. Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081]

19. Source testing to measure PM10 emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the milk drying operation shall be conducted within 90 days of initial start-up, and annually thereafter. [District Rule 2201]

20. Source testing to measure PM10 emissions from the exhaust of the baghouse serving the milk drying operation shall be conducted using EPA Methods 201A and 202. Alternatively, the results of a total particulate matter test using CARB Method 5 may be used to demonstrate compliance with the PM10 emission limit provided the results include both the filterable (front half) and condensable (back half) particulates, and that all particulate matter is assumed to be PM10. Should the permittee decide to use different test methodology, the methodology shall first be approved by the District prior to its use. [District Rule 2201]

21. Source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted within 90 days of initial start-up. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320]

22. Source testing to measure NOx and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted at least once every twelve (12) months. After demonstrating compliance on two (2) consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every thirty-six (36) months. If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve (12) months. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

23. NOx emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100 on a ppmv basis, or EPA Method 19 on a heat input basis. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

24. CO emissions for source test purposes shall be determined using EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

25. Stack gas oxygen (O2) shall be determined using EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE
26. Fuel sulfur content shall be determined using EPA Method 11 or Method 15. [District Rule 4320]

27. The source test plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

28. All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. No determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4320. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

29. For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

30. The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081]

31. When in operation, the differential pressure of the baghouse shall not be less than 1 inches water column nor greater than 10 inches water column. [District Rule 2201]

32. Differential operating pressure of the baghouse shall be monitored and recorded on each day that it operates. [District Rule 2201]

33. The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NOx, CO, and O2 at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable emission monitor that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within 5 days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

34. If either the NOx or CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the allowable emissions concentration, the permittee shall return the emissions to the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than 1 hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer readings continue to exceed the allowable emissions concentration after 1 hour of operation after detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following 1 hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

35. All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

36. The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NOx, CO, and O2 measurements, (2) the O2 concentration in percent and the measured NOx and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O2, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions within the acceptable range. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

37. Permittee shall determine sulfur content of combusted gas annually or shall demonstrate that the combusted gas is provided from a PUC or FERC regulated source. [District Rules 1081 and 4320]

38. Permittee shall maintain daily records of the dried milk produced, in tons. [District Rule 2201]

39. Permittee shall maintain monthly records of the natural gas combusted by this unit. [40 CFR 60.48c(g)(2)]

40. Permittee shall maintain records of the 12-month rolling total of PM10 emissions from this facility, in pounds, and the records shall be updated at least monthly. [District Rule 2201]

41. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, and 4320]