Will California drivers get in electric cars to save $13.5 billion in health and climate impacts?
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Driving gasoline cars is costing California $15 billion a year in health expenses and impacts to the environment, but the state has a chance to reduce the effects of air pollution through its zero emission program, the American Lung Association says.

A shift to electric cars and other zero-emission vehicles could save Californians $13.5 billion in health and climate costs by 2050, the American Lung Association in California says in a new report released Wednesday night.

More importantly, a reduction in pollution would improve health in communities, said Bonnie Holmes-Gen, senior director for air quality and climate change. And the San Joaquin Valley would stand to benefit more than many places in the state.

Cities in the Valley consistently rank among the most polluted in California on the Lung Association’s annual air pollution report card, Holmes-Gen said. “The Valley is a region that desperately needs this cleanup from zero emissions.”

The Lung Association report, which looks at California and nine other states with zero-emission programs, estimates that in 2015, the harm from passenger vehicles to health and climate was $37 billion. Of that, $24 billion was health costs, including 220,000 lost work days, more than 109,000 asthma episodes and 2,580 premature deaths.

Taking a simpler look, the association says: Each tank of burned gasoline caused $18.42 in health and climate impacts.

The report looked at states with zero emission programs: California, Connecticut, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Rhode Island and Vermont.

In 2012, Gov. Jerry Brown directed the state to accelerate the market for zero-emission vehicles so California could have 1.5 million on the road by 2025. The state will need a majority of cars to be zero-emission to meet its climate goal of reducing carbon emissions by 80 percent by 2050.

The Lung Association report says California’s Air Resources Board should strengthen the zero emission vehicle program. The board needs to end a loophole, called the “travel provision” that stalls sales of zero emission vehicles outside of California that have adopted programs. The loophole has allowed automakers to use a credit system to avoid placing zero emission vehicles in other states, the report says.

Getting to zero or near-zero emissions is the only answer for the San Joaquin Valley to meet healthy air goals, said Seyed Sadredin, executive director of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. He was in Washington, D.C., Wednesday lobbying for national regulations and funding for truck and locomotive emissions.

Petroleum-based emissions account for 85 percent of the Valley’s pollution, Sadredin said. “We could shut down all the farms, all of the oil industry, all dairies and all the manufacturing facilities throughout the Valley and we will still be far away from meeting the federal health-based standards for particulate matter and ozone. So the solution really lies in getting to zero or near zero emission level from mobile sources – trucks, passenger vehicles and, in our case, locomotives as well.”

But the state has a ways to go to get gasoline vehicles off the road: With more than 33 million registered vehicles, only 135,759 were electric as of Sept. 30. Another 1,050,857 hybrid cars are were registered.

Mark Davis, planning manager at the Fresno Yosemite International Airport, has been driving an electric Fiat 500e for about a year. “It seemed like the right thing to do,” he said.

The electric car is great for his commute from Clovis to the airport in east-central Fresno, Davis said.

The airport has six electric-car charging stations for employees and is in the process of adding 14 to its public parking lot.
So far, the six stations for employees don’t stay full. Davis said he understands the public hesitation to switch from gasoline to electric vehicles. “One of the the biggest concerns is ‘I’ll get 40 miles from home and my car will go dead.’ ”

The limited range is a drawback for long-distance trips, he said. But for commuting, the car works well. “It runs great. It keeps up with traffic.”

Holmes-Gen, who owns an electric car, said Californians are moving toward zero emission vehicles and the 2050 goals are obtainable. “California recognizes the importance,” she said.

The state needs to reach out to consumers to educate them about the health and climate benefits and consumer savings, she said. “I have an electric vehicle and once you drive one, you don’t want to drive with a gasoline vehicle.”

**Bass Lake Ranger District to begin seasonal burning program**

*Sierra Star, Wednesday, October 26, 2016*

The Bass Lake Ranger District is preparing to implement its fall and winter burning program, with pile burning, broadcast, and underburning taking place in multiple areas, including around the lake.

“The objective of these prescribed burns are to reduce fuel loading from recent tree mortality fuel projects within the Wildland Urban Intermix and high use recreation areas around Bass Lake,” said Denise Tolmie, District Ranger. “Site preparation for reforestation within these areas and within the French Fire will also be accomplished with these burns.”

Multiple underburning projects are proposed for the fall/winter/spring of 2016-17. The Source-Kinsman Underburn is located south and west of Clearwater station in the upper Clearwater Creek drainage and the Batterson Administrative burn are located at the work station. Burning will be conducted in moderately unstable atmospheric conditions and on “Burn Days” to provide optimum smoke dispersal. Burning will stop if smoke dispersion becomes a problem, suppression tactics will then also be implemented. Stump holes and logs may be mopped up to reduce the smoldering phase of combustion. High use roads will be monitored for visibility hazards, and traffic control will be provided when necessary.

Pile burning objectives are to remove fuels created during timber harvest, reforestation, and fuels reduction in high value areas such as along Wildland Urban Intermix and Nelder Grove Historical Area. The removal of these fuels provides a benefit by reducing the potential of a wildfire that would cause damage to wildlife habitat, watersheds, and private property.

Pile burning projects are widely dispersed throughout the Bass Lake Ranger District. While many of the projects are located at the higher elevations of the district, some are near populated areas, and may result in a temporary reduction in air quality in the communities of Oakhurst, Fish Camp, Bass Lake, Ahwahnee, North Fork, and surrounding areas. Burning will be conducted on Burn Days as determined by the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District.

Counties Air Pollution Control District covers Mariposa County and determines “Burn Days” on atmospheric conditions, which provide optimum smoke dispersal, however, normal diurnal wind changes allow the settling of drift smoke in basins and drainages during the late night and early morning hours. By limiting the number of piles ignited at one time, and by “mopping up” (extinguishing) smoldering piles it is expected that emissions will not reach unacceptable levels. Actions to reduce visibility hazards include monitoring high use roads and providing traffic control if necessary.